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|  |  |  | 4 Add Question Here |
| Question 2 | Multiple Choice | 0 points | Modity |
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Add Question Here

## Question

The models used in economics:
Answer are usually limited to variables that are directly related.
are essentially not reliable because they are not testable in the real world.
are of necessity unrealistic and not related to the real world.
$\checkmark$ emphasize basic relationships by abstracting from complexities in the everyday world.

Add Question Here

## Question 5 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

Economic models are:
Answer created and used to duplicate reality.
useless if they are simple.
made generally of wood, plastic, and/or metal.
$\checkmark$ often useful in forming economic policy.

## Question 6 Multiple Choice

0 points
Add Question Here

## Question

The importance of an economic model is that it allows us to:
Answer build a complex and accurate model of the economy. build an accurate mathematical model of the economy.
$\checkmark$ focus on the effects of only one change at a time.
avoid opportunity costs.
Add Question Here

## Question $7 \quad$ Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

The production possibility frontier illustrates that:
Answer the economy will automatically end up at full employment.
an economy's productive capacity increases proportionally with its population.
$\checkmark$ if all resources of an economy are being used efficiently, more of one good can be produced only if less of another good is produced.
economic production possibilities have no limit.
Add Question Here

## Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

Table: Production Possibilities Schedule I

| Alternatives | $\boldsymbol{A}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}$ | $\boldsymbol{D}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}$ | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Consumer goods per period | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Capital goods per period | 30 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 10 | 0 |

Reference: Ref 2-1
(Table: Production Possibilities Schedule I) Look at the table Production Possibilities Schedule I. If the economy produces 2 units of consumer goods per period, it also can produce at most $\qquad$ units of capital goods per period.
Answer

## Question

Table: Production Possibilities Schedule I

| Alternatives | $\boldsymbol{A}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}$ | $\boldsymbol{D}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}$ | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Consumer goods per period | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Capital goods per period | 30 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 10 | 0 |

Reference: Ref 2-1
(Table: Production Possibilities Schedule I) Look at the table Production Possibilities Schedule I. If the economy produces 10 units of capital goods per period, it also can produce at most $\qquad$ units of consumer goods per period.

## Answer

5
$\checkmark$
3
3

Add Question Here
Question 10 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Table: Production Possibilities Schedule I

| Alternatives | $\boldsymbol{A}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}$ | $\boldsymbol{D}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}$ | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Consumer goods per period | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Capital goods per period | 30 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 10 | 0 |

Reference: Ref 2-1
(Table: Production Possibilities Schedule I) Look at the table Production Possibilities
Schedule I. The opportunity cost of producing the fourth unit of consumer goods is ___ units of capital goods.
Answer 2
4
6
$\checkmark 8$
Add Question Here
Question 11
Multiple Choice
0 points


## Question

Figure: Guns and Butter


Reference: Ref 2-2
(Figure: Guns and Butter) Look at the figure Guns and Butter. On this figure, points $\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}$, $E$, and $F$ :
Answer $\checkmark$ indicate combinations of guns and butter that society can produce using all of its factors efficiently.
show that the opportunity cost of more guns increases but that of more butter decreases.
indicate that society wants butter more than it wants guns.
indicate constant costs for guns and increasing costs for butter.

Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Figure: Guns and Butter


Reference: Ref 2-2
(Figure: Guns and Butter) Look at the figure Guns and Butter. This production possibility frontier is:

Answer $\checkmark$ bowed out from the origin because of increasing opportunity costs.
bowed in toward the origin because of increasing opportunity costs.
bowed in toward the origin because of constant costs of guns and butter.
linear because of constant costs.
Add Question Here

## Question 13 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

Figure: Guns and Butter


Reference: Ref 2-2
(Figure: Guns and Butter) Look at the figure Guns and Butter. If the economy were operating at point $\boldsymbol{B}$, producing 16 guns and 12 pounds of butter per period, a decision to move to point $\boldsymbol{E}$ and produce 18 pounds of butter:
Answer indicates you can have more butter and guns simultaneously.
makes it clear that this economy experiences decreasing opportunity costs.
$\checkmark$ involves a loss of 8 guns per period.
involves a loss of 4 guns per period.
Add Question Here
Question 14 Multiple Choice
0 points


## Question

Figure: Guns and Butter


Reference: Ref 2-2
(Figure: Guns and Butter) Look at the figure Guns and Butter. The combination of guns and butter at point $H_{\text {: }}$
Answer can be attained but would cost too much.
$\checkmark$ cannot be attained, given the level of technology and the factors of production available.
has no meaning, since it does not relate to the preferences of consumers.
is attainable but would increase unemployment.

