CHAPTER 14

LONG-TERM FINANCIAL LIABILITIES

ASSIGNMENT CLASSIFICATION TABLE

Topics		Brief Exercises	Exercises	Problems	
1.	Understand the nature of long-term debt.	1, 2	1, 2	1, 2	•
2.	Understand how long-term debt is measured and accounted for.	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18	3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18	1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 13	
3.	Recognition and derecognition of debt and debt restructurings.	19, 20, 21	17, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28	6, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20	
4.	Presentation of long-term debt.	24	16, 18, 29, 30	2, 8, 10	
5.	Disclosure requirements.		31	9, 10	
6.	Long-term debt analysis.	25		7	
7	Differences between IEBS				

7. Differences between IFRS and ASPE.

NOTE: If your students are solving the end-of-chapter material using a financial calculator or an Excel spreadsheet as opposed to the PV tables, please note that there will be a difference in amounts. Excel and financial calculators yield a more precise result as opposed to PV tables. The amounts used for the preparation of journal entries in solutions have been prepared from the results of calculations arrived at using the PV tables.

ASSIGNMENT CHARACTERISTICS TABLE

		Level of	Time
Item	Description	Difficulty	(minutes)
E14-1	Features of long-term debt.	Simple	10-15
E14-2	Information related to various bond	Simple	35-45
	issues.		
E14-3	Entries for bond transactions.	Simple	15-20
E14-4	Entries for bond transactions—effective interest.	Simple	15-20
E14-5	Entries for bond transactions—straight- line.	Simple	15-20
E14-6	Entries for noninterest-bearing debt.	Simple	15-20
E14-7	Imputation of interest.	Simple	15-20
E14-8	Instalment note.	Moderate	15-20
E14-9	Purchase of equipment with noninterest-	Moderate	15-20
	bearing debt.		
E14-10	Purchase of equipment with noninterest- bearing debt.	Moderate	15-20
E14-11	Entries for bond transactions.	Moderate	15-20
E14-12	Amortization schedule—straight-line.	Simple	15-20
E14-13	Amortization schedule—effective interest.	Simple	15-20
E14-14	Determine proper amounts in account balances.	Moderate	15-20
E14-15	Government interest free loan	Moderate	15-20
E14-16	Entries and questions for bond transactions.	Moderate	20-30
E14-17	Entries for retirement of bonds.	Simple	10-15
E14-18	Entries for retirement and issuance of bonds – straight line.	Simple	15-20
E14-19	Entries for retirement and issuance of bonds – effective interest.	Complex	30-35
E14-20	Entry for retirement of bond; bond issue costs.	Moderate	20-25
E14-21	Entries for retirement and issuance of bonds.	Simple	15-20
E14-22	Impairments.	Moderate	15-25
E14-23	Settlement of debt.	Moderate	15-20
E14-24	Term modification debtor's entries.	Moderate	20-30
E14-25	Term modification creditor's entries.	Moderate	25-30
E14-26	Settlement debtor's entries.	Moderate	25-30
E14-27	Settlement creditor's entries.	Moderate	20-30
E14-28	Debtor/creditor entries for modification of troubled debt.	Moderate	20-25

Item	Description	Level of Difficulty	Time (minutes)
E14-29	Classification of liabilities	Simple	15-20
E14-30	Classification.	Simple	15-20
E14-31	Long-term debt disclosure.	Simple	10-15
P14-1	Entries for noninterest-bearing debt; payable in instalments.	Moderate	30-35
P14-2	Contrasting note terms.	Complex	50-60
P14-3	Analysis of amortization schedule and interest entries	Simple	15-20
P14-4	Issuance and retirement of bonds.	Moderate	25-30
P14-5	Comprehensive bond problem.	Complex	50-65
P14-6	Issuance of bonds between interest dates straight-line retirement	Complex	30-35
P14-7	Entries for noninterest-bearing debt.	Simple	15-25
P14-8	Classification of accounts used in bond	Moderate	55-65
P14-9	Issuance and retirement of bonds;	Simple	15-20
P14-10	Comprehensive problem; issuance, classification, reporting.	Moderate	20-25
P14-11	Issuance of bonds between interest dates, effective interest, retirement.	Complex	30-35
P14-12	Entries for life cycle of bonds.	Moderate	20-25
P14-13	Bonds at discount and premium incl.	Complex	45-50
P14-14	Loan impairment entries.	Moderate	30-40
P14-15	Debtor/creditor entries for continuation of troubled debt.	Moderate	15-25
P14-16	Restructure of note under different circumstances	Complex	50-60
P14-17	Debtor/creditor entries for continuation of troubled debt	Complex	40-50
P14-18	Entries for troubled debt restructuring.	Moderate	30-35
P14-19	Debtor/creditor entries for continuation of troubled debt with new effective	Complex	40-50
P14-20	interest. Legal versus in-substance defeasance	Moderate	15-20

ASSIGNMENT CHARACTERISTICS TABLE (CONTINUED)

SOLUTIONS TO BRIEF EXERCISES

- (a) A bond's credit rating is a reflection of credit quality. The BBB- credit rating of the bond at the time of issuance reflected an assessment of the company's ability to pay the amounts that will be due on that specific bond. With four consecutive quarters of increasing losses and deteriorating financial position in 2017, and new competition in the industry, credit analysts may downgrade the bond's credit rating to below investment grade.
- (b) The market closely monitors a bond's credit rating when determining the required yield and pricing of bonds at issuance and in periods after issuance. If the bond's credit rating is downgraded, the yield required by investors will likely increase, and the price of the bonds will likely decrease, to compensate the bondholder for the additional risk associated with that specific bond.

- (a) Financing is generally obtained through three sources: borrowing, issuing shares, and/or using internally generated funds. Leverage (or using borrowed money to increase returns to shareholders) can maximize returns to shareholders, and the related interest paid is tax deductible. However, borrowed funds must be repaid and can increase liquidity and solvency risk. Issuing shares does not increase liquidity and solvency risk; however, it may result in dilution of ownership. Using internally generated funds may be appropriate if the company's business model is generating excess funds.
- Based on the information provided, borrowing is the most (b) suitable source of financing for Jensen & Jensen. With a debt to total assets ratio of 55%, Dowty is underleveraged compared to similar size competitors operating in the same industry. This means that Jensen & Jensen may not be maximizing returns to shareholders, and that the company may be able to finance the expansion by borrowing and still maintain an acceptable level of liquidity and solvency risk. As a telecommunications equipment manufacturer, Jensen & Jensen operates in a capital intensive industry, and a lender may be able to structure the lending agreement in such a way as to secure the loan with the company's underlying tangible assets. Further, issuing shares is not ideal given the owners' desire to keep the company closely held.

1) Using tables:

Present value of the principal	
\$500,000 X .37689	\$188,445
Present value of the interest payments	
\$27,500 X 12.46221	342,711
Issue price	<u>\$531,156</u>

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	?	Yields \$ 531,156
I	5%	
Ν	20	
РМТ	\$ (27,500)	
FV	\$ (500,000)	
Туре	0	

3) Using Excel: = PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

(a)	Cash	300,000		
()	Notes Payable		300,000	
(b)	Interest Expense	24,000		
. ,	Cash (\$300,000 X 8%)		24,000	

(a) 1) Using tables:

Present value of the principal	
\$200,000 X .74409	\$148,818
Present value of the interest payments	
\$8,000 X 8.53020	68,242
Issue price	<u>\$217,060</u>

2) Using a financial calculator:

		Yields \$
PV	?	217,060.41
I	3%	
N	10	
PMT	\$ (8,000)	
FV	\$ (200,000)	
Туре	0	

<u>3) Using Excel</u>: =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

(b)	Cash Bonds Payable	217,060	217,060
(c)	Interest Expense (\$217,060 X 6% X 6/12) Bonds Payable (\$8,000 – \$6,512) Cash (\$200,000 X 8% X 6/12)	6,512 1,488	8,000
	Interest Expense [(\$217,060 – \$1,488) X 6% X 6/12] Bonds Payable (\$8,000 – \$6,467) Cash (\$200,000 X 8% X 6/12)	6,467 1,533	8,000

(a) 1) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$52,000	
I	?	Yields 15.09%
N	5	
РМТ	\$ 0	
FV	\$ (105,000)	
Туре	0	

<u>2) Using Excel</u>: =RATE(nper,pmt,pv, fv,type)

(b)	Cash 52,000	0.00
	Notes Payable	52,000.00

- (d)

Schedule of Discount Amortization Effective Interest Method (15.09%)

	15.09%			
	Effective	Discount	Carrying	
Date	Interest	Amort.	Value	
Jan. 1 2017			\$52,000.00	
Dec. 31 2017	\$7,846.75	\$7,846.75	59,846.75	
Dec. 31 2018	9,030.81	9,030.81	68,877.56	
Dec. 31 2019	10,393.55	10,393.55	79,271.11	
Dec. 31 2020	11,961.93	11,961.93	91,233.04	
Dec. 31 2021	13,766.96*	13,766.96	105,000.00	
	\$53,000.00	\$53,000.00		

* rounded

(a)	Equipment	38,912	
	Notes Payable		38,912

Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$ 38,912	
		Yields 11.00% (rounded to
I	?	2 decimal places)
Ν	5	
PMT	\$(2,500)	
FV	\$ (50,000)	
Туре	0	

Cash	200,000	
Notes Payable		176,448

The difference between the present value (using an 8% discount rate) and proceeds, is recorded as unearned revenue, since Big Country agreed to provide cattle at a reduced price over the term of the note. The amount will be brought into revenue over the term of the note, as the cattle are provided to Little Town.

Excel formula: =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

Using a financial calculator:

PV	?	Yields \$ 176,448			
I	8%				
Ν	6				
РМТ	0				
FV	\$ (280,000)				
Туре	0				

The relevant interest rate to be imputed on the instalment note is the rate Pflug would pay at its bank of 11%

1) Using tables:

Using Ordinary Annuity Tables for 11% for two periods, the factor of 1.71252 is used and divided into the present value amount of \$40,000 to arrive at the amount of the equal instalment payment of \$23,357.39

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$ (40,000)	
I	11%	
N	2	
РМТ	?	Yields \$ (23,357.35)
FV	\$ 0	
Туре	0	

<u>3) Using Excel:</u> = PMT(rate,nper,pv,fv,type)

(a)	Cash (\$500,000 – \$25,000) Bonds Payable	475,000	475,000
(b)	Interest Expense (\$40,000* + \$2,500**) Bonds Payable Cash* * \$500,000 X 8% = \$40,000 ** \$25,000 issue cost X 1/10 = \$2,500	42,500	2,500 40,000

- (c) When a note or bond is issued, it should be recognized at fair value adjusted by any directly attributable issue costs. However, note that where the liability will subsequently be measured at fair value (e.g., under the fair value option or because it is a derivative), the transaction costs should not be included in the initial measurement (i.e., the costs should be expensed) [CPA Canada Handbook, Part II, Section 3856.07 and IFRS 9.5.1.1].
- (d) If the bonds were trading on the market for over their face value, this would imply that the bonds were not actually issued at face value, but rather that the interest rate paid on the bonds exceeds market rate, and thus, the bonds are trading at a premium. This reflects the fair value hierarchy, whereby observable market prices for identical assets and liabilities is first on the hierarchy, and thus, if fair value was being used to record these bonds, their value would be higher than what is currently recorded.

(a)	Cash Bonds Payable	300,000	300,000
(b)	Interest Expense Cash (\$300,000 X 10% X 6/12)	15,000	15,000
(c)	Interest Expense Interest Payable	15,000	15,000

(a)	Cash (\$300,000 X .98) Bonds Payable	294,000	294,000
(b)	Interest Expense Cash (\$300,000 X 10% X 6/12) Bonds Payable (\$6,000 X 1/5 X .5 = \$600)	15,600	15,000 600
(c)	Interest Expense Interest Payable Bonds Payable	15,600	15,000 600

(a)	Cash (\$300,000 X 1.03 = \$309,000) Bonds Payable	309,000	309,000
(b)	Interest Expense	14,100	
	Bonds Payable (\$9,000 X 1/5 X .5)	900	
	Cash (\$300,000 X 10% X 6/12)		15,000
(c)	Interest Expense	14,100	
. ,	Bonds Pavable	900	
	Interest Payable		15,000

(a)	Cash	615,000	
	Bonds Payable Interest Expense (\$600,000 X 6% X 5/12 = \$1,500)		600,000 15,000
(b)	Interest Expense Cash (\$600,000 X 6% X 6/12 = \$18,00)	18,000	18,000
(c)	Interest Expense Interest Payable	18,000	18,000

(a)	Cash	559,229	
()	Bonds Payable		559,229
(b)	Interest Expense	22,369	
	Cash		21,000
	Bonds Payable		1,369
(c)	Interest Expense	22,424	
	Interest Payable		21,000
	Bonds Payable		1,424

(d) Using a Financial Calculator:

FV =	(600,000)	Given
n =	20	10 years X 2
PMT =	(21,000)	Face X 7% X 6/12
i =	4.0%	Calculate
PV =	559,229	Given

(e)

Schedule of Discount Amortization Effective Interest Method (4%)

	3.5% Cash	4.0%	Discount	Carrying
	Paid	Expense	Amortized	Amount
2017				\$559,229.00
2017	\$21,000.00	\$22,369.16	\$1,369.16	560,598.16
2018	21,000.00	22,423.93	1,423.93	562,022.09
2018	21,000.00	22,480.89	1,480.89	563,502.97
	2017 2017 2018 2018	3.5% Cash Paid 2017 2017 \$21,000.00 2018 21,000.00 2018 21,000.00	3.5%4.0%CashInterestPaidExpense201721,000.00201821,000.00201821,000.0022,423.93201821,000.00	3.5% 4.0% Cash Interest Discount Paid Expense Amortized 2017 1,369.16 2017 \$21,000.00 \$22,369.16 \$1,369.16 2018 21,000.00 22,423.93 1,423.93 2018 21,000.00 22,480.89 1,480.89

(a)	Cash	644,632	
	Bonds Payable	·	644,632
(b)	Interest Expense	19,339	
. ,	Bonds Payable	1,661	
	Cash		21,000
(c)	Interest Expense	19,289	
	Bonds Payable	1,711	
	Interest Payable		21,000

(d) Using a Financial Calculator:

(600,000)	Given
20	10 years X 2
(21,000)	Face X 7% X 6/12
3.0%	Calculate
664,632	Given
	(600,000) 20 (21,000) 3.0% 664,632

(e)

Schedule of Premium Amortization Effective Interest Method (3%)

		3.5% Cash	3.0% Interest	Premium	Carrying
Date		Paid	Expense	Amortized	Amount
Jan. 1	2017				\$644,632.00
July 1	2017	\$21,000.00	\$19,338.96	\$1,661.04	642,970.96
Jan. 1	2018	21,000.00	19,289.13	1,710.87	641,260.09
July 1	2018	21,000.00	19,237.80	1,762.19	639,497.89

(a)	Cash 1,0		
. ,	Bonds Payable	·	1,058,671
(b)	Interest Expense	5,293*	
	Bonds Payable	17,207	
	Cash		22,500**
	*(\$1,058,671 x 2% x 3/12 = \$5,293)		
	**(\$1,000,000 x 9% x 3/12 = \$22,500)		

BRIEF EXERCISE 14-18

(a)	a) Interest Expense (\$1,000,000 X 7%) Cash		70,000
	Bonds Payable (\$1,000,000 - \$900,000) Unrealized Gain or Loss	100,000	100,000

The unrealized gain or loss is recorded in net income.

(b)	Interest Expense (\$1,000,000 X 7%) Cash	70,000	70,000
	Bonds Payable (\$1,000,000 - \$900,000) Unrealized Gain or Loss - OCI	100,000	100,000

The unrealized gain or loss is recorded in other comprehensive income.

 Bonds Payable (\$800,000 + \$6,500)......
 806,500

 Cash (\$800,000 X .97).....
 776,000

 Gain on Redemption of Bonds......
 30,500

BRIEF EXERCISE 14-20

This is a situation where a currently maturing liability (a current liability) at year end is expected to be refinanced on a long-term basis.

Under IFRS, this loan liability is required to be reported as a current liability on the December 31 financial statements because it was not refinanced by the reporting date. The only exception permitted would be if the refinancing that extends the repayment terms was done under an agreement that existed at December 31 and the decision about the refinancing is solely up to the discretion of the entity's management.

The ASPE standard, however, allows a little more flexibility. The maturing debt is required to be reported as a current liability unless it has been refinanced on a long-term basis or there is a non-cancellable agreement to do so before the financial statements are completed, and there is nothing that prevents completion of the refinancing. Because the entity's financial statements would not have been completed as soon as two days after the reporting date (December 31) when the new agreement was finalized, ASPE would permit the debt to be included with long-term liabilities.

Since the present value of the future cash flows of the new debt differs by an amount larger than 10% of the present value of the old debt, the renegotiated debt is considered a settlement. A gain/loss is recorded by Lawrence (debtor) and no interest is recorded by the debtor. This is not considered a modification of terms. The old debt is removed from the books of Lawrence with a gain/loss being recognized, and the new debt is recorded.

2017	Notes Payable Gain on Restructuring of Debt	100,000	27,603
	Notes Payable		72,397
2018	Interest Expense (\$72,397 X .10)	7,240	
	Notes Payable		1,240
	Cash (8% X \$75,000)		6,000
2019	Interest Expense	7,363	
	Notes Payable		1,363
	Cash		6,000
	(\$72,397 + \$1,240) X .10 = \$7,363		·
2019	Notes Payable	75,000	
	Cash		75,000

- (a) Steinem's liquidity has improved. As a result of this transaction, the company's statement of financial position will show \$1 million more cash, and \$1 million less accounts receivable (a less liquid asset than cash).
- (b) Steinem's statement of financial position will not show increased debt or equity as a result of this transaction. The cash was generated by the special purpose entity, which sold shares to its investors.
- (c) This transaction is an example of off-balance-sheet financing.
- (d) From the perspective of an investor, there is a risk that the special purpose entity is being used primarily to make Steinem's statement of financial position and liquidity position appear better. As a general rule, special purpose entities should be consolidated with the main company when the main company is the primary beneficiary.

Current liabilities	
Bond interest payable	\$ 25,000
Bonds payable, due September 1, 2018	\$1,200,000

(a)

Ambrosia Limited Partial Statement of Financial Position As at December 31, 2017

Liabilities

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 20,000
Wages payable	15,000
Severance payable	15,000
Bonds payable	140,000
Total liabilities	<u>\$190,000</u>

(b)

Ambrosia Limited Partial Statement of Financial Position As at December 31, 2017

Liabilities

Current

Accounts payable and accrued liabilities	\$ 20,000
Wages payable	15,000
Current portion of bonds payable	30,000
Total current liabilities	65,000
Long-term	
Severance payable	15,000
Bonds payable	<u>110,000</u>
Total long-term liabilities	125,000
Total liabilities	<u>\$190,000</u>

Debt-paying ability may be evaluated by calculating the debt to total assets ratio:

2017 - \$500,000 / \$900,000= 56% 2016 - \$750,000/\$ 700,000 = 107%

Sports International's debt to assets ratio improved from 2016 to 2017, so their debt-paying ability and long-term solvency has improved.

Debt-paying ability may also be evaluated by calculating the current ratio:

2017 - \$120,000 / \$100,000 = 1.2 2016 - \$140,000 / \$150,000 = 0.93

Based on Sports International's current ratio, their ability to meet short term payment requirements in 2017 improved from 2016.

SOLUTIONS TO EXERCISES

EXERCISE 14-1 (10-15 minutes)

(a)

- 1. ii
- 2. iii
- 3. ii 4. ii
- 5. i
- 6. ii
- 7. ii
- 8. i
- (b) A feature or characteristic that increases the riskiness of the long-term debt will cause investors to require a higher yield on the long-term debt. A higher yield on the long-term debt will give investors an acceptable return that matches the issuer's risk characteristics.

EXERCISE 14-2 (35-45 minutes)

		Unsecured Bonds	Zero Coupon Bonds	Mortgage Bonds
(a)	Maturity value	\$10,000,000	\$2,500,000	\$15,000,000
(b)	Number of interest periods	40	10	10
(c)	Stated rate per period	3.25% (13%)	0	10%
(d)	Effective rate per period	3% (<u>12%</u>)	12%	12%
(e)	Payment amount per period	\$325,000 ⁽¹⁾	0	\$1,500,000 ⁽²⁾
(f)	Present value	\$10,577,900 ⁽³⁾	\$804,925 ⁽⁴⁾	\$13,304,880 ⁽⁵⁾
(1) \$ (2) \$	10,000,000 X 13% 15,000,000 X 10%	% X 1/4 = \$325,000 % = \$1,500,000)	
<u>1) (</u>	<u>Jsing tables</u>			
⁽³⁾ F	Present value of a discounted at	an annuity of \$325 3% per period for	5,000 40	* - - - - - - - - - -
D	periods (\$325,	$1000 \times 23.11477 = 10000 0000 discou$	intod	\$ 7,512,300
٣	at 3% ner neri	od for 40 neriods		
	(\$10,000,000 X	(.30656) =		3,065,600
				<u>\$10,577,900</u>

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields \$10,577,869
I	3%	
Ν	40	
PMT	\$ (325,000)	
FV	\$ (10,000,000)	
Туре	0	

EXERCISE 14-2 (CONTINUED)

3) Using Excel: = PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

1) Using tables

⁽⁴⁾ Present value of \$2,500,000 discounted at 12% for 10 periods (\$2,500,000 X .32197) = <u>\$804,925</u>

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields \$804,933
I	12%	
N	10	
PMT	0	
FV	\$ (2,500,000)	
Туре	0	

<u>3) Using Excel:</u> = PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

1) Using tables

⁽⁵⁾ Present value of an annuity of \$1,500,000 d	iscounted
at 12% for 10 periods	
(\$1,500,000 X 5.65022) =	\$8,475,330
Present value of \$15,000,000 discounted	
at 12% for 10 years	
(\$15,000,000 X .32197)	4,829,550

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields \$13,304,933
I	12%	
N	10	
РМТ	\$ (1,500,000)	
FV	\$ (15,000,000)	
Туре	0	

EXERCISE 14-2 (CONTINUED)

3) Using Excel: = PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

A more accurate result is obtained compared to using factors from tables as there are a limited number of decimal places in the tables.

(g) Similarities and differences among the bond features and their impact on risk are as follows:

– bond maturity (duration) – The bonds all have the same maturity date (duration), thus this risk factor is equalized among the bonds.

- bond stated rate and effective interest rate - The bonds all have a different stated interest rate (ranging from a deep discount, zero coupon bond of 0% to 13%). A discount on bonds payable results when investors demand a rate of interest higher than the rate stated on the bonds. This occurs when the investors are not satisfied with the stated nominal interest rate because they can earn a greater rate on alternative investments of equal risk. They refuse to pay par for the bonds and cannot change the stated nominal rate. However, by lowering the amount paid for the bonds, investors can alter the effective rate of interest. A premium on bonds payable results from the opposite conditions. That is, when investors are satisfied with a rate of interest lower than the rate stated on the bonds, they are willing to pay more than the face value of the bonds in order to acquire them, thus reducing their effective rate of interest below the stated rate. In this case, all the bonds are set to yield an effective interest rate of 12%, which adjusts the pricing of each individual bond so that they are all equally attractive to investors (purely on interest rates).

- timing of cash flows - The bonds all have differing timing of cash flow to the investors. This can affect their risk, as cash flows further in the future have a higher risk factor than cash flows in the present.

EXERCISE 14-2 (CONTINUED)

(g) (continued)

- bond security - Bonds security affects the risk of the bond. In the event of default, a secured bond will rank higher than an unsecured bond. Thus, unsecured bonds are generally riskier than secured bonds. Presumably the mortgage bonds have security.

All of the above factors have to be assessed together to determine the riskiness of each bond. The zero-coupon bonds have no cash flows over the entire 10-year term, making them riskier in that the company may not be able to pay

back the \$2.5 million at that time. On the other hand, the zero-coupon bonds may have more security underlying them than the 13% bonds which are listed as unsecured. The mortgage bonds are the least risky with the interest cash flows spread over the life of the bonds, and with physical property pledged as collateral in the case of inability of Anaconda to pay the principal or interest. Further information is required, however, about the fair value of the underlying collateral.

EXERCISE 14-3 (15-20 minutes)

1.	Divac Lim	ited:		
(a)	1/1/17	Cash Bonds Payable	300,000	300,000
(b)	7/1/17	Interest Expense (\$300,000 X 9% X 3/12) Cash	6,750	6,750
(c)	12/31/17	Interest Expense Interest Payable	6,750	6,750
2.	Verbitsky	Inc.:		
(a)	6/1/17	Cash Bonds Payable Interest Expense (\$200,000 X 12% X 5/12)	210,000	200,000 10,000
(b)	7/1/17	Interest Expense Cash (\$200,000 X 12% X 6/12)	12,000	12,000
(c)	12/31/17	Interest Expense Interest Payable	12,000	12,000

<u>Note to instructor:</u> Some students may credit Interest Payable on 6/1/17. If they do so, the entry on 7/1/17 will have a debit to Interest Payable for \$10,000 and a debit to Interest Expense for \$2,000.

EXERCISE 14-4 (15-20 minutes)

(a)

(u) 1/1/17	Cash (\$800,000 X 102%) Bonds Payable	816,000	816,000
(b)			
7/1/17	Interest Expense (\$816,000 X 9.75% X 1/2)	39,780	
	Bonds Payable Cash (\$800,000 X 10% X 6/12)	220	40,000
(c)			
12/31/17	Interest Expense (\$815,780* X 9.75% X 1/2)	39,769	
	Bonds Payable Interest Payable	231	40,000
*Carryi	ng amount of bonds at July 1, 2017:	047	¢040 000
Carry Amoi	tization of bond premium	2017	\$816,000
(\$4) Carry	0,000 – \$39,780) ring amount of bonds at July 1, 2017	,	<u>(220</u>) <u>\$815,780</u>

EXERCISE 14-5 (15-20 minutes)

(a)

(1)	1/1/17	Cash (\$800,000 X 102%) Bonds Payable	816,000	816,000
(2)	7/1/17	Interest Expense Bonds Payable (\$16,000 ÷ 40) Cash (\$800,000 X 10% X 6/12)	39,600 400	40,000
(3)	12/31/17	Interest Expense Bonds Payable Interest Payable	39,600 400	40,000

(b) Although the effective interest method is required under IFRS per IFRS 9.5.4.1, accounting standards for private enterprises do not specify that this method must be used and therefore, the straight-line method is also an option. The straight-line method is valued for its simplicity and might be used by companies whose financial statements are not constrained by this specific element of GAAP.

EXERCISE 14-6 (15-20 minutes)

(a)	January 1, 20	017	
1.	Land and Buildings Notes Payable (The \$1,500,000 capitalized cost represents the present value of note with maturity amount of \$2 discounted for five years at 9%)	1,500,000 the 2,307,941	1,500,000
2.	Land Mortgage Payable	1,743,292 	1,743,292
	1) Using tables:		
Pre	sent value of \$2,000,000 due in 10 years at 9%—\$2,000,000 X .42241	\$844,820	
Pre	sent value of \$140,000 (\$2,000,000 X 7%) payable annually for 10 years at 9% annually—\$140,000		
	X 6.41766 Present value of the note Discount to be amortized	<u>898,472</u> <u>\$1,743,292</u>	<u>\$ 256,708</u>

EXERCISE 14-6 (CONTINUED)

(a) (continued)

<u>3) Using Excel:</u> = PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

A more accurate result is obtained compared to using factors from tables as there are a limited number of decimal places in the tables. This difference is in most cases immaterial.

(b)

1.	Interest Expense Notes Payable (\$1,500,000 X .09)	135,000	135,000
2.	Interest Expense (\$1,743,292 X .09)	156,896	
	Mortgage Payable		16,896
	Cash (\$2,000,000 X .07)		140,000

EXERCISE 14-7 (15-20 minutes)

(a) 1) Using tables

Face value of the non-interest-bearing note	\$600,000
Discounting factor (12% for 3 periods)	<u>X .71178</u>
Amount to be recorded for the land at January 1, 2017	\$427,068

PV	\$?	Yields	\$427,068.15
I	12%		
N	3		
РМТ	0		
FV	\$ (600,000)		
Туре	0		

2) Using a financial calculator:

3) Using Excel: = PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

Carrying amount of the note at January 1, 2017	\$427,068
Applicable interest rate (12%)	<u>X .12</u>
Interest expense to be reported in 2017	<u>\$ 51,248</u>

The assessed value for the land is not as clear a measure of the value of the land compared to the present value of the future cash flows on the note. The present value represents the agreed cash flows, discounted at the market rate of interest, whereas the assessed value has been computed (generally) only for the purpose of municipal taxation. It can be used as a reasonableness check on the amount arrived for the carrying amount of the non-interest-bearing note.

EXERCISE 14-7 (CONTINUED)

(b) <u>1) Using tables</u> \$4,000,000 X .68301 = \$2,732,040

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields	\$2,732,054
	10%		
N	4		
РМТ	0		
FV	\$ (4,000,000)		
Туре	0		

<u>3) Using Excel:</u> = PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type) – same as financial calculator

A more accurate result is obtained using excel and a financial calculator compared to using factors from tables as there are a limited number of decimal places in the tables. This difference is in most cases immaterial.

EXERCISE 14-8 (15-20 minutes)

- (a) The purchase price of the land should be recorded at the present value of the future cash flows of the instalment note at the imputed interest rate of 9%. This is the fairest measure of the value of the asset obtained as it represents the present value of an agreed series of future cash flows. The listing price represents a tentative amount "asked" for the property and could be above or below the eventual agreed value.
- (b) Land will be recorded at \$110,000 based on the calculations below:

1) Using tables

*PV of \$43,456 ordinary annuity @ 9% for 3 years: (\$43,456 X 2.53130) = \$110,000

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	?	Yields \$ 110,000
I	9%	
Ν	3	
РМТ	\$ (43,456)	
FV	\$ 0	
Туре	0	

3) Using Excel: = PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

(C)

Effective Interest Amortization Table Effective Interest Method – 9%

Year	Note Payment	9% Interest	Reduction of Principal	Carrying Amount
1/1/17				\$110,000
12/31/17	\$43,456	\$9,900	\$ 33,556	76,444
12/31/18	43,456	6,880	36,576	39,868
12/31/19	43,456	3,588	39,868	0
EXERCISE 14-8 (CONTINUED)

(d)	Land	110,000	
	Notes Payable		110,000
(e)	Interest Expense	9,900	
	Notes Payable	33,556	
	Cash		43,456

(f) From the perspective of Safayeni Ltd., an instalment note provides for a reduced risk of collection when compared to a regular interest-bearing note. In the case of the interestbearing note, the principal amount is due at the maturity of the note. Further, the instalment note provides a regular reduction of the principal balance in every payment received annually and therefore reduces Safayeni's investment in the receivable, freeing up the cash for other purposes. This is demonstrated in the effective interest amortization table provided above for the instalment note.

EXERCISE 14-9 (15-20 minutes)

1) Using tables

*PV of \$100,000 annuity @ 10% for 4 years: (\$100,000 X 3.16987) = \$316,987

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields \$316,987
I	10%	
Ν	4	
РМТ	\$ (100,000)	
FV	\$ 0	
Туре	0	

3) Using Excel: = PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

		Note	10%	Red	uction	Carrying
Ye	ear	Payment	Interest	of Pr	incipal	Amount
1/2/1	17					\$316,987
12/3	1/17	\$100,000	\$ 31,699	\$	68,301	248,686
12/3	1/18	100,000	24,869		75,131	173,555
(c)	Inter	est Expense			24,869	
	Note	s Payable			75,131	
		Cash			·	100,000

EXERCISE 14-10 (15-20 minutes)

(a)	Equipment	86,349.00*	
	Cash		30,000.00
	Notes Payable		56,349.00

1) Using tables

*PV of \$75,000 @ 10% for 3 years	
(\$75,000 X 0.75132)	\$56,349
Down payment	<u>30,000</u>
Capitalized value of equipment	<u>\$86,349</u>

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields \$56,349
	10%	
Ν	3	
РМТ	\$ 0	
FV	(\$ 75,000)	
Туре	0	

3) Using Excel: = PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

(b) December 31, 2018:

Interest Expense (see schedule)..... 5,634.90 Notes Payable

5,634.90

Year	10% Interest	Balance			
12/31/17		\$56,349.00			
12/31/18	\$5,634.90	61,983.90			
12/31/19	6,198.39	68,182.29			
12/31/20	6,817.71*	75,000.00			
* rounded by \$0.52					

EXERCISE 14-10 (CONTINUED)

(b) (continued)

December 31, 2019: Interest Expense Notes Payable	6,198.39	6,198.39
December 31, 2020: Interest Expense Notes Pavable	6,817.71 75.000.00	
Notes Payable		6,817.71 75 000 00

(c) Accounting standards for private enterprises do not specify that the effective interest method must be used and therefore, the straight-line method is also an option. Collins may prefer to use the straight-line method due to its simplicity. However, the effective interest method is required under IFRS per IFRS 9.5.4.1.

EXERCISE 14-11 (15-20 minutes)

(a)

January 1, 2017

Cash	860,652	
Bonds Payable		860,652

(b)

Sc	hedule	of Interest Ex	pense and Bon	nd Prem	nium An	nortization
		Effec 12% B/	tive Interest M	ethod		
		1270 DC	Debit	Deb	oit	Carrving
		Credit	Interest	Bon	d	Amount of
D	ate	Cash	Expense	Paya	ble	Bonds
1/1/	17					\$860.652
1/1/	18	\$96,000.00	\$86.065	\$9	9.935	850,717
1/1/	19	96,000.00	85,072	10),928	839,789
1/1/	20	96,000.00	83,979	12	2,021	827,768
(c)			December 31,	2017		
	Intere	st Expense			86,065	
	Bonds	s Payable			9,935	
	I	nterest Payab	le			96,000
			January 1. 2	018		
	Intere	st Pavable	·····		96.000	
		Cash				96,000
						,
(d)			December 31.	2019		
(••)	Intere	st Expense			83.979	
	Bonds	s Pavable			12.021	
		nterest Payab	le		,•	96,000
		2				•
			January 1, 2	2020		
	Intere	st Payable			96,000	
		Cash				96,000

EXERCISE 14-11 (CONTINUED)

(e) Accounting standards for private enterprises do not specify that the effective interest method must be used and therefore, the straight-line method is also an option. Osborn may prefer to use the straight-line method due to its simplicity. However, the effective interest method is required under IFRS per IFRS 9.5.4.1.

EXERCISE 14-12 (15-20 minutes)

Schedule of Discount Amortization				
	St	traight-Line Met	hod	
	Credit	Debit	Credit	Carrying
	Interest	Interest	Bond	Amount of
Year	Payable	Expense	Payable	Bonds
Jan. 1, 2017				\$800,000
July 1, 2017	\$40,000	\$90,000	\$50,000 *	850,000
Dec. 31, 2017	40,000	90,000	50,000	900,000
July 1, 2018	40,000	90,000	50,000	950,000
Dec. 31, 2018	40,000	90,000	50,000	1,000,000

*\$50,000 = (\$1,000,000 - 800,000) / 4 semi-annual periods

EXERCISE 14-13 (15-20 minutes)

(a) Using a financial alculator:

PV	\$ 2,783,713	
1	? %	Yield 12%
Ν	5	
РМТ	\$ (300,000)	
FV	\$ (3,000,000)	
Туре	0	

Excel formula: = RATE(nper,pmt,pv,fv,type)

	Schedule of Effective I	Discount Amor Interest Method	tization (12%)	
	Credit	Debit	Credit	Carrying
	Interest	Interest	Bond	Amount of
Year	Payable	Expense	Payable	Bonds
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	
Jan. 1, 2017				\$2,783,713
Dec. 31, 2017	\$300,000	\$334,046 *	\$34,046	2,817,759
Dec. 31, 2018	300,000	338,131	38,131	2,855,890
Dec. 31, 2019	300,000	342,707	42,707	2,898,597
Dec. 31, 2020	300,000	347,832	47,832	2,946,429
Dec. 31, 2021	300,000	353,571 **	53,571	3,000,000.00

*\$334,046 = \$2,783,713 X .12.

**Rounded.

EXERCISE 14-13 (CONTINUED)

(b) The straight-line method results in higher interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2017, and the effective interest method results in higher interest expense for the year ended December 31, 2021. Under the straight-line method, the amount that is amortized each year is constant. Under the effective interest method, the amount amortized each year is based on a constant percentage of the bonds' increasing carrying amount. A user who would like the company's income statement to reflect the most faithfully representative measure of net income would prefer that the company use the effective interest method, under which interest expense correlates more closely with the actual carrying amount of the bond. EXERCISE 14-14 (15-20 minutes)

1.	
Printing and engraving costs of bonds	\$25,000
Legal fees	69,000
Commissions paid to underwriter	70,000
Amount to be reported	<u>\$164,000</u>

When a note or bond is issued, it should be recognized at the fair value adjusted by any directly attributable issue costs. The costs would affect the amount of bond premium or discount amortization recorded and effectively increase the interest expense over the term of the bond. However, note that where the liabilities will subsequently be measured at fair value (e.g., under the fair value option or because they are derivatives), the transaction costs should not be included in the initial measurement (i.e., the costs should be expensed at the time of issuance) [*CPA Canada Handbook*, Part II, Section 3856.07 and IFRS 9.5.1.1].

2.

Interest paid for each period, from January 1 to June 30, 2017 and July 1 to Dec. 31, 2017 \$3.000.000 X 10% X 6/12	\$150.000
Less: Premium amortization for each period from January 1 to June 30, and July 1 to Dec. 31,	<i></i>
[(\$3,000,000 X 1.04) – \$3,000,000] ÷ 10 X 6/12	6,000
Interest expense to be recorded on each of July 1	
and December 31, 2017	<u>\$ 144,000</u>
3.	
Carrying amount of bonds on June 30, 2017	\$562,613
Effective interest rate for the period from June 30	
to October 31, 2017 (.10 X 4/12)	<u>X .033333</u>
Interest expense to be recorded on October 31, 2017	\$ 18,754

EXERCISE 14-14 (CONTINUED)

4.

Carrying amount of bonds on Dec. 31, 2017	\$850,716.97
Less: fair value of bonds on Dec. 31, 2017	838,000.00
Gain on bonds due to change in credit risk	\$12,716.97

Under IAS 39, where the fair value option is selected, credit risk is incorporated into the measurement and resulting gains/losses are booked through net income. However, under IFRS 9 gains/losses related to changes in credit risk are booked through Other Comprehensive Income.

(Note that under ASPE, where the fair value option is used, credit risk is incorporated into the measurement and resulting gains/losses are booked through net income.)

EXERCISE 14-15 (15-20 minutes)

- (a) Through the interest-free forgivable loan for Sunshine to build additional solar panels, the government is reducing the cost of the panels in addition to providing the financing. The company is avoiding the interest it would ordinarily have been charged. Sunshine is getting a double benefit. First it is getting the loan and second the company does not have to incur interest payments on the note. Since the company believes that the loan will be forgiven, the benefit has to be accounted for as a government grant. The measurement of the interest at 12% is the fair rate of interest to impute on this loan.
- (b) <u>1) Using tables:</u>

*PV of \$500,000 @ 12% discounted 5 years (500,000 x 0.56743 = 283,715)

2) Usina	a financial	calculator:
_			

PV	\$?	Yields	\$ 283,713
	12%		
N	5		
PMT	\$ 0		
FV	\$ (500,000)		
Туре	0		

3) <u>Using Excel:</u> =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

Schedule of Note Discount Amortization		
	Debit, Interest Expense	Carrying Amount
Date	Credit Notes Payable	of Note
12/31/17		\$ 283,715
12/31/18	\$34,046	317,761
12/31/19	38,131	355,892
12/31/20	42,707	398,599

EXERCISE 14-15 (CONTINUED)

(c)

Cash 5	500,000	
Notes Payable	283,71	5
Equipment	216,28	5
(\$500,000 - \$283,715 = \$216,285)		

(d)

December 31, 2018		
Interest Expense	34,046	
Notes Payable	·	34,046

EXERCISE 14-16 (20-30 minutes)

(a) 1.	June 30, 2017	4 000 000	
	Bonds Payable	4,300,920	4,300,920
2.	December 31, 2017		
	(\$4 300 920 X 12% X 6/12)	258,055	
	Bonds Payable	1,945	
	Cash (\$4,000,000 X 13% X 6/12)		260,000
3.	June 30, 2018		
	Interest Expense [(\$4,300,920 – \$1,945) X 12% X 6/12]	257,939	
	Bonds Payable Cash	2,061	260,000
4.	December 31, 2018	057.045	
	[(\$4,300,920 – \$1,945 – \$2.061) X 12% X 6/12]	257,815	
	Bonds Payable Cash	2,185	260,000
(b)	Long-term Liabilities:		
Во	nds payable, 13% (due on June 30, 2037)	9	64,298,975
(\$4	.,300,920 – \$1,945) = \$4,298,975		
EX	ERCISE 14-16 (CONTINUED)		

(c)

Ì.	Interest expense for the period from	
	July 1 to December 31, 2017 from (a) 2.	<u>\$258,055</u>
	Amount of bond interest expense	
	reported for 2017	<u>\$258,055</u>

2. The amount of bond interest expense reported in 2017 will be greater than the amount that would be reported if the straight-line method of amortization were used. Under the straight-line method, the amortization of bond premium is \$7,523 (\$300,920/20 X 6/12). Bond interest expense for 2017 would be the difference between the actual interest paid, \$260,000 (\$4,000,000 X 13% X 6/12) and the amortized premium, \$7,523. Thus, the amount of bond interest expense would be \$252,477, which is smaller than the bond interest expense under the effective interest method.

Note: Although the effective interest method is required under IFRS per IFRS 9.5.4.1, accounting standards for private enterprises do not specify that this method must be used and therefore, the straight-line method is also an option. The straight-line method is valued for its simplicity and might be used by companies whose financial statements are not constrained by this specific element of GAAP.

Total interest to be paid for the bond	
(\$4,000,000 X 13% X 20)	\$10,400,000
Principal due in 2037	4,000,000
Total cash outlays for the bond	14,400,000
Cash received at issuance of the bond	(4,300,920)
Total cost of borrowing over the life	
of the bond	<u>\$10,099,080</u>
	Total interest to be paid for the bond (\$4,000,000 X 13% X 20) Principal due in 2037 Total cash outlays for the bond Cash received at issuance of the bond Total cost of borrowing over the life of the bond

4. They will be the same, although the pattern of recognition will be different.

EXERCISE 14-17 (10-15 minutes)

Reacquisition price (\$500,000 X 104%) Less: Net carrying amount of bonds redeemed:		\$520,000
Face value Unamortized discount Loss on redemption	\$500,000 <u>(10,000</u>)	<u>490,000</u> <u>\$ 30,000</u>
Bonds Payable Loss on Redemption of Bonds Cash (To record redemption of bonds payable)	490,000 30,000	520,000
Cash Bonds Payable (\$500,000 + \$15,000 – \$3,000) (To record issuance of new bonds)	512,000	512,000

Note: When a note or bond is issued, it should be recognized at the fair value adjusted by any directly attributable issue costs. These costs would affect the amount of bond premium or discount amortization recorded and effectively increase the interest expense over the term of the bond through the allocation of the issuance cost to periods. However, note that where the liabilities will subsequently be measured at fair value (e.g., under the fair value option or because they are derivatives), the transaction costs should not be included in the initial measurement (i.e., the costs should be expensed at the time of issuance) [*CPA Canada Handbook*, Part II, Section 3856.07 and IFRS 9.5.1.1].

EXERCISE 14-18 (15-20 minutes) (a)		
June 30, 2017		
Bonds Payable	789,600	
Loss on Redemption of Bonds	42,400	
Cash		832,000
Reacquisition price (\$800,000 X 104%)		\$832,000
Carrying amount of bonds redeemed:	•	
Par value	\$800,000	
Unamortized discount (.02 X \$800.000 X 13/20)	(10,400)	<u>(789,600</u>)
Loss on redemption		<u>\$ 42,400</u>
Cash (\$1,000,000 X 102%)	1,020,000	
Bonds Payable		1,020,000

(b)	December 31, 2017		
• •	Interest Expense	49,500	
	Bonds Payable	500*	
	Cash		50,000**

*(1/40 X \$20,000 = \$500) **(.05 X \$1,000,000 = \$50,000)

EXERCISE 14-19 (30-35 minutes)

1) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$ 784,000	
I	? %	Yields 6.135%
N	40	
PMT	\$ (48,000)	
FV	\$ (800,000)	
Туре	0	

2) <u>Using Excel:</u> =RATE(nper,pmt,pv,fv,type)

Schedule of Bond Discount Amortization Effective Interest Method 12% Semi-annual Bonds Sold to Yield 12.27%

		6.0%	6.135%		
		Cash	Interest	Discount	Carrying
Date		Paid	Expense	Amortized	Amount
June 30	2010				\$784,000.00
Dec. 31	2010	\$48,000.00	\$48,098.44	\$98.40	784,098.40
June 30	2011	48,000.00	48,104.44	104.44	784,202.84
Dec. 31	2011	48,000.00	48,110.84	110.84	784,313.68
June 30	2012	48,000.00	48,117.64	117.64	784,431.33
Dec. 31	2012	48,000.00	48,124.86	124.86	784,556.19
June 30	2013	48,000.00	48,132.52	132.52	784,688.71
Dec. 31	2013	48,000.00	48,140.65	140.65	784,829.36
June 30	2014	48,000.00	48,149.28	149.28	784,978.64
Dec. 31	2014	48,000.00	48,158.44	158.44	785,137.08
June 30	2015	48,000.00	48,168.16	168.16	785,305.24
Dec. 31	2015	48,000.00	48,178.48	178.48	785,483.72
June 30	2016	48,000.00	48,189.43	189.43	785,673.15
Dec. 31	2016	48,000.00	48,201.05	201.05	785,874.19
June 30	2017	48,000.00	48,213.38	213.38	786,087.57
				\$2,087.57	

EXERCISE 14-19 (CONTINUED)

Although not required, the entry at the issuance of the bonds:

(a)

At June 30, 2017 the carrying amount of the bonds is as indicated in the effective interest table: \$786,087.57

June 30, 2017		
Bonds Payable	786,087.57	
Loss on Redemption of Bonds	45,912.43	
Cash	-	832,000.00
Reacquisition price (\$800,000 X 104%)		\$832,000.00
Net carrying amount of bonds redeemed:		786,087.57
Loss on redemption		<u>\$45,912.43</u>
Cash (\$1,000,000 X 102%)	1,020,000	
Bonds Payable		1,020,000

EXERCISE 14-19 (CONTINUED)

(a) (continued)

1) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$ 1,020,000	
I	? %	Yields 4.885 %
N	40	
РМТ	\$ (50,000)	
FV	\$ (1,000,000)	
Туре	0	

2) Using Excel: =RATE(nper,pmt,pv,fv,type)

(b)	December 31, 2017	7	
. ,	Interest Expense	49,827.00	
	Bonds Payable	173.00	
	Cash		50,000.00
	(\$1,020,000 X 4.885% = \$49,827.00)		·

EXERCISE 14-20 (20-25 minutes)

(a)

Reacquisition price (\$850,000 X 102%)	<u>\$867,000</u>
Par value	850,000
Unamortized discount	<u>(43,917)</u> <u>806,083</u>
Loss on redemption	<u>\$ 60,917</u>
Calculation of unamortized discount—	
Original amount of discount:	
\$850,000 X 3% = \$25,500	\$25,500
Bond issuance costs (\$110.000 X	
\$850,000/\$1,500,000 =	62,333
Amount to be amortized over 10 years	<u>\$87,833</u>
Amount of discount unamortized:	
(\$87,833 X 5) ÷ 10 = \$43,917	
-	

January 2, 2017

Bonds Payable	806,083	
Loss on Redemption of Bonds	60,917	
Cash		867,000

EXERCISE 14-20 (CONTINUED)

(b) Had the costs of issuing the bond of \$110,000 been expensed on the date of issue (which is the required accounting treatment for transactions costs when the debt is subsequently measured at fair value rather than amortized cost), the issue costs would have been charged to expense in 2012.

Reacquisition price (\$850,000 X 102%)	\$867,000
Less: Carrying amount of bonds on the	
reacquisition date = fair value at that date (see	
assumption)	<u>867,000</u>
Gain/Loss on redemption	<u>\$ -0-</u>

<u>Note to instructor:</u> Since the bonds are carried at fair value, there would be no separate gain or loss on retirement. All changes in the fair value of the bonds would have already been recognized in net income in prior years. If the company had adopted IFRS 9 early in prior years, all changes in the fair value of the bonds (which relate to changes in credit risk) would have already been recognized in Other Comprehensive Income.

January 2, 2017		
Bonds Payable	867,000	
Cash	·	867,000

EXERCISE 14-20 (CONTINUED)

(c) If Kowalchuk were to follow IFRS, then the effective interest method must be used to amortize any discounts or premiums. Although the effective interest method is required under IFRS per IFRS 9.5.4.1, accounting standards for private enterprises do not specify that this method must be used and therefore, the straight-line method is also an option. The straight-line method is valued for its simplicity and might be used by companies whose financial statements are not constrained by this specific element of GAAP.

Under IAS 39, where the fair value option is selected, credit risk is incorporated into the measurement and resulting gains/losses are booked through net income. However, under IFRS 9, gains/losses related to changes in credit risk are booked through Other Comprehensive Income.

(Note that under ASPE, where the fair value option is used, credit risk is incorporated into the measurement and resulting gains/losses are booked through net income.)

EXERCISE 14-21 (15-20 minutes)

Cash (\$5,000,000 X .97) Bonds Payable (To record issuance of 6% bonds)	4,850,000	4,850,000
Bonds Payable Loss on Redemption of Bonds Cash (\$10,000,000 X 1.05) (To record retirement of 8% bonds)	8,900,000 1,600,000	10,500,000
Reacquisition price Less: Net carrying amount of bonds redeo Par value \$1	emed: 10,000,000	\$10,500,000
Unamortized bond discount (Loss on redemption	<u>1,100,000</u>)	<u>8,900,000</u> <u>\$ 1,600,000</u>

EXERCISE 14-22 (15-25 minutes)

(a) Journal entry to record issuance of loan by Par Bank:

December 31, 2016		
Notes Receivable	81,241	
Cash		81,241

(b)

	Note	Amortization	Schedule	
(Before Impairment)				
	Cash	Interest		Carrying
	Received	Income	Discount	Amount of
Date	(0%)	(9%)	Amortized	Note
12/31/16				\$81,241
12/31/17	\$0	\$7,312	\$7,312	88,553

Computation of the impairment loss:

Carrying amount of investment (12/31/17) \$88,553

1) Using tables:

Less: Present value of \$93,750 due in 4 years	
at 9% (\$93,750 X .70843)	
Loss due to impairment	

<u>66,415</u> \$22,138

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields	\$66,415
I	9%		
N	4		
РМТ	0		
FV	\$ (93,750)		
Туре	0		

EXERCISE 14-22 (CONTINUED)

(b) (continued)

<u>3) Using Excel:</u> = PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

The entry to record the loss by Par Bank is as follows:

Bad Debt Expense	22,138	
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts		22,138

(c) Mohr Inc., the debtor, makes no entry because it still legally owes \$125,000.

EXERCISE 14-23 (15-20 minutes)

(a) Transfer of property on December 31, 2017:

<u>Strickland Inc. (Debtor)</u> :		
Notes Payable	200,000	
Interest Payable	18,000	
Accumulated Depreciation—Machinery	221,000	
Machinery		390,000
Gain on Disposal of Machinery		11,000 ^a
Gain on Restructuring of Debt		38,000 ^b

^a\$180,000 - (\$390,000 - \$221,000) = \$11,000. ^b(\$200,000 + \$18,000) - \$180,000 = \$38,000.

Heartland Bank (Creditor):		
Machinery	180,000	
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts*	38,000	
Notes Receivable		200,000
Interest Receivable		18,000

*Assumes Heartland had previously recognized a loss when they determined the loan was impaired, and set up an allowance for doubtful accounts or had otherwise included this category of notes in allowance calculations.

(b) If "Gain on Sale of Machinery" and "Gain on Restructuring of Debt" do not occur frequently, they are still presented as part of income from continuing operations. If they are not material in amount, they are combined with the other items in the income statement. If they are material, they are disclosed separately. However, if the same types of gains/losses recur each year, then they are not really unusual and care must be taken to classify them with other gains and losses as normal transactions.

EXERCISE 14-23 (CONTINUED)

(c) Granting of equity interest on December 31, 2017:

Strickland Inc. (Debtor):		
Notes Payable	200,000	
Interest Payable	18,000	
Common Shares	-	190,000
Gain on Restructuring of Debt		28,000
Heartland Bank (Creditor):		
FV-NI Investments	190,000	
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts*	28,000	
Notes Receivable		200,000
Interest Receivable		18,000

*Assumes Heartland had previously recognized a loss when they determined the loan was impaired, and set up an allowance for doubtful accounts or had otherwise included this category of notes in allowance calculations.

EXERCISE 14-24 (20-30 minutes)

(a) The first step is to determine the economic substance of the debt renegotiation and determine if it should be accounted as a settlement or a modification/exchange regarding the old debt. In this case, the creditor is the same and so is the currency and therefore the test to establish whether there is a settlement or not revolves around the cash flows. The present value of the cash flow streams of the new debt are calculated using the historical interest rate of 12% for consistency and comparability.

Present value of old debt is \$2,000,000. Present value of new debt is calculated as follows:

1) Using tables:

		12%	Present
		Factor	<u>Value</u>
Single amount	\$ 1,900,000	0.71178	\$ 1,352,382
Interest annuity	190,000	2.40183	456,348
			\$ 1,808,730

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields \$1,808,730
I	12%	
N	3	
PMT	\$ (190,000)	
FV	\$ (1,900,000)	
Туре	0	

3) Using Excel: =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

EXERCISE 14-24 (CONTINUED)

(a) (continued)

Since the present value of the future cash flows of the new debt does not differ by an amount greater than 10% of the present value of the old debt, the renegotiated debt is not considered a settlement. No gain is recorded by Troubled. This is considered a modification of terms. The old debt remains on the books of Troubled at \$2,000,000 and no gain or loss is recognized. Note disclosure is required.

(b) The new effective rate of 7.9592% was computed by Troubled in order to record the interest expense based on the future cash flows specified by the new terms with the pre-restructuring carrying amount of the debt of \$2,000,000. The rate would have been calculated as follows:

1) Using a mancial calculator.	1)	Using	a financial	calculator:
--------------------------------	----	-------	-------------	-------------

PV	\$ 2,000,000	
	? %	Yields 7.9592 %
Ν	3	
РМТ	\$ (190,000)	
FV	\$ (1,900,000)	
Туре	0	

2) Using Excel: =RATE(nper,pmt,pv,fv,type)

EXERCISE 14-24 (CONTINUED)

The interest payment schedule is prepared as follows:

TROUBLED INC. INTEREST PAYMENT SCHEDULE AFTER DEBT RESTRUCTURING				
	EFFECTIV	EINTEREST	CATE 7.9592%	
	Cash	Effective	Reduction	Carrying
	Interest	Interest	of Carrying	Amount of
Date	(10%)	(7.9592%)	Amount	Note
12/31/17				\$2,000,000
12/31/18	\$190,000 ^a	\$159,184 ^b	\$30,816 ^c	1,969,184
12/31/19	190,000	156,731	33,269	1,935,915
12/31/20	<u>190,000</u>	<u>154,085</u> ^d	<u>35,915</u>	1,900,000
Total	<u>\$570,000</u>	<u>\$470,000</u>	<u>\$100,000</u>	

^a\$1,900,000 X 10% = \$190,000.
^b\$2,000,000 X 7.9592% = \$159,184.
^c\$190,000 - \$159,184 = \$30,816.
^dAdjusted for rounding.

(c) Interest payment entry for Troubled Inc. is:

December 31, 2019		
Notes Payable	33,269	
Interest Expense	156,731	
Cash	·	190,000

(d) The payment entry at maturity is:

January 1, 2021

Notes Payable	1,900,000	
Cash		1,900,000

EXERCISE 14-25 (25-30 minutes)

(a) The Green Bank should use the historical interest rate of 12% to calculate the loss.

(b)

Pre-restructuring carrying amount of note	\$2,000,000
Present value of restructured cash flows (below)	<u>1,808,730</u>
Loss on restructuring of debt	<u>\$ 191,270</u>

1) Using tables:

		12%	Present
		Factor	<u>Value</u>
Single amount	\$ 1,900,000	0.71178	\$ 1,352,382
Interest annuity	190,000	2.40183	456,348
		_	\$ 1,808,730

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields \$1,808,730
I	12%	
Ν	3	
РМТ	\$ (190,000)	
FV	\$ (1,900,000)	
Туре	0	

<u>3) Using Excel:</u> =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

December 31, 2017

Modification Gain or Loss	191,270	
Notes Receivable		191,270

Note: Any gains or losses on modification of contractual cash flows must be shown in the income statement as a modification gain or loss (IFRS 9.5.4.3). If Green Bank had previously recognized an Allowance for Doubtful Accounts related to this account, the debit account would have been the Allowance account instead of the expense account.

EXERCISE 14-25 (CONTINUED)

(c) The interest receipt schedule is prepared as follows:

GREEN BANK INTEREST RECEIPT SCHEDULE AFTER DEBT RESTRUCTURING EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE 12%

	Cash Interest	Effective Interest	Increase in Carrying	Carrying Amount of
Date	(10%)	(12%)	Amount	Note
12/31/17				\$1,808,730
12/31/18	\$190,000 ^a	\$217,047 ^b	\$27,047 [°]	1,835,777
12/31/19	190,000	220,293	30,293	1,866,070
12/31/20	<u>190,000</u>	<u>223,930</u>	<u>33,930</u>	1,900,000
Total	<u>\$570,000</u>	<u>\$661,270</u>	<u>\$91,270</u>	
ౖి\$1,90	00,000 X 10% :	= \$190,000.		
[°] \$1,80	08,730 X 12%	= \$217,047.		
°\$217	,047 – \$190,00	00 = \$27,047.		

(d)	Interest receipt entry for Green Bank is	5	
. ,	December 31, 2019		
	Cash	190,000	
	Notes Receivable	30,293	
	Interest Income		220,293

(e)	The receipt entry at maturity is:	
	January 1, 2021	
	Cash 1,900,000	
	Notes Receivable	1,900,000

EXERCISE 14-26 (25-30 minutes)

(a) The first step is to determine the economic substance of the debt renegotiation and determine if it should be accounted as a settlement or a modification/exchange regarding the old debt. In this case, the creditor is the same and so is the currency and therefore the test to establish whether there is a settlement or not revolves around the cash flows. The present value of the cash flow streams of the new debt are calculated using the historical interest rate of 12% for consistency and comparability.

Present value of old debt is \$2,000,000. Present value of new debt is calculated as follows:

		12%	Present
1) Using tables:		Factor	<u>Value</u>
Single amount	\$ 1,600,000	0.71178	\$ 1,138,848
Interest annuity	160,000	2.40183	384,293
		_	\$ 1,523,141

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields	\$1,523,141
	12%		
N	3		
РМТ	\$ (160,000)		
FV	\$ (1,600,000)		
Туре	0		

EXERCISE 14-26 (CONTINUED)

(a) (continued)

3) Using Excel: =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

Since the present value of the future cash flows of the new debt of \$1,523,141 differs by an amount larger than 10% of the present value of the future cash flows of the old debt in the amount of \$2,000,000, the renegotiated debt is considered a settlement and Troubled records a gain.

(b)	Notes Payable 2,000,000	
	Gain on Restructuring of Debt.	400,000
	Notes Payable	1,600,000

- (c) The new debt would be recorded at the present value of the new cash flows at the current market rate of 10%. Therefore, Troubled should use the current market rate of 10% to calculate its interest expense in future periods. In E14-24, the renegotiated debt was not considered a settlement, and a new effective interest rate was imputed by equating the carrying amount of the original debt with the present value of the revised cash flows.
- (d) The interest payment schedule is prepared as follows: TROUBLED INC.

INTEREST PAYMENT SCHEDULE AFTER DEBT				
RESTRUCTURING				
EFFECTIVE INTEREST RATE 10%				
	Cash	Effective	Reduction	Carrying
	Interest	Interest	of Carrying	Amount of
Date	(10%)	(10%)	Amount	Note
12/31/17				\$1,600,000
12/31/18	\$160,000 ^a	\$160,000	-	1,600,000
12/31/19	160,000	160,000	-	1,600,000
12/31/20	<u>160,000</u>	<u>160,000</u>	-	1,600,000
Total	<u>\$480,000</u>	<u>\$480,000</u>		
	^a \$1,600,000 X	10% = \$160,0	00.	

EXERCISE 14-26 (CONTINUED)

(e)	Interest payment entries f	or Troubled Inc. are:
-----	----------------------------	-----------------------

December 31, 2018 throug	Jh 2020	
Interest Expense	160,000	
Cash		160,000

(f) The payment entry at maturity is:

January 1, 2021	
Notes Payable 1,600	,000
Cash	1,600,000
EXERCISE 14-27 (20-30 minutes)

(a) Green Bank needs to calculate the present value of the expected cash flows discounted at the historical effective interest rate, which in this case is 12%.

(b)

Pre-restructuring carrying amount of note\$2,000,000Present value of restructured cash flows (below)1,523,141Loss on debt restructuring\$ 476,859

1) Using tables:

		12%	Present
		Factor	<u>Value</u>
Single amount	\$ 1,600,000	0.71178	\$ 1,138,848
Interest annuity	160,000	2.40183	384,293
		=	\$ 1,523,141

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields	\$1,523,141
1	12%		
N	3		
РМТ	\$ (160,000)		
FV	\$ (1,600,000)		
Туре	0		

3) Using Excel: =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

(b)

December 31, 2017		
Modification Gain or Loss	476,859	
Notes Receivable		476,859

EXERCISE 14-28 (20–25 minutes)

The first step is to determine the economic substance of the debt renegotiation and determine if it should be accounted as a settlement or a modification/exchange regarding the old debt. In this case, the creditor is the same and so is the currency and therefore the test to establish whether there is a settlement or not revolves around the cash flows. The present value of the cash flow streams of the new debt are calculated using the historical interest rate of 12% for consistency and comparability.

Present value of old debt is \$270,000. Present value of new debt is calculated as follows:

1) Using tables:		12%	Present
		Factor	Value
Single amount	\$ 220,000	0.79719	\$ 175,382
Interest annuity	11,000	1.69005	18,591
-		_	\$ 193,973

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields \$193,973
	12%	
N	2	
PMT	\$ (11,000)	
FV	\$ (220,000)	
Туре	0	

3) Using Excel: =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

Since the present value of the future cash flows of the new debt differs by an amount larger than 10% of the present value of the old debt, the renegotiated debt is considered a settlement. A gain/loss is recorded by Vargo (debtor) and no interest is recorded by the debtor. This is not considered a modification of terms. The old debt is removed from the books of Vargo with a gain/loss being recognized, and the new debt is recorded.

EXERCISE 14-28 (CONTINUED)

Vargo Corp.'s entries:		
2017 Notes Payable	270,000	
Gain on Restructuring of Debt		50,000
Notes Payable		220,000
2018 Interest Expense	11,000	
Cash (5% X \$220,000)		11,000
2019 Interest Expense	11,000	
Cash		11,000
2020 Notes Payable	220,000	
Cash		220,000

EXERCISE 14-29 (15-20 minutes)

- (a) IFRS
- 1. Current liability since the operating cycle of the winery is 5 years.
- 2. Current liability, \$2,000,000; long-term liability, \$8,000,000.
- 3. Current liability (amount actually held in trust).
- 4. Probably noncurrent, although if operating cycle is greater than one year and current assets are reported based on this longer period, this item would be classified as current.
- 5. Interest payable is a current liability and the note payable is noncurrent liability.
- 6. Current liability.
- 7. Noncurrent liability.
- 8. Current liability.
- 9. Current asset netted against other cash balances.
- 10. Current liability.
- (b) ASPE

No differences. All of the above IFRS classifications would be the same under ASPE.

EXERCISE 14-30 (15-20 minutes)

- (a) IFRS
- 1. Interest expense (debit balance)—"Interest expense" on the income statement.
- 2. Loss on restructuring of debt— If "Loss on restructuring of debt" does not occur frequently, it is still presented as part of "Income from continuing operations". If it is not material in amount, it is combined with the other items in the income statement. If it is material, it is disclosed separately.
- 3. Mortgage payable—Classify full amount as long-term liability on the statement of financial position.
- 4. Debenture bonds—Classify as current liability on statement of financial position since the covenant was breached, making the amount immediately owing. Since the waiver was received after year end, must still be current.
- 5. Promissory notes payable—Classify 1/10 of the balance as current portion of promissory notes payable, and remaining balance as long-term liability on statement of financial position.
- 6. Income bonds payable—Classify full amount as current liability on the statement of financial position.
- (b) ASPE

Except for number 4, no differences. All of the above IFRS classifications would be the same under ASPE.

Under number 4, since the waiver was received after year end but before the financial statements were issued, ASPE would allow the debentures to still be presented as long term on the balance sheet. EXERCISE 14-31 (10-15 minutes)

At December 31, 2017, disclosures would be as follows:

Long-term debt consists of the following	
Notes payable, due June 30, 2020	\$2,200,000
Bond, due September 30, 2021	4,000,000
Debenture	17,500,000
	<u>\$23,700,000</u>

The debenture has annual sinking fund payments of \$3,500,000 in each of the years 2019 to 2023.

Maturities and sinking fund requirements on long-term debt are as follows:

2018	\$ O	
2019	3,500,000	
2020	5,700,000	(\$2,200,000 + \$3,500,000)
2021	7,500,000	(\$4,000,000 + \$3,500,000)
2022	3,500,000	
Thereafter	3,500,000	

Note: The company would also need to disclose interest rates for each liability, collateral if any, covenants and any other significant details in the debt agreements.

TIME AND PURPOSE OF PROBLEMS

Problem 14-1 (Time 30-35 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with an opportunity to become familiar with the exchange of an instalment note, which is payable in equal instalments, for raw materials to construct equipment. This problem requires the preparation of the necessary journal entries concerning the exchange and the annual payments and interest. A schedule of note discount amortization should be constructed to support the respective entries.

Problem 14-2 (Time 50-60 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with the opportunity to contrast the terms of a long-term note given in exchange for the purchase of land. The discussion of risk and financial statement disclosure is included as part of the required for this question. The preparation of effective interest tables for both alternatives is intended to draw the student's attention to the differences in the treatment of principal and interest between a regular note and an instalment note payable. Journal entries and adjusting journal entries and the statement of financial position disclosure must also be prepared under both alternatives. This is a comprehensive question.

Problem 14-3 (Time 15-20 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with the opportunity to interpret a bond amortization schedule. This problem requires both an understanding of the function of such a schedule and the relevance of each of the individual numbers. The student is to prepare journal entries to reflect the information given in the bond amortization schedule.

Problem 14-4 (Time 25–30 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with an understanding of how to make the journal entry to record the issuance of bonds. In addition, a portion of the bonds are retired and therefore a bond amortization schedule has to be prepared. Student must also deal with accounting for the costs of issuing a bond and the fair value method.

Problem 14-5 (Time 50-65 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with an understanding of the relevant journal entries which are necessitated for a bond issuance. This problem involves two independent bond issuances with the assumption that one is sold at a discount and the other at a premium, both utilizing the effective interest method. This comprehensive problem requires preparing journal entries for the issuance of bonds, related interest payments and amortization (with the construction of amortization tables where applicable), and the retirement of part of the bonds.

Problem 14-6 (Time 30-35 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with an understanding of the relevant journal entries, for a bond issuance and partial bond retirement. This problem requires preparing journal entries, assuming the straight-line method, for the issuance of bonds, related interest payments and amortization, and the retirement of part of the bonds. The student must also comment on any differences that would be addressed under IFRS.

Problem 14-7 (Time 15-25 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with an opportunity to become familiar with the exchange of notes for cash or property, goods, or services. This problem requires the preparation of the necessary journal entries concerning the exchange of a non-interest-bearing long-term note for a computer software system, and the necessary adjusting entries relative to amortization. The student should construct the relevant schedule of note discount amortization to support the respective entries. Finally, the effect of issuing debt on the debt to total asset ratio is calculated.

Problem 14-8 (Time 55–65 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with an understanding of the various accounts which are generated in a non-market rate bond issue, the financing of the purchase of machinery with an intalment loan, a government loan with a near zero interest rate and the treatment of the repurchase of bonds issued earlier in the year. Justification must be provided for the treatment accorded to accounts in relation to the specifics of this case.

Problem 14-9 (Time 15-20 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with an understanding of the relevant journal entries which are necessitated when there is a bond issuance and bond retirement. This problem also provides an opportunity for the student to learn the income statement treatment of the loss from retirement and the footnote disclosure required.

Problem 14-10 (Time 20-25 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with an understanding of a number of areas related to bonds. Specifically, the classification of bonds, determination of cash received with bond issue costs and accrued interest, and disclosure requirements.

Problem 14-11 (Time 30-35 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with a series of transactions from bond issuance, payment of bond interest, accrual of bond interest, amortization of bond discount, and bond retirement. Journal entries are required for each of these transactions.

Problem 14-12 (Time 20-25 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student the same opportunity as those given in Problem 14-11 except that the effective interest method will be used. The student will be required to calculate the effective interest rate on the bond using either a financial calculator or Excel function. The preparation of a partial effective interest table is also required.

Problem 14-13 (Time 45-50 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with an understanding of the relevant journal entries which are necessitated for a bond issuance. This problem involves two independent bond issuances with the assumption that one is sold at a discount and the other at a premium, both utilizing the effective interest method. This comprehensive problem requires preparing journal entries for the issuance of bonds, related interest payments and amortization (with the construction of amortization tables where applicable), and the retirement of part of the bonds.

Problem 14-14 (Time 30-40 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with a loan impairment situation that requires entries by both the debtor and the creditor and an analysis of the loss on impairment.

Problem 14-15 (Time 15-25 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with a troubled debt situation that requires calculation of the creditor's loss on restructure, entries to recognize the loss, and discussion of GAAP relating to this situation.

Problem 14-16 (Time 50-60 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with four independent and different restructured debt situations where losses or gains must be computed and journal entries recorded on the books of the creditor and the debtor.

Problem 14-17 (Time 40-50 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with a restructuring of a troubled debt situation requiring computation of the creditor's loss and entries by both the debtor and creditor before and after restructuring along with an amortization schedule.

Problem 14-18 (Time 30-35 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with a situation where troubled debt is sold to another creditor. The student must prepare entries on the books of both creditors and debtors after computing any gains or losses.

Problem 14-19 (Time 40-50 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with a complex troubled debt situation that requires two amortization schedules, computation of loss on restructure, and entries at different times on both the creditor's and debtor's books.

Problem 14-20 (Time 15–20 minutes)

<u>Purpose</u>—to provide the student with an opportunity to advise management on the legal, accounting and reporting issues concerning derecognition of debt on the statement of financial position. Legal defeasance and in-substance defeasance are contrasted in this case.

SOLUTIONS TO PROBLEMS

PROBLEM 14-1

(a) (1)

)		
12/31/17	Equipment	1,277,930
	Cash	500,000
	Notes Payable	777,930
[To reco	rd purchase of raw material (metal)) for construction of
equipmen	it at the present value of the note p	lus the immediate cash
payment:]		

1) Using tables:

PV of \$200,000 annuity @ 9%	
for 5 years (\$200,000 X 3.88965)	\$ 777,930
Down payment	500,000
Capitalized value of metals	<u>\$ 1,277,930</u>

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields \$777,930
I	9%	
N	5	
PMT	\$ (200,000)	
FV	\$ O	
Туре	0	

<u>3) Using Excel:</u> =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

(a) (continued)

Schedule of Note Discount Amortization				
				Carrying
	Debit, Interest Expense	Crea	dit	Amount
Date	Credit, Notes Payable	Cas	h	of Note
12/31/17				\$777,930
12/31/18	\$70,014	\$200,0	00	647,944*
12/31/19	58,315	200,0	00	506,259
12/31/20	45,563	200,0	00	351,822
12/31/21	31,664	200,0	00	183,486
12/31/22	16,514	200,0	00	
*\$647,944	4 = \$777,930 + \$70,014 - \$200),000.		
(2) 12/31/18	Notes Payable Interest Expense Cash		129,986 70,014	200,000
(3) 12/31/19	Notes Payable Interest Expense Cash		141,685 58,315	200,000
(4) 12/31/20	Notes Payable Interest Expense Cash		154,437 45,563	200,000
(3) 12/31/21	Notes Payable Interest Expense Cash		168,337 31,664	200,000

(a) (continued)

(6)

12/31/22	Notes Payable	183,486	
	Interest Expense	16,514	
	Cash		200,000

(b) From the perspective of the lender, an instalment note provides for a reduced risk of collection when compared to an interest-bearing note. In the case of the interest-bearing note, the principal amount is due at the maturity of the note. Further, the instalment note provides a regular reduction of the principal balance in every payment received annually and therefore reduces the lender's investment in the receivable, freeing up the cash for other purposes. This is demonstrated in the schedule of discount amortization provided above for the instalment note.

PROBLEM 14-2

- (a) The value of the land should be recorded at the present value of the future cash flows of the note given in exchange for the land. The asking price for the land is higher than the real purchase price. There is some flexibility to negotiate a reduction in the asking price for the land for sale by Silverman Corporation. The relevant interest rate to impute on the note is the interest rate to MacDougall who is the borrower in this case. The relevant interest rate is therefore 10%. The interest rate called for in the note of 4% is very low in relation to a fair market rate of interest.
- (b) A mortgage note involves the registering of a charge against the property, in this case land, whereas a promissory note alone offers no reduction of risk to Silverman Corporation. Should MacDougall fail to pay the note within the terms, Silverman Corporation can obtain recourse through the court and obtain the asset, or the proceeds from the resale of the asset, as satisfaction for the outstanding principal and interest owing on the mortgage note. A promissory note alone does not offer this potential relief to the creditor and is therefore a higher credit risk to Silverman Corporation.
- (c) The land is capitalized at the present value of a single payment at the end of five years of \$300,000 plus the annuity interest payments of \$12,000 per year for 5 years, imputed at 10% interest.

1) Using tables:

\$300,000 X .62092 =	\$186,276
\$12,000 X 3.79079 =	45,490
Present value	<u>\$231,766</u>

(c) (continued)

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields	\$231,766
1	10%		
Ν	5		
PMT	\$ (12,000)		
FV	\$ (300,000)		
Туре	0		

3) Using Excel: =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

Mortgage Note Payable – interest paid at 4%

		4%	10%		Note
		Cash	Interest	Discount	Carrying
Date		Paid	Expense	Amortized	Amount
June 1	2017				\$231,765.84
June 1	2018	\$12,000.00	\$23,176.58	\$11,176.58	242,942.42
June 1	2019	12,000.00	24,294.24	12,294.24	255,236.66
June 1	2020	12,000.00	25,523.67	13,523.67	268,760.33
June 1	2021	12,000.00	26,876.03	14,876.03	283,636.36
June 1	2022	12,000.00	28,363.64	16,363.64	300,000.00
			\$128,234.16	\$68,234.16	

(d)	June 1, 2017		
. ,	Land	231,766	
	Notes Payable		231,766

(e)

December 31, 2017		
Interest Expense	13,519.67	
Notes Payable		6,519.67
Interest Payable		7,000.00
(\$23,176.58 X 7/12 = \$13,519.67)		
(\$11,176.58 X 7/12 =\$6,519.67)		
June 1, 2018		
Interest Expense	9,656.91	
Interest Payable	7,000.00	
Notes Payable		4,656.91
Cash		12,000.00
(\$23,176.58 X 5/12 = \$9,656.91)		
(\$11,176.58 X 5/12 =\$4,656.91)		

(f) 1. Using the alternative of the instalment note, the land is capitalized at the present value of the annuity payment at the end of each of the next five years which will correspond to the same value as that arrived at for the mortgage note, imputed at 10% interest. The present value is \$231,766.

1) Using tables:

\$231,766 ÷ 3.79079 (PVOA_{5,10%}) = \$61,139.23

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$ 231,766	
I	10%	
N	5	
PMT	\$?	Yields \$(61,139.24)
FV	\$ 0	
Туре	0	

<u>3) Using Excel:</u> =PMT(rate,nper,pv,fv,type)

(f) (continued)

2.

Instalment Note Payable					
			10%		Note
		Cash	Interest	Discount	Carrying
Date		Paid	Expense	Amortized	Amount
June 1	2017				\$231,765.84
June 1	2018	\$61,139.24	\$23,176.58	\$37,962.66	193,803.18
June 1	2019	61,139.24	19,380.32	41,758.93	152,044.25
June 1	2020	61,139.24	15,204.43	45,934.82	106,109.43
June 1	2021	61,139.24	10,610.94	50,528.30	55,581.13
June 1	2022	61,139.24	5,558.11	55,581.13	0.00
			\$73,930.38	\$231,765.84	

3.

June 1, 2017		
Land	231,766	
Notes Payable		231,766

4.

December 31, 2017 Interest Expense Interest Payable (\$23,176.58 X 7/12 = \$13,519.67)	13,519.67	13,519.67
June 1, 2018		
Interest Expense	9,656.91	
Interest Payable	13,519.67	
Notes Payable	37,962.66	
Cash		61,139.24

(f) (continued)

5.

The classification of the Mortgage Note on the December 31, 2017 statement of financial position is:

Current liabilities: Interest payable	\$7,000
Non-current liabilities: Mortgage note payable, due June 1, 2022 (\$231,766 + \$6,520)	238,286

The classification of the Instalment Note on the December 31, 2017 statement of financial position is:

Current liabilities:	
Interest payable	\$13,519
Instalment note payable, current portion	37,963
Non-current liabilities:	
Instalment note payable, (due in annual	
payments of \$61,139 ending June 1, 2022)	
(\$231,766 - \$37,963)	193,803

6. Silverman Corporation would insist on the instalment note in order to secure larger cash inflows during the term of the note and to reduce the risk of having to collect the note principal in the case of a default by MacDougall.

PROBLEM 14-3

- (a) The bonds were sold at a discount of \$5,651. Evidence of the discount is the January 1, 2017 carrying amount of \$94,349, which is less than the maturity value of \$100,000 in 2026.
- (b) The interest allocation and bond discount amortization are based upon the effective interest method; this is evident from the increasing interest charge. Under the straight-line method the amount of interest would have been \$11,565.10 [\$11,000 + (\$5,651 ÷ 10)] for each year of the term of the bonds.

Although the effective interest method is required under IFRS per IFRS 9.5.4.1, accounting standards for private enterprises do not specify that this method must be used and therefore, the straight-line method is also an option. The straight-line method is valued for its simplicity and might be used by companies whose financial statements are not constrained by this specific element of GAAP.

(c) The stated rate is 11% (\$11,000 ÷ \$100,000). The effective rate is 12% (\$11,322 ÷ \$94,349).

(d)	January 1, 2017 Cash	94.349	
	Bonds Payable	0 1,0 10	94,349
(e)	December 31, 2017	11 200	
	Bonds Payable Interest Payable	11,322	322 11,000
(f)	January 1, 2025 (Interest Pay	(ment)	
	Cash	11,000	11,000
	December 31, 2025		
	Interest Expense	11,797	707
	Interest Payable		797 11,000

PROBLEM 14-4

(a) The present value of the future cash flows totals \$2,061,440.

1) Using tables:

Present value of the principal \$2,000,000 X .38554 (PV _{10, 10%})	\$771,080
Present value of the interest payments \$210,000* X 6.14457 (PVOA _{10, 10%})	1,290,360
Present value (selling price of the bonds)	<u>\$2,061,440</u>
*\$2,000,000 X 10.5% = <u>\$210,000</u>	

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields \$2,061,446
I	10%	
N	10	
PMT	\$ (210,000)	
FV	\$ (2,000,000)	
Туре	0	

<u>3) Using Excel</u>: =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

=PV(.10,10,-210,000,-2,000,000,0) where .10 designates the interest rate (Rate), the 10 is for the term (Nper), the outflow of \$210,000 is the annuity payment (Pmt) based on the 10.5% interest rate, the outflow of \$2,000,000 is future value (Fv), and the zero designates that the annuity is a regular annuity (Type).

Cash 2,011,440

Bonds Payable	
(\$2,000,000 + \$61,440 - \$50,000)	2,011,440

(b)

()	Cash	Interest	Discount	Carrying
	Payment	Expense	Amortiza-	Amount of
Date	10.5%	10.4053%	tion	Bonds
1/1/17				\$2,011,440
1/1/18	\$210,000	\$209,296	\$704	2,010,736
1/1/19	210,000	209,223	777	2,009,959
1/1/20	210,000	209,142	858	2,009,101
1/1/21	210,000	209,053	947	2,008,154
1/1/22	210,000	208,954	1,046	2,007,108
(c) Carry	ying amount as	s of 1/1/20		\$2,009,101
Less	: Amortization	of bond premium		
(\$9	947 ÷ 2)			474
Carr	ying amount as	s of 7/1/20		<u>\$2,008,627</u>
Read	equisition price			\$1,065,000
Carr	ying amount as	s of 7/1/20 of bond		
(\$2	2,008,627 ÷ 2)			<u>(1,004,314</u>)
Loss	on Redemptio	n		<u>\$ 60,686</u>
Entry for a	accrued interes	t		
Interest E	xpense	-	52,263	
Bonds Pa	yable		237	
(\$947 X	1/2 X 1/2)			
Cas	h			52,500
(\$2	210,000 X 1/2	X 1/2)		

(c) (continued)

Entry for reacquisition		
Bonds Payable	1,000,000	
Loss on Redemption of Bonds	60,686	
Bonds Payable*	4,314	
Cash		1,065,000

*Premium as of 7/1/20 to be written off (\$2,008,627 - \$2,000,000) X 1/2 = \$4,314

By choosing to carry the bonds at fair value and expensing the (d) costs of issuing the bond in the amount of \$50,000, the premium on bonds payable would increase at the date of issuance by the \$50,000 expensed at issue. Correspondingly, the interest expense recorded each year would be lower by the amount charged to expense using the effective interest method for the amortization of the additional \$50,000 (the effective interest rate would be 10% instead of the 10.4953% required due to the capitalization of the bond issue costs). In total, the periodic expense would be lower over the 10-year term of the bond by \$50,000, equal to the expense recognized at issuance. The total costs would be ultimately charged to income. The only difference would be that the charge would be completely expensed in the year the bond was issued as opposed to spread over the ten-year term of the bond.

Note: When a note or bond is issued, it should be recognized at the fair value adjusted by any directly attributable issue costs. However, note that where the liabilities will subsequently be measured at fair value (e.g., under the fair value option or because they are derivatives), the transaction costs should not be included in the initial measurement (i.e., the costs should be expensed) [*CPA Canada Handbook*, Part II, Section 3856.07 and IFRS 9.5.1.1].

PROBLEM 14-5

1. Armstrong Inc.

3/1/17	Cash Bonds Payable	1,888,352	1,888,352
Maturity	value of bonds payable		\$2,000,000
<u>1) Using</u>	tables:		
Present periods	value of \$2,000,000 due in 7 at 6% (\$2,000,000 X .66506)	\$1,330,120	
Present Semian	value of interest payable nually at 6% (\$100,000 X 5.58238)	558,238	
Proceed	s from sale of bonds		(1,888,358)
Discount	i on bonds payable		<u>\$111,642</u>

2) Using a financial calculator:

		Violdo
		rieids
PV	\$?	\$1,888,352
I	6%	
N	7	
PMT	\$ (100,000)	
FV	\$ (2,000,000)	
Туре	0	

<u>3) Using Excel:</u> = PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

A more accurate result is obtained compared to using factors from tables as there are a limited number of decimal places in the tables.

9/1/17	Interest Expense	113,301	
	Bonds Payable		13,301
	Cash		100,000

1. Armstrong Inc. (continued)

12/31/17	Interest Expense Bonds Payable (\$14,099 X 4/6)	76,066	9,399
	Interest Payable (\$100,000 \times 4/0)		00,007
3/1/18	Interest Expense Interest Payable	38,033 66,667	
	Bonds Payable	,	4,700
	Cash		100,000
9/1/18	Interest Expense	114,945	
	Bonds Payable		14,495
	Cash		100,000
12/31/18	Interest Expense	77,228	
	Bonds Payable (\$15,842 X 4/6)		10,561
	Interest Payable		66,667

Schedule of Bond Discount Amortization Effective Interest Method 10% Bonds Sold to Yield 12%

~

			Carrying
Cash	Interest	Discount	Amount of
Paid	Expense	Amortized	Bonds
			\$1,888,352
\$100,000	\$113,301	\$13,301	1,901,654
100,000	114,099	14,099	1,915,753
100,000	114,945	14,945	1,930,698
100,000	115,842	15,842	1,946,540
100,000	116,792	16,792	1,963,332
100,000	117,800	17,800	1,981,132
100,000	118,868	18,868	2,000,000
	Cash Paid \$100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000 100,000	Cash PaidInterest Expense\$100,000\$113,301100,000114,099100,000114,945100,000115,842100,000116,792100,000117,800100,000118,868	Cash PaidInterest ExpenseDiscount Amortized\$100,000\$113,301\$13,301100,000114,09914,099100,000114,94514,945100,000115,84215,842100,000116,79216,792100,000117,80017,800100,000118,86818,868

2. Ouelette Ltd.

6/1/17	Cash 6	,193,896
	Bonds Payable	6,193,896

The present value of the future cash flows totals \$6,193,896.38.

1) Using tables:

\$6,000,000
<u>6,193,899</u>
<u>\$ 193,899</u>

2) Using a financial calculator:

		Yields
PV	\$?	\$6,193,896
I	5%	
N	8	
PMT	\$ (330,000)	
FV	\$ (6,000,000)	
Туре	0	

3) Using Excel: =PV (rate, nper, pmt, fv, type)

=PV(.05,8,-330,000,-6,000,000,0) where .05 designates the interest rate (Rate), the 8 is for the term (Nper), the outflow of \$330,000 is the annuity payment (Pmt), the outflow of \$6,000,000 is future value (Fv) the zero designates that the annuity is a regular annuity (Type).

2. Ouelette Ltd. (continued)

Interest Expense	. 309,695	
Cash (\$6,000,000 X .11 X 6/12)	. 20,303	330,000
Interest Expense (\$308,680 X 1/6) Bond Payable (\$21,320 X 1/6)	. 51,447 . 3,553	
Interest Payable (\$330,000 X 1/6)	•	55,000
Interest Expense (\$308,680 X 5/6) Interest Payable Bonds Payable (\$21,320 X 5/6)	. 257,233 . 55,000 . 17,767	
Cash		330,000
Interest Expense (\$307.614 X .2* X 4/6)	. 41,015	
Bonds Payable	. 2,985	44.000
Cash (\$330,000 X .2* X 4/6) *\$1,200,000 ÷ \$6,000,000 = .2		44,000
Bonds Payable Bonds Payable	. 1,200,000 . 27,469	
Cash	. 128,531	1,356,000
ition price 9,000 – \$44,000)		\$1,356,000
ing amount of bonds redeemed: alue	\$1,200,000	
ortized premium 93,896–\$20,305–\$21,320)] – \$2,985 on redemption	27,469	(<u>1,227,469)</u> <u>\$128,531</u>
	Interest Expense	Interest Expense $309,695$ Bonds Payable $20,305$ Cash (\$6,000,000 X .11 X 6/12) $51,447$ Bond Payable $3,553$ (\$21,320 X 1/6) $51,447$ Interest Expense (\$308,680 X 1/6) $3,553$ (\$21,320 X 1/6) $3,553$ Interest Payable (\$330,000 X 1/6) $257,233$ Interest Payable $55,000$ Bonds Payable $55,000$ Bonds Payable $55,000$ Bonds Payable $17,767$ (\$21,320 X 5/6) $2330,000 X 1/6$) Cash $17,767$ (\$21,320 X 5/6) $2,985$ Cash $2,985$ (\$22,386 X .2 X 4/6) $2,985$ (\$22,386 X .2 X 4/6) $2,985$ (\$330,000 X .2* X 4/6) $2,985$ (\$330,000 $\times .2* X 4/6$) $1,200,000$ Bonds Payable $1,200,000$ Bonds Payable $1,200,000$ Sonds Payable $128,531$ Cash $128,531$ Cash $128,531$ Cash $128,531$ Cash $128,531$ Cas

12/1/18	Interest Expense (\$307,614 X .8*)	264,000
12/31/18	Interest Expense	
	Bonds Payable	
	Interest Payable (\$330,000 X .8 X 1/6)	44,000
6/1/19	Interest Expense (\$306,494 X .8 X 5/6) 204,329 Interest Payable	
	Čash (\$330,000 X .8)	264,000
12/1/19	Interest Expense (\$305,319 X .8) 244,255 Bonds Payable (\$24,681 X .8) 19,745	
	Cash (\$330,000 X .8)	264,000

				Carrying
	Cash	Interest	Premium	Amount of
Date	Paid	Expense	Amortized	Bonds
6/1/17				\$6,193,896
12/1/17	\$330,000	\$309,695	\$20,305	6,173,591
6/1/18	330,000	308,680	21,320	6,152,271
12/1/18	330,000	307,614	22,386	6,129,885
6/1/19	330,000	306,494	23,506	6,106,379
12/1/19	330,000	305,319	24,681	6,081,698
6/1/20	330,000	304,085	25,915	6,055,783
12/1/20	330,000	302,789	27,211	6,028,572
6/1/21	330,000	301,428	28,572	6,000,000

PROBLEM 14-6

(a)

May 1, 2017	
Cash763,0 (\$700,000 X 105%) + (\$700,000 X 12% X 4/12) Bonds Payable (\$700,000 X 105%) Interest Expense (\$700,000 X 12% X 4/12)	735,000 28,000
December 31, 2017 Interest Expense (\$700,000 X 12%) 84,000 Interest Payable	84,000
Bonds Payable	2,414
January 1, 2018 Interest Payable	84,000
April 1, 2018 Bonds Payable	543
Bonds Payable 439,009 Interest Expense (\$420,000 X .12 X 3/12) 12,600 Cash (\$432,600 + \$12,600) 12,600 Gain on Redemption of Bonds	,* 445,200 6,409**
* next page **[(\$420,000 + \$19,009) – \$420,000 X 103%)] – next pa	ge

(a) (continued)

Reacquisition price (including accrued interest)	• · · · · · ·
(\$420,000 X 103%) + (\$420,000 X 12% X 3/12)	<u>\$445,200</u>
Net carrying amount of bonds redeemed:	
Par value	420,000
Unamortized premium	
[\$35,000 X (\$420,000 ÷ \$700,000) X 105/116]	19,009
Net carrying amount of bonds redeemed*	439,009
Accrued interest (\$420,000 X 12% X 3/12)	12,600
	<u>451,609</u>
Gain on redemption	<u>\$ 6,409</u>
December 31, 2018	
Interest Expense (\$280,000 X .12) 33,600	
Interest Payable	33,600
Bonds Payable	
Interest Expense	1,448
Amortization per year on \$280,000	
(\$35,000 X 12/116 X .40*)	\$1,448
* $(\$700,000 - \$420,000) \div \$700,000 = .40$	

(b) If Pfaff were to follow IFRS, then the effective interest method must be used to amortize any discounts or premiums. Although the effective interest method is required under IFRS per IFRS 9.5.4.1, accounting standards for private enterprises do not specify that this method must be used and therefore, the straight-line method is also an option. The straight-line method is valued for its simplicity and might be used by companies whose financial statements are not constrained by this specific element of GAAP.

		PI	ROBLEM	14-7		
(a)		De	cember 3	31, 2017		
	Machine	ery			409,806	100 000
	N	otes Payable			1	409,806
		(Machine capitali	ized at the	e presen	t	
		value of the hote)			
	<u>1) Using</u>	<u>tables:</u> \$600,000	0 X .6830)1		
	<u>2) Usin</u>	g a financial calc	<u>ulator:</u>			
	PV	\$?	Yields	\$409,80	8	
		10%				
	Ν	4				
	PMT	\$ 0				
	FV	\$ (600,000)				
	Туре	0				
	<u>3) Using</u>	<u>g Excel</u> : =PV(rate	e,nper,pm	nt,fv,type))	
(b)		De	cember 3	81, 2018		
()	Deprecia	ation Expense		·····	67,961	
	Ac	cumulated Depre	eciation-	-		
		Machinery				67,961
	l	[(\$409,806 – \$70	,000) ÷ 5]		
	Interest	Expense			40,981	
	No	otes Payable			·	40,981
		Schedule of Not	e Discoui	nt Amorti	zation	
		Debit, Interes	t Expens	е	Carrying A	mount
Da	ate _	Credit Notes	s Payable)	of No	te
12/3	1/17	¢ 40,00	0.00		\$409,8	306.00
12/3	1/18	\$40,98 45.07	0.60		450,1	00.00 065.06
12/3 12/2	1/19	40,070 10 52	0.00 6 53		490,0 515 /	151 70
12/3	1/21	* 54.54	8.21		600 (00.00
* \$3.	03 adjus	tment due to rou	nding		2001	

(C)	December 31, 2019		
	Depreciation Expense	67,961	
	Accumulated Depreciation—		
	Machinery		67,961
	Interest Expense	45,079	
	Notes Payable		45,079

(d) Debt to total assets is a measure of debt-paying ability and long-run solvency. Prior to purchasing the machine, the company's debt to total assets ratio was 48.2% (\$432,000 ÷ \$896,000). As a result of the purchase, the debt to total assets ratio increased to 64.5% [(\$432,000 + \$409,806) ÷ (\$896,000 + \$409,806)]. The percentage of total assets provided by creditors increased, which a creditor would view as unfavourable. The creditor may also consider that while the non-interest bearing note payable is included in debt in the debt to total assets ratio, it will not result in cash outflow until it is due in four years.

PROBLEM 14-8

(a) Machine purchased as an instalment sale. In this case, this is a debt instrument exchanged for the machine. The fair value of the debt must be determined discounting the cash flows required on the debt at the appropriate rate to reflect the credit risk of Thompson. Because this is a private company, with no credit rating, we would not be able to observe market risk assessment rates for this company. We have used unobservable data that is particular to this company only, which would be level 3 in the fair value hierarchy. We are told that the company could have borrowed funds at 7% from the bank for this same purchase. If we use 7.0% rate to discount the cash flows on the debt, the present value can be determined as follows:

Payment Jan 1, 2017	\$ 240,000
Present value of 4 annual payments of \$240,000	1
at 7% \$240,000 X 3.3872	812,928
Total	<u>\$1,052,928</u>

1) Using tables:

Present value of 4 annual payments of \$240,000 at 7% \$240,000 X 3.3872 = 812,928

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields	\$812,931
I	7%		
Ν	4		
PMT	(\$ 240,000)		
FV	\$ 0		
Туре	0		

<u>3) Using Excel</u>: =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

(a) (continued)

This fair value determination would be a "soft" value since the 7% interest rate is a proposed (versus actual) lending rate. However, we are also told that the fair value of the machine is only \$1,050,000. This is an observable market value for similar assets. As such, this input is a level 2 fair value hierarchy. And again, this fair value would be considered a "soft" value. The question becomes, what fair value should be used – the fair value of what is given up (the debt) or the fair value of what has been received (the machine). ASPE and IFRS recommend that the fair value of the consideration given up should be used to determine the value of the transaction unless the fair value of the item received is more reliable and more clearly evident. In this case, both of the fair values, as discussed above are both estimates, and one is not more reliable than the other.

As such, the value of the debt which has been given up is determined to be reliably determinable and is used to value the transaction. The treatment under ASPE and IFRS would be the same.

January 1, 2017 - Record purchase of the machine as follows:

Machinery	1,052,928	
Cash		240,000
Notes Payable		812,928

(a) (continued)

December 31, 2017 Record the depreciation on the machine assuming a 10 year useful life and no residual value Depreciation Expense (\$1,052,928 / 10) 105,293 Accumulated Depreciation – Machinery ... 105,293 December 31, 2017

Record accrued interest for 2017 using 7%

Interest Expense (7% X \$812,928)..... 56,905 Interest Payable 56,905

Government loan – The government loan has been given at an interest rate substantially below market. The company would normally have had to pay 6% given its credit risk, but the government is charging 1%. To record the loan, we must determine the loan discounted at 6% and compare to the loan discounted at 1%.

	1%	6%	Difference
PV of 500,000 in 5 years	\$475,733	\$373,629	
PV of 5,000 annual			
payments for 5 years	24,267	21,062	
	\$500,000	\$394,691	\$105,309

Journal entry to record the government funding December 31, 2017

Cash	500,000	
Notes Payable		394,691
Equipment		105,309

(a) (continued)

The grant of \$105,309 will be amortized to net income on the same basis as the plant technology in order to offset the depreciation. Or alternatively, the grant can be directly netted against the plant technology equipment purchased and a smaller amount of depreciation will be recorded each year.

The note payable to the government will be amortized to interest expense over the five years, so that at the end of 5 years, the balance will be \$500,000. Under IFRS, the effective interest rate of 6% will be used. Again, this rate is likely not observable in the market place since the company has no credit rating for comparison purposes. Consequently, this value is a level 3 in the fair value hierarchy.

(b) 1. Use of the asset requires a depreciation charge in each year of use. This in turn requires carrying the equipment as an asset as the risk and rewards of ownership have passed, although the company does not have legal title to the asset. The company has contracted to purchase the equipment and, thus, has a real liability which affects its financial condition and must be shown on the statement. As such, the fair value of the liability that the company owes must be recorded along with the fair value of the asset that has been received in return for the liability.

There is an imputed interest rate built into the payments over the 5 years that must be recorded. Since the fair value of the machine is only 1,050,000, then we cannot show a higher than fair value amount. Effectively, the difference between the total payments being made and the fair value of the machine is the interest to be paid over the 5-year period, in the amount of $147,072[(240,000 \times 4) - 812,928]$.
(b) (continued)

2. The obligation of a company is to its bondholders, not to the trustee. Until the bondholders have received payment, the company still has a liability.

<u>Note to instructor:</u> The student may have difficulty with this statement because this type of situation was not discussed in the chapter. It therefore provides an opportunity to emphasize that payment to an agent or trustee does not constitute payment of the liability for bond interest. When the trustee dispenses the funds to bondholders, the liability should be reduced. A separate Bond Interest Fund account, similar to a "Sinking" fund is established at the time payment is made to the trustee. This fund is shown as a long-term investment in the asset section of the statement of financial position

- 3. Repurchased bonds are not an asset. A company cannot owe or own itself. Thus, these bonds are different from investments in bonds of other companies. Repurchased bonds should be reported as a deduction from bonds payable.
- 4. There are two points here. First of all, we obtained very favourable financing from the government, since we only must pay 1% on the loan and not 6% that we would have paid on borrowed funds. Consequently, this concession must be given separate treatment in our books. It is as though the government is forgiving 5% interest each year. The loan is recorded as though it was charging 6%, and therefore the payments we will make of \$5,000 each year for the next 5 years and then the \$500,000 repayment are part principal and part interest payments. An amount of \$105,309 will be charged as interest over the 5-year period.

(b) 4. (continued)

The second point is what to do about this concession. The benefit of this will be treated as a government grant (i.e., forgiven amount of interest). As a grant, the amount is recorded either in a separate account or as a reduction against the equipment purchased. In either case, the "grant" is amortized into income over the life of the asset. Consequently, we will also have a lower depreciation charged to net income as a result. Over the five years, this reduction in the depreciation will be offset by the additional interest expense charged on the loan.

(a)			
()	Entry to record the issuance of the 8% mortgage	ge on Janua	ry 1, 2017:
	Cash Mortgage Payable	3,030,000	3,030,000
	Entry to record the retirement of the 7% deben 2017	ture bonds c	on January 1,
	Bonds Payable Loss on Redemption of Bonds Cash (\$2,000,000 x 105%)	1,910,000 190,000	2,100,000
	At January 1, 2017 the carrying amount of the	retired bond	s is:
	Bonds payable Less unamortized discount (\$300,000 X 3/10) Bond carrying amount	\$2,000 <u>9</u> 0 <u>\$1,910</u>	0,000 <u>0,000</u> <u>0,000</u>
(b)	Income from operations Loss on redemption of bonds (Note 1) Income before taxes Income tax expense Net income Earnings per share:	\$1,70(<u>19(</u> 1,51(<u>28(</u> <u>\$1,223</u>	0,000 <u>0,000</u> 0,000 <u>6,900</u> <u>3,100</u>
	Net income		<u>51.02</u>
	Note 1. Depenture Bonds Redemption: A loss of \$190,000 occurred from the redemption and retirement of \$2,000,000 of the Corporation's outstanding debenture bonds issue due in 2020. The debentures were redeemed at 105% as provided for in the indenture. The funds used to repurchase the debentures represent a portion of the proceeds from the sale of \$3,000,000 of 8% mortgage issued January 1, 2017 and due in 2042.		

(a)

Wilkie Inc.	
Selling price of the bonds (\$4,000,000 X 103%)	\$4,120,000
Accrued interest from January 1 to February	
28, 2018 (\$4,000,000 X 9% X 2/12)	60,000
Total cash received from issuance of the bonds	4,180,000
Less: Bond issuance costs*	27,000
Net amount of cash received	<u>\$4,153,000</u>

*When a note or bond is issued, it should be recognized at the fair value adjusted by any directly attributable issue costs. However, note that where the liabilities will subsequently be measured at fair value (e.g., under the fair value option or because they are derivatives), the transaction costs should not be included in the initial measurement (i.e., the costs should be expensed at the time of issuance) [*CPA Canada Handbook*, Part II, Section 3856.07 and IFRS 9.5.1.1].

(b)

Langley Ltd.	
Carrying amount of the bonds on 1/1/17	\$469,280
Effective interest rate (10%)	<u>X 0.10</u>
Interest expense to be reported for 2017	<u>\$ 46,928</u>

Although the effective interest method is required under IFRS per IFRS 9.5.4.1, accounting standards for private enterprises do not specify that this method must be used and therefore, the straight-line method is also an option. The straight-line method is valued for its simplicity and might be used by companies whose financial statements are not constrained by this specific element of GAAP.

(c) Chico Building Inc.

2018	\$400,000	2021	\$200,000
2019	350,000	2022	350,000
2020	200,000	Thereafter	300,000

(d) <u>Czeslaw Inc.</u>

Since three bonds reported by Czeslaw Inc. are secured by either real estate, securities of other corporations, or plant equipment, there are no debenture bonds outstanding for the company.

(a) 4/1/17	Cash (12,000 X \$1,000 X 97%) 11,640,000 Bonds Payable	11,640,000
(b) 10/1/17	Interest Expense	660,000* 12,000**
(c) 12/31/17	Interest Expense	330,000 6,000
(d) 3/1/18	Interest Payable ($330,000 \times 14$) Interest Expense) 137,500* 1,000**

(d) (continued)

At March 1, 2018 the carrying amount of the retired bonds is:

Bonds payable Less: unamortized discount Bond carrying amount	\$3,000,000 <u>84,500</u> * <u>\$2,915,500</u>
*\$2,000/mo. X 169 months X ¼ of the bonds = \$84,500	
The reacquisition price: 100,000 shares $X $ \$31 = \$3	,100,000.
The loss on extinguishment of the bonds is: Reacquisition price Less: carrying amount Loss	\$3,100,000 <u>2,915,500</u> <u>\$ 184,500</u>
The entry to record extinguishment of the bonds is:Bonds Payable	3,100,000

1) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$ 11,640,000	
I	? %	Yields 5.7113 %
N	30	
PMT	\$ (660,000)	
FV	\$ (12,000,000)	
Туре	0	

<u>2) Using Excel:</u> =RATE(nper,pmt,pv,fv,type)

Schedule of Bond Discount Amortization Effective Interest Method 5.5% Semi-annual Bonds Sold to Yield 5.7113%

5.5%	5.7113%		
Cash	Interest	Discount	Carrying
Paid	Expense	Amortized	Amount
			\$11,640,000.00
660,000.00	664,795.32	4,795.32	11,644,795.32
660,000.00	665,069.20	5,069.20	11,649,864.52
	5.5% Cash Paid 660,000.00 660,000.00	5.5% 5.7113% Cash Interest Paid Expense 660,000.00 664,795.32 660,000.00 665,069.20	5.5% 5.7113% Cash Interest Discount Paid Expense Amortized 660,000.00 664,795.32 4,795.32 660,000.00 665,069.20 5,069.20

(a)

4/1/17	Cash (12,000 X \$1,000 X 97%) 11,640,000	
	Bonds Payable	11,640,000

(b)

10/1/17	Interest Expense 664,	795.32
	Cash	660,000.00
	Bonds Payable	4,795.32

(c)

12/31/17	Interest Expense*	332,534.60	
	Interest Payable		330,000.00
	(\$660,000 X 3/6)		
	Bonds Payable		2,534.60
	(\$5,069.20 X 3/6 = \$2,534.60))	
	*(\$665,069.20 X 3/6) = \$332,534.6	50	

(d)

3/1/18	Interest Payable (\$330,000 X ¼)	82,500.00	
	Interest Expense	55,422.43**	k
	Cash		137,500.00*
	Bonds Payable		422.43***
	* Cash paid to retiring bondholders:		
	(\$3,000,000 X .11 X 5/12) = \$137	7,500	
	** (\$665,069.20 X 2/6 X ¼) = \$55,422	2.43	
	*** (\$5,069.20 X 2/6 X ¼) = \$422.43		

At March 1, 2018 the carrying amount of the retired bonds is:

Bonds payable Less: unamortized discount		\$3,000,000.00 87.745.09*
Bonds carrying amount		<u>\$2,912,254.91</u>
*Balance of Discount	<u>100%</u>	<u>25%</u>
Balance at issuance	\$360,000.00	
Amortization Oct. 1, 2017	(4,795.32)	
Accrual December 31, 2017	(2,534.60)	
Balance December 31, 2017	<u>\$352,670.08</u> X ¼	= \$88,167.52
March 1, 2018 for 25%		(422.43)
Balance March 1, 2018		\$87,745.09

(d) (continued)

The reacquisition price: 100,000 shares X \$31 = \$3,100,000.

The loss on extinguishment of the bonds is:	
Reacquisition price	\$3,100,000.00
Less: carrying amount of bonds	2,912,254.91
Loss	<u>\$ 187,745.09</u>
The entry to record extinguishment of the bonds is: Bonds Payable	
Common Shares	3,100,000.00

1. Sanford Co.

Calculate cash proceeds on issuance:

1) Using tables:

Present value of annuity: $$25,000 \times 5.58238 =$ \$139,559Present value of principal: $$500,000 \times 0.66506 =$ 332,530Total\$472,089

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields	\$472,088
I	12%/2 = 6%		
N	7		
PMT	\$(25,000)		
FV	\$(500,000)		
Туре	0		

<u>3) Using Excel</u>: =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

Schedule of Bond Discount Amortization Effective-Interest Method 10% Bonds Sold to Yield 12%				
				Carrying
	Cash Paid	Interest	Discount	Amount of
Date		Expense	Amortized	Bonds
3/1/17				\$472,088
9/1/17	\$25,000*	\$28,325	\$3,325	475,413
3/1/18	25,000	28,525	3,525	478,938
9/1/18	25,000	28,736	3,736	482,674
3/1/19	25,000	28,960	3,960	486,634
9/1/19	25,000	29,198	4,198	490,832
3/1/20	25,000	29,450	4,450	495,282
9/1/20	25,000	29,718**	4,718	500,000
*(\$500,000 X 10% X 1/2)				
**R(ounded \$1			

1. Sandord Co. (continued)

3/1/17	Cash Bonds Payable	472,088	472,088
9/1/17	Interest Expense Bonds Payable Cash	28,325	3,325 25,000
12/31/17	Interest Expense Bonds Payable (\$3,525 X 4/6) Interest Payable (\$25,000 X 4/6)	19,017	2,350 16,667
3/1/18	Interest Expense Interest Payable Bonds Payable (\$3,525 X 2/6) Cash	9,508 16,667	1,175 25,000
9/1/18	Interest Expense Bonds Payable Cash	28,736	3,736 25,000
12/31/18	Interest Expense Bonds Payable \$3,960 X 4/6) Interest Payable	19,307	2,640 16,667

2. <u>Titania Co.</u>

Calculate cash proceeds on issuance:

1) Using tables:

Present value of annuity: $24,000 \times 6.46321 =$ 155,117Present value of principal: $400,000 \times 0.67684 =$ 270,736Total425,853

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields	\$425,853
I	10%/2 = 5%		
N	8		
PMT	\$(24,000)		
FV	\$(400,000)		
Туре	0		

<u>3) Using Excel</u>: =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

2. Titania Co. (continued)

Schedule of Bond Premium Amortization Effective-Interest Method 12% Bonds Sold to Yield 10%

				Carrying
	Cash	Interest	Premium	Amount of
Date	Paid	Expense	Amortized	Bonds
6/1/17				\$425,853
12/1/17	\$24,000	\$21,293	\$2,707	423,146
6/1/18	24,000	21,157	2,843	420,303
12/1/18	24,000	21,015	2,985	417,318
6/1/19	24,000	20,866	3,134	414,184
12/1/19	24,000	20,709	3,291	410,893
6/1/20	24,000	20,545	3,455	407,438
12/1/20	24,000	20,372	3,628	403,810
6/1/21	24,000	20,190	3,810	400,000

*(\$400,000 X 12% X 1/2)

6/1/17	Cash Bonds Payable	425,853	425,853
12/1/17	Interest Expense Bonds Payable Cash (\$400,000 X .12 X 6/12)	21,293* 2,707	24,000
12/31/17	Interest Expense (\$21,157 X 1/6) Bonds Payable (\$2,843 X 1/6) Interest Payable (\$24,000 X 1/6)	3,526 474	4,000

2. Titania Co. (continued)

6/1/18	Interest Expense (\$21,157 X 5/6) Interest Payable Bonds Payable	17,631 4,000	
	(\$2,843 X 5/6) Cash	2,369	24,000
10/1/18	Interest Expense (\$21,015 X .3* X 4/6) Bonds Pavable	4,203	
	(\$2,985 X .3 X 4/6) Cash *\$120,000 ÷ \$400,000 = .3	597	4,800
10/1/18	Bonds Payable Bonds Payable Gain on Redemption of Bonds Cash	120,000 5,494	4,294* 121,200
*Reacquis	ition price		
\$126,00 Net carry Carrying x 30 %	00 – (\$120,000 X 12% X 4/12) ing amount of bonds redeemed: amount of all bonds June 1, 2018 b redeemed ortization Oct. 1, 2018	<u>\$420,3</u> 126,0	\$121,200 <u>03</u> 91 97 (125 494)
2000 ame	Gain on redemption	0	<u>\$ (4,294</u>)
12/1/18	Interest Expense (\$21,015 X .7*) Bonds Payable	14	.,711
	(\$2,985 X .7) Cash (\$24,000 X .7) *(\$400,000 – \$120,000) ÷ \$400,000 =	2 = .7	,089 16,800

2. Titania Co. (continued)

12/31/18	Interest Expense (\$20,866 X .7 X 1/6) Bonds Payable	2,434	
	(\$3,134 X .7 X 1/6) Interest Payable	366	
	(\$24,000 X .7 X 1/6)		2,800
6/1/19	Interest Expense (\$20,866 X .7 X 5/6)	12,172	
	Interest Payable Bonds Payable	2,800	
	(\$3,134 X .7 X 5/6) Cash (\$24,000 X .7)	1,828	16,800
12/1/19	Interest Expense (\$20,709 X .7) Bonds Pavable	14,496	
	(\$3,291 X .7) Cash (\$24,000 X .7)	2,304	16,800

(a) The entries for the issuance of the note on January 1, 2017:

The present value of the note is:

<u>1) Using tables:</u> \$1,200,000 X .68058 = \$816,700 (Rounded by \$4).

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields \$816,700
	8%	
N	5	
PMT	\$ 0	
FV	\$ (1,200,000)	
Туре	0	

<u>3) Using Excel:</u> =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

January 1, 2017

Batonica Limited (Debtor):		
Cash	816,700	
Notes Payable		816,700
Northern Savings Bank (Creditor):		
Notes Receivable	816,700	
Cash		816,700

(b) The amortization schedule for this note is:

SCHEDULE FOR INTEREST AND DISCOUNT AMORTIZATION—					
EFFECTIVE INTEREST METHOD					
	\$1,200,00	0 NOTE ISSUE	D TO YIELD 8%	/ 0	
Cash Effective Discount Carrying					
Date	Interest	Interest	Amortized	Amount	
1/1/17				\$ 816,700	
12/31/17	\$0	\$ 65,336*	\$ 65,336	882,036**	
12/31/18	0	70,563	70,563	952,599	
12/31/19	0	76,208	76,208	1,028,807	
12/31/20	0	82,305	82,305	1,111,112	
12/31/21	_0	<u>88,888</u>	88,888	1,200,000	
Total	<u>\$0</u>	<u>\$383,300</u>	<u>\$383,300</u>		

*\$816,700 X 8% = \$65,336.

**\$816,700 + \$65,336 = \$882,036.

(c) In accordance with IFRS 9.5.5.3, Northern Savings Bank should measure the loss allowance on the receivable for an amount equal to the lifetime expected credit losses if credit risk has significantly increased since initial recognition.

)36 ^a
<u>)24</u>) ^b
)12

^aSee amortization schedule from answer (b)

<u>1) Using tables:</u> ^b\$800,000 X .73503 = \$588,024.

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields	\$588,024
l	8%		
N	4		
PMT	\$ 0		
FV	\$ (800,000)		
Туре	0		

3) Using Excel: =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

December 31, 2017

Batonica Limited (Debtor): No entry.

Note to Instructor: Since this note is not yet restructured, the loss is treated as an increase in the allowance.

(a) The first step is to determine the economic substance of the debt renegotiation and determine if it should be accounted as a settlement or a modification/exchange regarding the old debt. In this case, the creditor is the same and therefore the test to establish whether there is a settlement or not, revolves around the cash flows. The present value of both of the cash flow streams of the new debt are calculated using the historical interest rate of 12% for consistency and comparability.

Pre-restructure carrying amount	\$600,000
Present value of restructured cash flows:	
Present value of \$600,000 due in 10	
years at 12%, interest payable	
annually; (\$600,000 X .32197) \$193,18	32
Present value of \$30,000 interest	
payable annually for 10 years at 12%	
(\$30,000 X 5.65022) <u>169,50</u>	<u>)7 (362,689</u>)
Difference	<u>\$237,311</u>

1) Using tables:

Present value of 600,000 due in 10 years at 12%, interest payable annually; $600,000 \times .32197 = 193,182$

Present value of 30,000 interest payable annually for 10 years at 12%; $30,000 \times 5.65022 = 169,507$

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields \$362,691
I	12%	
Ν	10	
PMT	\$ (30,000)	
FV	\$ (600,000)	
Туре	0	

<u>3) Using Excel:</u> =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

As the present value of the new debt is more than 10% different from the present value of the old debt (using the original rate), this is a substantial change and the transaction is accounted for as a settlement by Perkins and new debt is recorded.

The new debt is recorded at the present value of the new cash flows using the current market rate of interest.

(b)

 Perkins Inc. Notes Payable Gain on Restructuring of Debt Notes Payable 	\$600,000	\$237,311 \$362,689
2. United Bank Modification Gain or Loss Notes Receivable	237,311*	237,311
*Calculation of loss.		
Pre-restructure carrying amount Present value of restructured cash flows: Present value of \$600,000 due in 10		\$600,000
annually; (\$600,000 X .32197) Present value of \$30,000 interest	\$193,182	
(\$30,000 X 5.65022) Creditor's loss on restructure	169,507	<u>(362,689)</u> <u>\$237,311</u>

(c) Losses are now calculated based upon the discounted present value of future cash flows; thus, this fairly approximates the economic loss to the lender.

The debtor recognizes a gain which reflects the fact that they are now paying lower interest. Care should be taken to ensure the reason for the gain is clearly noted in the statements as this is material information and the gain has been generated solely due to the fact that the entity is in financial distress.

(a) On the books of Rocky Mountain Corporation:	
Notes Pavable 2 000 000	
Common Shares Gain on Restructuring of Debt	1,500,000 500,000
Fair value of equity Carrying amount of debt Gain on restructuring of debt	\$1,500,000 <u>2,000,000</u> <u>\$500,000</u>
On the books of Abbra Bank:	
FV-NI Investments	C
Allowance for Doubtful Accounts	
500,000)
Notes Receivable	2,000,000
(b) On the books of Rocky Mountain: Notes Payable	0 0 1,900,000 1,000,000 500,000
Fair value of building Carrying amount of building Gain on disposal of building	\$1,500,000 <u>500,000</u> <u>\$1,000,000</u>
Note payable (carrying amount) Fair value of land Gain on restructuring of debt	\$2,000,000 <u>1,500,000</u> <u>\$ 500,000</u>

(c) The first step is to determine the economic substance of the debt renegotiation and determine if it should be accounted as a settlement or a modification/exchange regarding the old debt. In this case, the creditor is the same and so is the currency and therefore the test to establish whether there is a settlement or not revolves around the cash flows. The present value of the cash flow streams of the new debt are calculated using the historical interest rate of 7% for consistency and comparability.

Present value of old debt is \$2,000,000. Present value of new debt is calculated as follows:

Using present value tables:

		7%	Present
		Factor	<u>Value</u>
Single amount	\$ 2,000,000	0.816296	\$ 1,632,596

(c) (continued)

Since the present value of the future cash flows of the new debt differs by an amount larger than 10% of the present value of the future cash flows of the old debt (2,000,000 x 10% = 200,000), the renegotiated debt is considered a settlement and a gain is recorded by Rocky Mountain as calculated below:

The amount of the new debt is recorded at the new cash flows at the current market rate of interest, which is 9%

<u>Using present value tables:</u> 2,000,000 x 0.772183 = \$1,544,367 (rounded by \$1)

On the books of Rocky Mountain:

Notes Payable)
Gain on Restructuring of Debt	455,633
Notes Payable	1,544,367

(c) (continued) On the books of Abbra Bank:	
Modification Gain or Loss	* 455,633
*Calculation of loss: Pre-restructure carrying amount Less: Present value of restructured cash flows:	\$2,000,000
Present value of \$2,000,000 due in 3 years at 9% (\$2,000,000 X .772183)	
Creditor's loss on restructure	<u>1,544,367</u> <u>\$ (455,633</u>)

(d) The first step is to determine the economic substance of the debt renegotiation and determine if it should be accounted as a settlement or a modification/exchange regarding the old debt. In this case, the creditor is the same and so is the currency and therefore the test to establish whether there is a settlement or not revolves around the cash flows. The present value of the cash flow streams of the new debt are calculated using the historical interest rate of 7% for consistency and comparability.

Present value of old debt is \$2,000,000. Present value of new debt is calculated as follows:

Using present value tables:			
		7%	Present
		Factor	<u>Value</u>
Single amount Interest payments for third	\$ 1,700,000	0.816296	\$ 1,387,703
year	68,000	0.816296	55,508
			\$ 1,443,211

(d) (continued)

Since the present value of the future cash flows of the new debt differs by an amount larger than 10% of the present value of the future cash flows of the old debt (2,000,000 x 10% = 200,000), the renegotiated debt is considered a settlement and a gain is recorded by Rocky Mountain as set out below:

The amount of the new debt is recorded at the new cash flows at the current market rate of interest, which is 9%

Using present value tables:

		9%	Present
		Factor	<u>Value</u>
Single amount Interest payments for	\$ 1,700,000	0.77218	\$ 1,312,706
third year	68,000	0.77218	52,508
			\$ 1.365.214

(d) (continued)

Notes Payable Gain on Restructuring of Debt Notes Payable	2,000,000 	634,786 1,365,214
On the books of Abbra Bank:		
Modification Gain or Loss	634,786'	٢
Notes Receivable		634,786
*Calculation of loss: Pre-restructure carrying amount Present value of restructured cash flows: Present value of \$1,700,000 due in		\$2,000,000
3 years at 9%, (\$1,700,000 X .77218) Present value of \$68,000 interest	\$1,312,706	
payable in third year 9%, (\$68,000 X .77218) Creditor's loss on restructure	52,508	<u>1,365,214</u> <u>\$ (634,786</u>)

The first step is to determine the economic substance of the debt renegotiation and determine if it should be accounted as a settlement or a modification/exchange regarding the old debt. In this case, the creditor is the same and so is the currency and therefore the test to establish whether there is a settlement or not revolves around the cash flows. The present value of the cash flow streams of the new debt are calculated using the historical interest rate of 10% for consistency and comparability.

Present value of old debt is 500,000 + accrued interest of 50,000 ($500,000 \times 10\%$) for a total of 550,000.

Present value of new debt is calculated as follows:

Using present value tables:

		10%	Present
		Factor	<u>Value</u>
Single amount, 5 years Interest annuity, 5 years	\$ 300,000	0.62092	\$ 186,276
(\$300,000 X 10%)	30,000	3.79079	113,724
			300,000
Shares given 20,000 X \$5			100,000
			<u>\$ 400,000</u>

Since the difference between the present value of the future cash flows of the new debt and the present value of the future cash flows of the old debt differs by an amount larger than 10% of the present value of the future cash flows of the old debt (10% x \$550,000 = \$55,000) the renegotiated debt is considered a settlement and a gain is recorded by Gaming as follows:

<u>2017</u>

50,000	
500,000	
	278,372
	100,000
	171,628
	50,000 500,000

The note payable now has a balance of \$278,372, which equals the present value of the future cash flows to be paid.

Using present value tables:

		12%	Present
		Factor	<u>Value</u>
Single amount, 5 years Interest annuity, 5 years	\$ 300,000	0.56743	\$ 170,229
(\$300,000 X 10%)	30,000	3.60478	108,143
			278.372

	10%	12%	Increase in	Carrying
	Cash	Effective	Carrying	Amount of
Date	Interest	Interest	Amount	Note
12/31/17				\$ 278,372
12/31/18	\$30,000 ^a	\$33,405	\$ 3,405 ^c	281,777
12/31/19	30,000	33,813	3,813	285,590
12/31/20	30,000	34,271	4,271	289,861
12/31/21	30,000	34,783	4,783	294,644
12/31/22	30,000	35,356*	5,356	300,000
^a \$30,000 ^b \$33,405 ^c \$3,405 = *Adjustee	9 = \$300,000 x 5 = \$278,372 X = \$33,405 - \$3 d due to round	0.10 12% 30,000 ling.		
Dec. 31, 201	8:			
Interest Expe	ense		33,405	
Notes	Payable			3,405
Casn.				30,000
Dec. 31, 201	9:			
Interest Expe	ense		33,813	
Notes	Payable			3,813
Cash.				30,000
Dec. 31, 202	20			
Interest Expe	ense		34,271	
Notes	Payable			4,271
Cash.				30,000
Dec. 31, 202	21			
Interest Expe	ense		34,783	
Notes	Payable			4,783
Cash.				30,000

Dec. 31, 2022		
Interest Expense	35,356	
Notes Payable		5,356
Cash		30,000
Notes Payable	300,000	
Cash		300,000

(a) September 30, 2017

Thornton:

Interest Receivable (\$300,000 X .12 X 9/12) Interest Income	27,000	27,000
Loss on Investments	47,000 280,000	
Interest Receivable	200,000	27,000
Notes Receivable		300,000

This would not be a troubled debt restructuring.

<u>Shutdown</u>: No entry. Shutdown does not have a troubled debt restructuring.

<u>Orsini</u>:

Interest Income*	27,000	
Notes Receivable	253,000	
Cash		280,000

*A debit to Interest Receivable is also appropriate. This would not be a troubled debt restructuring.

(b)	December 31, 2017	
-----	-------------------	--

<u>Shutdown</u> : Interest Expense (\$300,000 X .12) Interest Payable	36,000	36,000
Notes Payable	300,000	
Interest Payable	36,000	
Cost of Goods Sold	240,000	
Inventory		240,000
Gain on Restructuring of Debt		21,000
Sales Revenue		315,000
This would be a troubled debt restructuring since the settlement, \$315,000, is less that amount of the debt, \$336,000.	for Shutc an the car	lown, rying
Orsini:	20.000*	

Interest Receivable (\$300,000 X .12)	36,000*	
Interest Income		36,000

*Only net of \$9,000 reported as interest income because \$27,000 of accrued interest was purchased in September.

Inventory	315,000	
Notes Receivable		253,000
Interest Receivable		36,000
Gain on Investments		26,000

This would not be a troubled debt restructuring.

(<u>Note to instructor</u>: This problem indicates that symmetry may not always be achieved between the debtor and creditor and that the debtor may have a restructuring but the creditor, if changed, may not.)

(a) The first step is to determine the economic substance of the debt renegotiation and determine if it should be accounted as a settlement or a modification/exchange regarding the old debt. In this case, the creditor is the same and so is the currency and therefore the test to establish whether there is a settlement or not revolves around the cash flows. The present value of the cash flow streams of the new debt are calculated using the historical interest rate of 10% for consistency and comparability.

Present value of old debt is \$110,000 + \$11,000 = \$121,000. Present value of new debt is calculated as follows:

1) Using tables:

	10%	Present
	Factor	<u>Value</u>
\$ 100,000	0.75132	\$ 75,132
10,000	2.48685	24,868
	\$ 100,000 10,000	10% <u>Factor</u> \$ 100,000 0.75132 10,000 2.48685

\$100,000

2) Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields	\$100,000
I	10%		
N	3		
PMT	\$ (10,000)		
FV	\$ (100,000)		
Туре	0		

(b)

<u>3) Using Excel:</u> =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

Since the present value of the future cash flows of the new debt differs by an amount larger than 10% of the present value of the future cash flows of the old debt in the amount of \$121,000 the renegotiated debt is considered a settlement. The old debt would be removed from the books, the new debt recognized and the difference would be recorded as a gain for Mazza.

The effective interest rate subsequent to restructure is the current market rate of interest.

Mazza Corp.							
SCHEDULE OF DEBT REDUCTION AND							
INTEREST EXPENSE AMORTIZATION							
	Cash	Effective	Chan	ige in	Carrying		
Date	Interest	Interest	Carrying		Amount		
		(Market)	Amor	tized			
12/31/17					\$100,000		
12/31/18	\$10,000 ^a	\$10,000 ^b	\$	0	100,000		
12/31/19	10,000	10,000		0	100,000		
12/31/20	10,000	10,000		0	100,000		
12/31/20	100,000		100,000		-0-		
^a \$10,000 = \$100,000 X 10%.							

 $^{\circ}$ \$10,000 = \$100,000 X 10%.
PROBLEM 14-19 (CONTINUED)

(c)

(8)	
Calculation of loss:	
Pre-restructure carrying amount	\$121,000
Present value of restructured cash flows:	100,000
Tsang Corp.'s loss on restructure	<u>\$ (21,000</u>)

		Tsang Corp.			
		Effective	Chan	ge in	Carrying
	Cash	Interest	Carr	ying	Amount of
Date	Interest	(Market)	Amor	tized	Note
12/31/17					\$100,000
12/31/18	\$ 10,000 ^a	\$10,000 ^b	\$	0	100,000
12/31/19	10,000	10,000		0	100,000
12/31/20	10,000	10,000		0	100,000
12/31/20	100,000	0	100),000	0
2 4 4 4 4 4 4	A (A A A A A A A A A A		~~~ ~		1/ / 00/

^a \$10,000 = \$100,000 X 10%. ^b \$10,000 = \$100,000 X 10%.

(d)	Mazza Corp. entries:	
. ,	December 31, 2017	
	Interest Payable 11,000	0
	Notes Payable 110,000	0
	Notes Payable	100,000
	Gain on Restructuring of Debt	21,000
	December 31, 2018, 2019	
	Interest Expense	0
	Cash	10,000

PROBLEM 14-19 (CONTINUED)

(e) Tsang Corp. entries:

<u>December 31, 2017</u>		
Modification Gain or Loss	21,000	
Notes Receivable		21,000
Note that the dr. could be booked to the		
Allowance account instead if the loss had		
already been provided for.		
<u>December 31, 2018, 2019</u>		
Cash	10,000	
Interest Income		10,000
Interest Income		10,000

PROBLEM 14-20

- (a) Legal defeasance requires that the creditor agrees to collect principal and interest payments from the trust rather than from LL. A legal agreement on behalf of the creditor, the trust, and the debtor would be needed to achieve legal defeasance. Under IFRS, LL would be able to derecognize the liability if they obtain legal defeasance and extinguish the debt. In substance defeasance results when a company sets up a trust to repay the principal and interest payments for the debt without obtaining the creditors agreement. Without obtaining a legal agreement from the creditor, the primary obligation to repay the loan resides with LL. As a result, in substance defeasance does not result in derecognition of the liability according to IFRS.
- (b) For both legal and in substance defeasance there is an argument for derecognition of debt on the financial statements since LL has set up a trust with low risk investments that will be able to cover all future interest and principal payments. Effectively, by setting up the trust LL has prepaid the debt with low risk of default based on their investment strategy.
- (c) Regardless of the intent of the company, IFRS looks at whether there is a legal obligation.. Therefore, if the agreement from the creditor has not been obtained, in substance defeasance occurs and the debt must remain on the statement of financial position. In order to extinguish the debt, LL needs to obtain a legal agreement from the creditor releasing them from the debt obligation and transferring that obligation to the trust. The ethical accountant must communicate this to the VP Finance and explain that, without a formal agreement with the creditor, the debt cannot be extinguished on the LL financial statements.

CASE

Note: See the Case Primer on the Student website, as well as the Summary of the Case Primer in the front of the text. Note that the first few chapters in volume 1 lay the foundation for financial reporting decision making.

CA 14-1 Kitchener Mechanical Incorporated

<u>Overview</u>

- Company is a manufacturer and is looked to expand its facility. The Company has had cash flow issues, and there are substantial amounts outstanding from a major customer.
- Company has a debt to equity covenant with Nexis Bank that it is close to breaching.
- Company looking into alternative methods of financing the expansion where it will not impose more cash flow difficulties.
- Magmum Corp will be a user to assess the financial position of the Company to ensure that the lease payments can be made
- Nexis Bank is also a user and will use statements to predict cash flows to ensure debts are repaid. The bank will also use the statements to assess whether the covenant is met.
- President is concerned about adding additional debt to the statement of financial position, specifically in terms of debt to equity ratio.
- GAAP a constraint since Magmum would likely want to assess Kitchener's ability to pay as would the bank—GAAP would provide more useful information. The controller would like to know where any differences exist between IFRS and ASPE.

CA 14-1 Kitchener Mechanical Incorporated (CONTINUED)

Analysis and recommendations

Issue: How to account for the project financing arrangement

Lease	Project financing arrangement
 First would need to assess whether the commitment is a capital or operating lease obligation under ASPE. It would appear that it is an operating lease since the purchase at the end of the contract is at 1.2 x market, which does not constitute a bargain purchase option and the lease term is short compared to the likely life of the facility. The amount of lease payments is not given in the case but this would need to be determined to see how if compared to the facility. If this is an operating lease, it is considered an executory contract, and no amount would be recorded on the balance sheet. Would not record any amount since the lease payments become payable as each month passes. Either way, should note disclose the commitment to pay lease payments and the payment at the end of the term. 	 Is this really a project financing? Magmum is simply providing construction services for the building—which is really Kitchener's building. This is the economic substance of the arrangement. These services are being paid for over time (debt service component of the lease payments) instead of upfront. Since the plant ownership reverts to Kitchener at the end and is on their land, it could be considered their asset. However, given that Kitchener needs to pay 1.2 x market value at the end of the term, until that time, the asset does not belong to Kitchener. Regardless of the above, Kitchener has an obligation to pay the lease payments which are comprised of a flat fee plus a percentage of the revenue earned. Recognition of an obligation would worsen the debt to equity ratio.

CA 14-1 Kitchener Mechanical Incorporated (CONTINUED)

Lease	Project financing arrangement
 The building—even though on Kitchener's property – is owned by Magmum for the first 20 years—Kitchener does not have control over it. Does not affect debt on the statement of financial position if treated as operating lease. 	
Under IFRS 16 (in effect 2019), if the contract met the definition of a lease, the company would have to estimate and recognize a liability for any amounts that were probable as well as a contractual right to use the facility. This would impact the debt to equity ratio (likely worsening it).	

If the lease is classified as an operating lease under ASPE, it would only be recognized as an expense over the lease term. GAAP would be similar under IFRS and ASPE unless the new IFRS 16 were followed in which case a lease liability and contractual right to use the facility would be recorded. Since the company is planning to go public, likely they should apply IFRS 16 and recognize the arrangement as a lease.

INTEGRATED CASES

IC 14-1 Big Bath Emporium (BBE)

<u>Overview</u>

- Bank would like audited statements and debt covenant requires a debt/equity ratio of no more than 1.1/1. Therefore, the statements must follow GAAP (may use ASPE or IFRS) and debt and equity are sensitive numbers may be a bias to ensure that the debt covenant is not broken since they need the bank loan in order to finance their expansion to Quebec. Bob will use the statements to assess financial position and performance.
- Formerly income tax minimization may have been the objective, since BBE is a private company. As such, BBE was not legally bound by GAAP. However, an audit is now required and there will be a bias to ensure that the debt covenants are met.
- As auditors, we must ensure that the statements are transparent. Differences between IFRS and ASPE will be noted.

Analysis and recommendations - MEMO

To: Manager, Brayden LLP

From: Senior Accountant, Brayden LLP

Re: Accounting issues noted for Big Bath Emporium

Introduction

The following report has been prepared to analyze the current policies in place and the transactions that took place during the year, in order to determine the issues that will be encountered during the audit. BBE may choose IFRS or ASPE. We will apply ASPE given that there is no need to use IFRS since no indication of an intention to go public.

Warranty Expense

Issue Analysis: The cash method of accounting for warranty costs is acceptable when the costs are not material or when the warranty period is relatively short. It may also be acceptable when the amount of the liability cannot be reasonably estimated or if future costs are not likely to be incurred. However, the current warranty expense is material and can be estimated, therefore, the cash method is not acceptable.

Given that the warranty is sold as a separate product, the revenue from the warranty should be recognized (unearned revenues). The company has sold 100 warranties at \$5000 each, for total revenue of \$500,000. However, given that the performance on the warranty takes place over five years, the revenue should be recognized over time. Given that the warranty costs are incurred relatively evenly over five years, the revenue should also be recognized evenly over five years, \$100,000 per year.

The estimated costs of the warranty are \$500 per year for 5 years. Since BBE is recording warranty on a cash basis, we would need to adjust (no warrant costs were incurred this year but should accrue one year's worth of costs in order to match with the revenues recognized = 500×100 warranties X 1 year = 50,000)

Since the company previously recognized revenue on the cash basis, need to reverse the revenue amount pertaining to future years' service and recognize as unearned revenues (\$400,000).

Implication on D/E. This increases liabilities by \$400,000 in deferred revenue. In addition, increases liabilities by \$50,000 for the warranty liability. Since BBE uses the cash basis to record the warranty and revenue, the revenue will decrease by \$400,000 and expenses wil increase by \$50,000, for overall net impact on income of \$450,000, decreasing retained earnings and equity.

Decommissioning costs

Issue Analysis: The loss should be accrued since the costs meet the definition of a liability: result of a past transaction (since the facility has already been built), probable outflow of resources (government required, thus, probable), and costs are measurable (management is able to estimate the costs). Given that the amount is due in ten years, the cost needs to be discounted. We can use the recent borrowing rate from the bank at 9% for discounting. Using present value tables: $500,000 \times 0.42241 = $211,205$. Since the amount is a decommissioning cost, the \$211,205 increases the cost of the asset, and is also recorded as a liability.

Buildings	211,205	
Asset Retirement Obligation		211,205

Each year, the liability will be increased, the increase recorded as an interest expense. The asset will be depreciated with the increased amount.

Each year, the company would recognize the increase in ARO due to accretion as follows:

Year	Balance	Accretion (9%)
0	\$211,205	\$19,008
1	\$211,205 + 19,008 = 230,213	\$20,719
2	\$230,213 + 20,719 = 250,932	\$22,584
3	\$250,932 + 22,584 = 273,516	\$24,616
4	\$273,516 + 24,616 = 298,132	\$26,832
5	\$298,132 + 26,832 = 324,963	\$29,247
6	\$324,963 + 29,247 = 354,210	\$31,879
7	\$354,210 + 31,879 = 386,089	\$34,747
8	\$386,089 + 34,747 = 420,837	\$37,875
9	\$420,837 + 37,875 = 458,712	\$41,284
10	\$458,712 + 41,284 = 500,000	\$0

Impact on D/E: This negatively impacts the ratio as debt will increase by \$211,205, but, it is required for GAAP compliance.

Interest Free Loan

Issue Analysis: Long-term debt is recorded at the present value (fair value) of the stream of payments. Currently, BBE recorded the liability at the face value of \$200,000, however, this represents the undiscounted amount, and therefore, both assets and liabilities are overstated. The present value of the payments, is calculated using the 9% interest rate on the current bank loan over two years. Present value using tables: $$200,000 \times 0.84168 = $168,336$. Thus, the inventory and the liability should be recorded at \$168,336. However, since the net realizable value of the inventory is only \$100,000, and the inventory must be recorded at the lower of cost and net realizable value, the inventory needs to be written down again to \$100,000, representing a loss of \$68,336. The adjusting entries would be:

Liability Inventory	31,664	31,664
Loss on Inventory Inventory	68,336	68,336
Interest Expense Liability	15,150	15,150

Impact on D/E at year end: Liabilities decrease by \$16,514, which reflects positively on the the debt to equity ratio, but income decreases by \$83,486 due to the write down and interest expense, which decreases retained earnings and decreases equity.

Contingent liability

Issue Analysis: The liability should be recognized because the criteria of likely and measurable are met. The liability is likely given that the lawyers predict a settlement. The liability is also measurable because the lawyers anticipate a settlement between \$100,000 and \$120,000. Under ASPE, the contingent liability should be recorded at the lower end of the range, \$100,000. Under IFRS, this would need to be recognized at the mid point of the range, \$110,000. Although Thomas believes that there will be no outflow, the lawyers anticipate a settlement, and thus, the adjusting journal entry is as follows:

Litigation Expense	100,000	
Contingent Liability		100,000

Impact on D/E: The ratio is negatively impacted by the \$100,000 expense, decreasing retained earnings and equity, and increasing liabilities by \$100,000.

Redeemable and Retractable Shares

Issue Analysis: BBE issued 10,000 redeemable and retractable preferred shares at \$50 each. BBE has classified the shares as equity, however, ASPE/IFRS requires the substance of the instruments to be assessed, as opposed to the legal form.

Elements of Equity

- Dividends are to be declared on a discretionary period after the expiry of the retraction period
- Dividends after the retraction period are not cumulative.

Elements of Debt

- Mandatory dividend payment of \$10 per share requires the delivery of cash for the first five years.
- The shares are retractable at the discretion of the holder, therefore, requiring BBE to deliver cash. The likelihood of the holders retracting the shares is high given that after 5 years, the retraction period expires and dividends are no longer mandatory or cumulative.

Based on the substance of the transaction, ASPE/IFRS provides guidance on when preferred shares establish a contractual obligation to deliver cash indirectly through the terms and conditions, such as these preferred shares. These shares should be classified as a financial liability. ASPE has an exemption for redeemable and retractable shares issued in a tax planning arrangement. Under the exemption the shares may be recognized as equity, and the dividends accounted for as ordinary dividends through retained earnings. As such, under ASPE, no revision would be needed. However, under IFRS, the shares would need to be reclassified as a liability, and the dividend of \$100,000 that went through the retained earnings should go through the income statement as interest expense.

Impact on D/E: Under ASPE, there would be no change. Under IFRS, the debt is understated by the \$500,000 and the income is overstated by \$100,000 dividend.

Payment of Dividend on Common Shares

Issue Analysis: Prior to paying the dividend, Bob should look at the revised covenant to determine if it is met after all the adjustments.

Summary: I have prepared Exhibit I to summarize the GAAP adjustments and the impact on the D/E ratio. After making all of the GAAP adjustments, the debt-to-equity ratio will be 1.16:1, definitely in violation of compliance with the covenants. Once the dividend is paid, equity will decrease by \$800,000, and the ratio will increase to 2.11:1.

Exhibit 1 - Recalculation of D/E Ratio				
De	Equity			
Preliminary	1,300,000	2,400,000		
Debt-to-equity ratio	0.54	:1		
GAAP Adjustments				
1) Warranty expense	450,000	(450,000)		
2) Decommissioning cost	211,205	0		
S				
3) Interest free loan	(16,514)	(83,486)		
4) Contingent liability	100,000	(100,000)		
5) Redeemable shares	<u>0</u>	<u>0</u>		
Pre-dividend balances	2,044,691	1,766,514		
Debt-to-equity ratio	1.16	:1		
Dividend		(800,000)		
Adjusted balance				
	2,044,691	966,664		
Adjusted D/E ratio	2.11	: 1		

It is recommended that Bob does not pay the dividend, and that the Company seeks an alternative to avoid the covenant violation and classification of the long-term debt as current. Additional equity is required. Alternatively, the company should renegotiate the covenant with the bank, since, even without the dividend, the covenant is still breached.

IC 14-2 RTL

Overview:

- RTL is a private family run business so ASPE is an option. There are no future plans of going public so IFRS is not required.
- The bank will use the financial statements to assess going concern and RTL's ability to pay interest and principal.
- Management will use the financial statements to assess RTL's transition to digital printing and achievement of revenue targets.
- The auditors will be auditing the financial statements with a transparent reporting objective.
- There is a potential for management bias and aggressive accounting policies.
 - RTL's profits have been declining for the past 2 years and it has recently lost 50% of its revenue.
 - RTL also entered into an agreement with the bank for a restructuring of its loan.
- Our reporting objective as the controller is to fairly present the statements.

Issue: Recognition of the restructuring of debt.

A modification of debt can be treated as a settlement if the following condition is met.

If the discounted PV under the new terms (discounted at the original effective rate) is at least 10% different from the discounted PV of the remaining cash flows under the old debt – the old debt is treated as a settlement and removed from the books.

Old debt: \$2,000,000 (debt is due end of 2017) New debt: \$1,500,000 (0.75132) + \$120,000 (2.48685) = \$1,425,402

IC 14-2 RTL (CONTINUED)

10% of the value of the old debt is \$200,000. The difference between the old and new debt is greater than \$200,000. Therefore, this restructuring qualifies as a settlement of the old debt.

The discount rates are calculated using the following:

Discount rate of 0.75132 is the PV discount factor for a single sum (10%, 3 years)

Discount rate of 2.48685 is the PV discount factor for an ordinary annuity (10%, 3 years)

<u>Using a</u>			
PV	\$?	Yields	\$1,425,394
	10%		
Ν	3		
PMT	\$ (120,000)		
FV	\$ (1,500,000)		
Туре	0		
		- · ·	

Using a financial calculator:

Excel formula =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

On the books, the new debt is calculated using the current market discount rates using the following:

Discount rate of 0.77218 is the PV discount factor for a single sum (9%, 3 years)

Discount rate of 2.53130 is the PV discount factor for an ordinary annuity (9%, 3 years)

Resulting present value is \$1,426,026

Using a financial calculator:

PV	\$?	Yields	\$1,462,031
1	9%		
Ν	3		
PMT	\$ (120,000)		
FV	\$ (1,500,000)		
Туре	0		

Excel formula =PV(rate,nper,pmt,fv,type)

IC 14-2 RTL (CONTINUED)

The following journal entry is required (using the PV tables):

Debt (old)	2,000,000	
Debt (new)		1,462,026
Gain on Restructuring of Debt		537,974

Issue: Revenue recognition of the digital contract sales.

Immediate recognition	Defer recognition
 Nonrefundable fee – no additional service is required to be performed by RTL. The upfront fee is paid upfront ensuring collectability and measurability. Minimum contract fee – RTL earns a minimal contract fee at the end of the contract term (2-3 years) even if no printing services are performed. Customers pay a per-unit fee for each digital print and this would be recognized as earned (covering costs). Another option is to recognize the nonrefundable fee and the minimal contract fee over the duration of the contract. 	 Nonrefundable fee – the earnings process for the sales transaction is the entire duration of the contract. RTL must be available to perform printing services on-demand – the earnings process is not completed upon signing of the contract. Minimal contract fee – same as above. Risk still remains with RTL for the duration of the contract – for the on-demand printing services. Collectability – may be an issue – 2 of RTL's digital customers have gone bankrupt. RTL does not have any history with digital customers – an appropriate estimate for an allowance for doubtful accounts may not be possible.

Conclusion: Depending on the significance of 2 digital customers that have filed for bankruptcy and RTL's limited digital sales history - collectability may be a concern and RTL should recognize the nonrefundable fee and minimal contract fee at the end of the

contract. Assuming collectability – may recognize overtime as earned.

IC 14-2 RTL (CONTINUED)

Minor Issue: Recognition of an asset retirement obligation.

The \$100,000 represents a constructive obligation. RTL is planning to sell the equipment to a vendor who will only purchase the digital printing equipment if RTL makes the necessary modifications to update the equipment and prepare it for sale.

The liability should have been recorded at PV (using the discount rate in effect at that time), not at \$00,000. The printing equipment asset should have increased by the PV of the obligation. Accretion expense should have been recorded for 2016 and 2017. As the accounting ledger for 2016 is now closed the correction must be recorded in the 2017 ledger. An adjustment to opening equity will be required. For 2017 the appropriate accretion expense must be recorded in the operating statement.

RESEARCH AND ANALYSIS

RA 14-1 BROOKFIELD ASSET MANAGEMENT INC.

(a)

Debt to total assets ratio = (Total debt) / (Total assets) Times interest earned = (Income before income taxes & interest expense) / (Interest expense)

December 31, 2013:

Debt to total assets ratio = $\frac{65,219}{$112,745} = 57.8\%$ Times interest earned = $\frac{7,242^{1}}{$2,553} = 2.84$

December 31, 2014:

Debt to total assets ratio = 76,233 / 129,480 = 58.9%Times interest earned = $9,111^2 / 2,579 = 3.53$

During 2014, BAM's solvency deteriorated slightly as its ratio of debt to total assets increased, indicating that the company's creditors financed an increased proportion of its investment in assets. However, its times interest earned also increased during 2014 indicating a modest improvement in BAM's ability to cover the interest charges associated with its debt. The increase in the coverage ratio is important, especially if interest rates increase in the economy at the same time as an increase in the debt ratio. It should be noted that BAM's business requires heavy investment in stable long-term assets, so the debt ratio is likely not out-of-line in its industry. Note 26 indicates that the company is in compliance with all covenants associated with its debt. The company appears to be in a satisfactory condition relative to its solvency and financial flexibility.

¹\$3,844 + \$845 + \$2,553

² \$5,209 + \$1,323 + \$2,579

RA 14-1 BROOKFIELD (CONTINUED)

(b) BAM has borrowed long-term through the following types of interest-bearing debt: long-term notes payable, commercial paper, bank loans, and mortgages. Details are not provided about the make-up of the subsidiary borrowings.

	Corporate	Property-specific	Subsidiary
	borrowings	mortgages	borrowings
Current (2015)	\$-0-	\$ 3,820	\$ 962
& commercial paper			
and bank borrowings			
-	574		Not available
2 to 5 years			
(2016 to 2019)	1,232	19,354	5,401
6 to 10 years			
(2020 to 2024)	1,251		
After 10 years		17,190	1,966
(2025 on)	1,042		
Deferred financing			
costs	(24)	-0-	-0-
	\$ 4,075	\$40.364	\$ 8,329

Due dates of debt reported, December 31, 2014:

Note 18 (Corporate Borrowings) gives no indication of security by anything other than the reputation of the company. However, Note 6 (Financial Assets) and Note 8 (Inventory) indicate that \$2,014 million of financial assets and \$2,284 million of inventory, respectively, are pledged as collateral/security. This is likely for any bank borrowings and notes payable. In addition, all the property-specific mortgages (non-recourse borrowings) are secured by the underlying property the funds were borrowed for, as indicated in Note 19.

The subsidiary borrowings (under non-recourse borrowings) are not described as secured, but it is likely they are also secured by a mix of current and financial assets as well as underlying property held by the subsidiaries.

RA 14-1 BROOKFIELD (CONTINUED)

Currency	Corporate	Property	Subsidiary
	Borrowings	Specific	Borrowings
		Mortgages	-
US\$	\$ 489	\$ 25,193	\$ 5,429
Canadian \$	3,036	4,839	2,596
US and Canadian \$	574		
Deferred finance costs	(24)		
Australian \$		3,865	163
British £ (pounds)		2,208	27
Brazilian reais		2,123	114
Chilean unidad de			
fomento		898	
European Union €			
(euros)		877	
Indian rupees		193	
Columbian pesos		168	
Total	\$ 4,075	\$ 40,364	\$ 8,329

Note 2(e) - Foreign Currency Translation indicates that the "U.S. dollar is the functional and presentation currency of the company." This means that all amounts presented on the December 31, 2014 balance sheet, including the corporate borrowings, property-specific mortgages, and the subsidiary borrowings are reported at or are restated into their U.S. dollar equivalent at this reporting date.

(d) The subsidiary borrowings are included in BAM's liabilities because BAM presents consolidated financial statements. As indicated in Note 2(d), such statements include the accounts (which means all the assets and liabilities) of the company and entities that BAM exercises control over – its subsidiaries. It is BAM's ability to control the "relevant activities, exposure or rights to variable returns from involvement with the investee, and the ability to use its power over the investee to affect the amount of its returns" that is the reason to include all the controlled companies' assets, liabilities, revenues and expenses in with BAM's own corporate items. In this way, BAM's existing and potential shareholders can more fully appreciate the company's financial position and financial performance.

(c)

RA 14-2 LOBLAW COMPANIES LIMITED AND EMPIRE COMPANY LIMITED

(a) The following are the debt-to-total asset and times interest earned ratios for the companies:

millions	Loblaw	Empire
	January 3, 2015	May 2, 2015
Total liabilities	\$20,897	\$5,436.5
Total assets	33,684	11,473.4
Debt to asset ratio	0.62	0.47
Earnings before interest and taxes	662	743.6
Interest expense*	584	156.3
Times interest earned	1.13	4.76

* Due to the difficulty in separating out the appropriate net direct interest cost associated with each company's total liabilities, the net finance charges used on the statement of income of each company were used.

From the above analysis, it appears that Loblaw has more relatively more debt than Empire in its capital structure. This results in its times interest earned ratio also being lower than Empire's. However, these results need to be further investigated. For example, Empire has significant operating leases that need to be considered in preparing a full analysis. In this case, the use of operating leases and the resulting accounting for them means that many of Empire's assets and obligations are "off-balance sheet" and not captured on the statement of financial position. (See discussion below in part (c).) In addition, Loblaw's acquisition, and consolidation of the accounts of Shoppers Drug Mart during the fiscal year ending January 3, 2015 has affected many of their ratios, and this was not "business as usual."

(b) The following key financial condition ratios are highlighted in either or both of each company's Management Discussion and Analysis and its note to the financial statements on Capital Management, with all terms defined in the discussion:

	Loblaw	Empire
	(Note 25)	(Note 30)
Funded debt to total capital ratio		27.7%
Net funded debt to net capital ratio		25.1%
Funded debt to EBITDA		1.9X
EBITDA to interest expense		8.9X
Adjusted debt to adjusted EBITDA	3.1:1	
Net debt to equity	0.8:1	

RA 14-2 LOBLAW AND EMPIRE (CONTINUED)

(b) (continued)

As can be seen from the above table, the companies use different ratios to monitor and present their debt financial condition, and the ratios are calculated differently. Since these are non-GAAP measures, there is detail provided as to how these ratios have been calculated in the "Non-GAAP Financial Measures" section of the MD&A, although Empire provides this information in its Capital Management note as well. Financial analysts may calculate their own ratios so that the two companies could be compared on the same basis.

In addition, because Loblaw's operations include PC Bank, it is subject to regulatory requirements of the Superintendent of Financial Institutions (OSFI), particularly for equity capital ratios.

(c) Reviewing the long term debt (Note 15) of Empire, the company has a relatively small amount of first mortgage loans repayable 2015 to 2033, medium term notes coming due between 2018 to 2040, shorter term sinking fund debentures coming due in 2016, unsecured notes also due in 2016, credit facilities due in 2017, finance lease obligations payable over the 2015 to 2040 period, and miscellaneous other debt. According to Empire's MD&A, the Dominion Bond Rating Service (DBRS) assessed the credit rating of Sobeys (Empire's major food retailer and operating company) as BBB (low) with a stable trend, and Standard and Poors (S&P) rated it at a BBB- rating with a negative trend.

Loblaw, in note 22, outlines that its debt is primarily made up of notes payables which mature on various dates from 2016 to 2043. It also has borrowings under a term loan facility due in 2019, mortgage secured debt, guaranteed investment certificates (GICs) and independent securitization and funding trust borrowings. In addition, the company has finance lease obligations. In Section 9.3 of Loblaw's MD&A, the company reports that both DBRS and S&P assigned the company a credit rating of BBB with a stable trend.

The primary reason behind the lower rating for Empire is not initially obvious as the most recent capital ratios in part (a) were better for Empire than for Loblaw. It could be due to the extent of Empire's off-balance sheet operating lease obligations: a gross lease obligation of \$1,385.7 + \$1,492.2 = \$2,877.9 million, more than its total of on-balance sheet long-term debt of \$2,295.9. Loblaw, on the other hand, reports only \$5,573 million gross lease obligations, less than half its reported long-term debt. Credit analysts would consider all obligations both on and off the statement of financial position in order to assess financial risk.

RA 14-2 LOBLAW AND EMPIRE (CONTINUED)

(c) (continued)

It should also be noted that the BBB (low) and BBB- rating with a negative trend are both for Sobeys, not for Empire, and it is the Sobeys subsidiary that has the operating leases on its store sites.

One additional factor that should be considered is the Loblaw acquisition of Shoppers Drug Mart during the 2014 fiscal year. This had the effect of increasing the debt on Loblaw's financial statements, but also increasing the potential for future operating cash flows to repay the debt taken on, as well as reducing operating expenses. Financial analysts would consider all these future factors.

(d) Note 30 provides Empire's capital management disclosures. The company's objectives in managing its capital are: to ensure ongoing liquidity, to minimize its cost of capital, to maintain an optimal capital structure to ensure financial flexibility and that financial covenants are met, and to maintain an investment grade credit rating. The company defines "capital under management" as including all interest-bearing debt (funded debt) net of cash and cash equivalents, plus shareholders' equity net of non-controlling interests. The total capital measure at May 2, 2015 was \$7,983.8 million. The key ratios monitored are: funded debt to total capital; funded debt to EBITDA and EBITDA to interest expense. Empire had three financial covenants to maintain for which they were in compliance: (1) adjusted total debt to EBITDA, (2) lease adjusted debt to EBITDAR (note: the "R" refers to rent) and (3) debt service coverage ratio: EBITDA to the total of interest expense and repayments of long-term debt over the previous 52 weeks.

In Note 25, Loblaw outlines its capital disclosures. It has five objectives in managing its capital: to ensure sufficient liquidity to pay its obligations and to carry out its operating and strategic plans; to reduce the debt taken on with the Shoppers Drug Mart acquisition in order to return the company's credit rating to investment grade; to maintain financial capacity and the ability to access capital as needs arise; to minimize its cost of capital; and to use short term funding to manage working capital needs and long term funding to finance long term capital investments.

Management defines capital as the total of its bank indebtedness, current debt, current and long-term portions of long-term debt, certain other liabilities, capital securities and Loblaw shareholders' equity. At January 3, 2015 capital under management amounted to \$25,261 million.

RA 14-2 LOBLAW AND EMPIRE (CONTINUED)

(d) (continued)

Loblaw monitors certain interest coverage and leverage ratios as defined by loan facility agreements. Its major subsidiary, Choice Properties, also has defined debt service and leverage financial ratios it must meet under creditor agreements. Loblaw's regulated PC Bank subsidiary is required to meet a common equity Tier 1 capital ratio of 4.0%, a Tier 1 capital ratio of 5.5%, a total capital ratio of 8% as well as new liquidity adequacy requirements such as a liquidity coverage ratio, and by January 1, 2018, a net stable funding ratio standard. Loblaw does not provide the results of its ratios except to state that it has been in compliance with all requirements throughout the year.

(e) Empire explains clearly in Note 3 that structured entities are entities controlled by the company through means other than share ownership and voting control. Instead, the company has rights through existing agreements that give it the ability to direct the other entities' activities that significantly affect the returns to Empire. Such entities are fully consolidated with their assets and liabilities being combined with those of Empire and its subsidiaries. While these structured entities are not specifically identified, the company has franchise affiliates where control is attained through franchise agreements, guarantees and standby letters of credit.

Loblaw, in Notes 2, 13 and 18, explains its consolidated structured entities. These include independent franchisees of the company who obtained funding from a structured independent funding trust associated with Loblaw to help with their purchase of inventory and fixed assets. Also, through its banking subsidiary, PC Bank, Loblaw is party to securitization programs that provide funds to operate its credit card operations (Eagle Credit Card Trust). This involves selling some of its interests in credit card receivables to Eagle.

PC Bank continues to service the credit cards and retains the rights to future cash flows after its obligations to Eagle have been met. Loblaw also has set up trusts to acquire company shares that will be needed under its executive compensation restricted share unit (RSU) and its performance share unit (PSU) stock option plans. The company provides the funds to the trust to acquire its shares and it earns a management fee from the trust. All the consolidated structured entities are fully consolidated with their assets and liabilities reported with those of Loblaw itself.

Loblaw also has unconsolidated structured entities. These are made up of securitization trusts managed by major Canadian banks, and which Loblaw

cannot control through share ownership or management and asset agreements.

RA 14-3 DBRS

Note: the solution to this question will necessarily differ in some respects, depending on the industry chosen by the student. What follows is derived from "Rating Companies in the Merchandising Industry."

(a) DBRS explains that there are three steps in assigning a rating to a particular security: first there is a general industry assessment and risk rating assigned; then, through a combination of a more detailed business risk assessment for a specific issuer, and a financial risk assessment and rating, the specific issuer is given a rating; and lastly, a specific instrument rating is determined based on the issuer rating, fine-tuned with detailed input about the conditions associated with the specific security.

In summary, the financial risk assessment is one part of a two-step process to convert the risk rating for a particular industry into one for a specific company in that industry.

(b) The following discussion relates to the merchandising industry, defined by DBRS as companies "principally involved in the selling of any number and type of consumer products and services." Restaurant chains, wholesalers and distributors in these consumer segments are also included.

Industry factors considered in assigning a BBB rating to the industry:

- General characteristics include average stability, low barriers to entry (high competition), sensitivity to changing real estate conditions, and minimal regulation
- Some segments of the industry are very sensitive to changing in economic cycles and some are fairly insensitive to economic conditions
- Large volume retailers have a distinct advantage related to purchasing power, distribution efficiencies, and negotiating power and general influence
- Key success factors for long-term success include effective working capital management, growth and adaptability, maximization of inventory turnover, and minimization of price-cutting
- New market accessibility and growth are possible with product and geographic diversification
- Both leasing and ownership of property have decided advantages and disadvantages
- Offering credit cards and other financial services can help operations but come with increased risk

The industry risk rating is determined for the average firm in the industry, and then the specific company whose business risk is being assessed is rated relative to this "average company."

RA 14-3 DBRS (CONTINUED)

(b) (continued)

Primary general <u>business risk</u> assessment factors of an issuer:

- The nature of the product offering
- The extent to which the company has a brand name
- The company's operational efficiency related to inventory management, sales and pricing issues
- The relative scale of its size, and therefore, influence in the market place
- The extent of its geographic diversification and extent of market saturation
- Location and flexibility of its property, lease attributes
- For all industries: sovereign risk associated with country of operation, and corporate governance related matters
- For some issuers: Product positioning, physical size, location and level of service; discounter vs. high-end retailer characteristics; e-commerce and on-line opportunities
- Consumer changing demands and company adaptability
- Management and labour relationships
- Existence of loyalty programs, credit cards and associated financial businesses
- For restaurants; also consider whether franchise, owner-operated or corporate operated
- For wholesalers and distributors: also consider company's distribution network and logistics management abilities

RA 14-3 DBRS (CONTINUED)

(b) (continued)

General financial risk assessment factors of an issuer:

- A thorough assessment of liquidity in addition to a current and/or quick ratio – such as cash on hand, operating cash inflows, and availability of bank financing, all compared with short and medium uses of liquidity such as operations, capital expenditures, debt repayments, share buy-backs, dividends, etc.
- Medium term profitability, assessed through a variety of measures such as return on capital
- Free cash flow and other metrics to assess a company's capacity to generate cash for debt repayment
- The company's internal financial policies such as targeted leverage, and dividend and other policies that might indicate a preference for owners over creditors
- Whether the company has had any difficulties in raising capital
- Depending on the company, measures that involve its capital structure, pension liabilities, and off balance sheet liabilities such as operating lease obligations where various metrics such as determining the amount of debt, equity, EBITDA and cash flows have to be adjusted for the related effects
- Calculating primary metrics such as cash-flow-to-debt, debt-to-EBITDA, EBITDA-to-interest and debt-to-capital

In assessing these financial risks, DBRS points out that their ratings are based on future expectations for the metrics, and that this is subjective after analysing the historic measures. In addition, a company's ratios tend to move from the averages calculated, particularly in cyclical businesses, but also in others from time to time, so a single simple metric cannot be used on its own. The rating agency also cautions that adjustments may be needed for inter-company comparisons due to the use of different accounting principles; and for consistency of the ratio variables with the financial terms according to DBRS definitions.

RA 14-3 DBRS (CONTINUED)

(c) DBRS defines the following terms used in part (b) above in its publication DBRS Criteria: Financial Ratio Definitions and Accounting Adjustments – Non-Financial Companies:

Cash: cash & cash equivalents + short-term investments Debt, total: short-term debt + long-term debt + hybrid debt portion + capital leases Debt, net: total debt – cash EBITDA: revenue – cost of goods sold – selling, general and administrative expenses Interest expense, gross: all interest expense + debt hybrid interest expense + capitalized interest (excludes any IFRS adjustment) Interest expense, net: gross interest expense – interest income from cash and short-term investments Capital, total: total debt + total preferred equity + total common equity + minority interest + capital leases Capital, adjusted: total capital + capitalized operating leases

Ratio analysis is much closer to an art than to a science. An art requires the significant use of judgement in determining an outcome, whereas a science has a more prescribed outcome. Science is more "fact" and art is more "opinion."

DBRS defines 47 ratios, 54 ratio terms, 7 particular areas where further adjustments might be needed, as well as guidance on off-balance-sheet items that might need to be considered, in order to standardize the input involved in determining ratio values to a reasonable extent. Even with this extent of guidance, judgement is still required in developing the appropriate metrics.

Once having the metrics based on historic numbers, judgement needs to be applied in assessing future results and positions because this is the key in rating companies and preparing inter-company comparisons. Using the ratios also involves taking into account a multitude of variables related to economic conditions and industry outlooks. It is definitely an area that requires significant professional judgement gained from experience.

RA 14-4 AIR CANADA & WESTJET

(a) Debt to equity ratio = (Total debt) / (Total equity)
 Times interest earned = (Income before income taxes & interest expense) / (Interest expense)

Air Canada: (in \$ million)

Debt to equity ratio = $\frac{11,781}{($1,133)} = n/a$ Times interest earned = $\frac{105 + 322}{5322} = 1.33$ times

WestJet: (in \$ thousands)

Debt to equity ratio = \$2,868,931 / \$1,777,502 = 161.4% Times interest earned = (\$390,307 + \$51,838) / \$51,838 = 8.53 times

The ratios indicate that Air Canada is very highly leveraged and very risky, particularly with its negative shareholders' equity. This means that amounts owed to creditors amount to more than the company's total assets! However, its income before taxes and interest this year were sufficient to cover its interest cost, although just barely.

WestJet's debt to equity ratio appears more reasonable with liabilities equal to 161.4% of its shareholders' equity. While still a very high ratio, it is considerably better than Air Canada's. Its operations also appear less risky with income before interest and taxes being a little over 8.5 times its required interest cost. Here there is a far better safety net in case of difficulties.

(b) Air Canada: adjusted debt to equity ratio

Adjusted debt to equity ratio = \$7,407 / (\$1,133) = n/a

WestJet: adjusted debt to equity = \$2,557,038 / \$1,777,502 = 143.9%

The results of the revised calculations underscore the necessity of always understanding what is included in the terms used in any given ratio. It is reasonable for the companies to zero in on their long-term interest-bearing debt, including the addition of the capitalized amount of operating leases, as being an important part of the capital they manage as both Air Canada and WestJet have done. The leverage ratios used internally for management purposes -- for both companies – appear better than using the general ratio often used.

RA 14-4 AIR CANADA & WESTJET (CONTINUED)

(b) (continued)

Both determined "adjusted debt" using the same approach: they added capitalized operating lease obligations to their long-term debt (including its current portion) and both excluded current liabilities from the definition of debt. However, Air Canada's adjustments were done on a more liberal basis than WestJet's more conservative approach. For example, WestJet calculated the capitalized operating lease obligations using a multiplier of 7.5 times annual lease/rent expense, while Air Canada used a factor of 7.0 times – both companies indicating this was the industry norm.

For the debt to equity measures used internally by the companies, both made adjustments to the shareholders' equity numbers on the statement of financial position. Air Canada, in fact, measures "equity" as the price of its common shares in the market at year end, thus providing a positive number to use in its adjusted debt-to-equity ratio. The internal measure used was 7,407 / (\$68 + \$3,401) = 213.5%, and the company does not provide any information on what guidelines it aims for and judges acceptable. WestJet makes only a small adjustment to its reported shareholders' equity

WestJet makes only a small adjustment to its reported shareholders' equity by adding back the hedging reserves portion of shareholders' equity. Its internal adjusted debt-to-equity measure, therefore, is \$2,557,038 / \$1,780,681 = 143.6%. WestJet discloses that it has a guideline for adjusted debt-to-equity of less than 3 (that is, 300%). It is well within this guideline.

- (c) Air Canada indicates that it can adjust its capital structure through varying the following decisions:
 - Lease versus purchase decisions
 - Deferring or cancelling aircraft expenditures by not exercising or by selling options it has for aircraft
 - Issuing debt or issuing equity securities
 - Repurchasing shares

WestJet indicates that it has choices of the following in order to maintain its capital structure:

- Purchase shares for cancellation
- Issue new shares
- Pay dividends
- Adjust current and projected debt levels

LEGAL NOTICE

Copyright © 2016 by John Wiley & Sons Canada, Ltd. or related companies. All rights reserved.



The data contained in these files are protected by copyright. This manual is furnished under licence and may be used only in accordance with the terms of such licence.

The material provided herein may not be downloaded, reproduced, stored in a retrieval system, modified, made available on a network, used to create derivative works, or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic, mechanical, photocopying, recording, scanning, or otherwise without the prior written permission of John Wiley & Sons Canada, Ltd.

MMXVI IV F1