## Question

Figure: Guns and Butter


Reference: Ref 2-2
(Figure: Guns and Butter) Look at the figure Guns and Butter. Suppose the economy produced 8 guns and 12 pounds of butter per period.

This is a possible choice but is inefficient.
The notion of increasing opportunity cost is invalidated.
The economy is still efficient but has made a decision not to buy as much as it could.
Something must be done to reduce the amount of employment.

## Question 16 <br> Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

If an economy has to sacrifice only one unit of good $\boldsymbol{X}$ for each unit of good $\boldsymbol{Y}$ produced throughout the relevant range, then its production possibility frontier has:

## Answer

> a zero slope.
$\checkmark$ a constant, negative slope. an increasing, negative slope. a decreasing, negative slope.

## Question

A production possibility frontier that is a straight line sloping down from left to right would suggest that:

## Answer

 more of both goods could be produced moving along the frontier. the two products must have the same price.$\checkmark$ the opportunity costs of the products are constant. there are no opportunity costs.

## Question

Table: Production Possibilities Schedule II

| Production alternatives | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $\boldsymbol{W}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capital goods per period | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Consumer goods per period | 20 | 18 | 14 | 8 | 0 |

Reference: Ref 2-3
(Table: Production Possibilities Schedule II) Look at the table Production Possibilities Schedule II. If the economy is producing at alternative $\boldsymbol{X}$, the opportunity cost of producing at $\boldsymbol{Y}$ instead of $\boldsymbol{X}$ is $\qquad$ units of consumer goods per period.

## Answer

0
6
8
14

## Question

Table: Production Possibilities Schedule II

| Production alternatives | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $\boldsymbol{W}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capital goods per period | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Consumer goods per period | 20 | 18 | 14 | 8 | 0 |

Reference: Ref 2-3
(Table: Production Possibilities Schedule II) Look at the table Production Possibilities Schedule II. If an economy is producing at alternative $\boldsymbol{W}$, the opportunity cost of producing at $\boldsymbol{X}$ is $\qquad$ unit(s) of consumer goods per period.

## Answer

0
1
4

## Question

Table: Production Possibilities Schedule II

| Production alternatives | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $\boldsymbol{W}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capital goods per period | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Consumer goods per period | 20 | 18 | 14 | 8 | 0 |

Reference: Ref 2-3
(Table: Production Possibilities Schedule II) Look at the figure Production Possibilities Schedule II. The production of 14 units of consumer goods and 1 unit of capital goods per period would:
Answer
result in full employment.
result in no unused resources.
$\checkmark$ result in some unused or inefficiently used resources.
increase economic growth.
Add Question Here
Question 21 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

In movement along a production possibility frontier, the opportunity cost to society of getting more of one good:
Answer is constant.
is measured in dollar terms.
$\checkmark$ is measured by the amount of the other good that must be given up. usually decreases.

Add Question Here
Question 22 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

If an economy has to sacrifice increasing amounts of good $\boldsymbol{X}$ for each unit of good $\boldsymbol{V}$ produced, then its production possibility frontier is:

Answer $\quad \checkmark$ bowed out from the origin. bowed in toward the origin. a straight line. a vertical line.

Add Question Here

## Question 23 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

The fact that a society's production possibility frontier is bowed out or concave to the origin of a graph demonstrates the law of:
Answer
$\checkmark$ increasing opportunity cost.
decreasing opportunity cost.
constant opportunity cost.
concave opportunity cost.

## Question 24 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

The economy's factors of production are not equally suitable for producing different types of goods. This principle generates:
Answer
economic growth.
technical efficiency.
underuse of resources.
$\checkmark$ the law of increasing opportunity cost.

## 0 points

## Question

Figure: Strawberries and Submarines


Reference: Ref 2-4
(Figure: Strawberries and Submarines) Look at the figure Strawberries and Submarines.
Suppose the economy is operating at point $\boldsymbol{G}$. This implies that:
the economy can move to a point such as Conly if it improves its technology.
$\checkmark$ the economy has unemployment and/or inefficiently allocates resources.
the economy lacks the resources to achieve a combination such as $\boldsymbol{C}$.
people in this economy don't really like strawberries and submarines.

Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Figure: Strawberries and Submarines


Reference: Ref 2-4
(Figure: Strawberries and Submarines) Look at the figure Strawberries and Submarines. As the economy moves from point $\boldsymbol{A}$ toward point $\boldsymbol{D}$, it will find that the opportunity cost of each additional submarine:
Answer
falls.
$\checkmark$ rises.
remains unchanged.
doubles.

## Question

Figure: Strawberries and Submarines


Reference: Ref 2-4
(Figure: Strawberries and Submarines) Look at the figure Strawberries and Submarines. Suppose the economy now operates at point $\boldsymbol{C}$. Moving to point $\boldsymbol{E}$ would require that the economy:
Answer achieve full employment and an efficient allocation of resources.
$\checkmark$ eliminate its production of strawberries.
reduce its production of submarines.
improve its technology or increase the quantities of factors of production it has.

Add Question Here

## Question 28 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

If an economy is producing a level of output that is on its production possibility frontier, the economy has:

| Answer | idle resources. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | idle resources but is using resources efficiently. |
| no idle resources but is using resources inefficiently. |  |
|  | no idle resources and is using resources efficiently. |

## Question

## Figure: Consumer and Capital Goods



Reference: Ref 2-5
(Figure: Consumer and Capital Goods) Look at the figure Consumer and Capital Goods. The movement from curve 1 to curve 2 indicates:

Answer
$\checkmark$ economic growth.
a change from unemployment to full employment.
a decrease in the level of technology.
instability.
Add Question Here

## Question

## Figure: Consumer and Capital Goods



Reference: Ref 2-5
(Figure: Consumer and Capital Goods) Look at the figure Consumer and Capital Goods.
Point $Z$ :
Answer $\checkmark$ is unattainable, all other things unchanged.
is attainable if the economy is able to reach full employment.
is attainable if the quantity and/or quality of factors decreases.
will be attained as soon as the economy becomes efficient and moves to curve 2.


Answer it is efficient in production and allocation.
$\checkmark$ it is efficient in production but not necessarily in allocation.
it is efficient in allocation but not necessarily in production.
it is not necessarily efficient in production or allocation.
Add Question Here

## Question 36 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

Consider a production possibility frontier for Iraq. If in 2014 Iraq's resources are not being fully utilized, Iraq will be somewhere $\qquad$ of its production possibility frontier.
Answer
$\checkmark$ inside
outside
near the bottom
near the top
Add Question Here
Question 37 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

All points inside the production possibility frontier represent:
Answer efficient production points.
$\checkmark$ inefficient production points.
infeasible production points.
economic growth.

## Question 38 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

All points on the production possibility frontier are:
$\begin{array}{cc}\text { Answer } \quad \checkmark \text { efficient production points. } \\ & \text { inefficient production points. } \\ & \text { infeasible production points. } \\ & \text { economic growth. }\end{array}$
Add Question Here
Question 39 Multiple Choice
0 points
Remove

## Question

All points outside the production possibility frontier are:
Answer efficient production points.
inefficient production points.
$\checkmark$ infeasible production points.
economic growth.
Add Question Here
Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Figure: Production Possibility Frontier Curve for Tealand


Reference: Ref 2-6
(Figure: Production Possibility Frontier for Tealand) Look at the figure Production Possibility Frontier for Tealand. In the figure, if Tealand is producing 10 million scones and 10 million cups of tea (point $\boldsymbol{A}$ ), we know that the economy:
Answer is using its resources efficiently.
$\checkmark$ is using its resources inefficiently.
is fully employing its resources.
has found new resources.
Add Question Here
Question 41 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Figure: Production Possibility Frontier Curve for Tealand


Reference: Ref 2-6
(Figure: Production Possibility Frontier for Tealand) Look at the figure Production Possibility Frontier for Tealand. In the figure, Tealand is producing at point $\boldsymbol{C}$ on its production possibility frontier. What is the opportunity cost in Tealand of increasing the production of tea from 20 million cups to 30 million cups?
Answer
10 million cups of tea
$\checkmark 5$ million scones
10 million scones
The answer is impossible to determine from the information given.

Question
Figure: Production Possibility Frontier Curve for Tealand


Reference: Ref 2-6
(Figure: Production Possibility Frontier for Tealand) Look at the figure Production Possibility Frontier for Tealand. In the figure, Tealand can produce at point $\boldsymbol{E}$ only if the government:
Answer eliminates unemployment.
raises taxes.
$\checkmark$ permits more immigration.
increases the cost of production by decreasing the use of technology.

## Question

The production possibility frontier is bowed out from the origin because:
Answer $\checkmark$ resources are not equally suited for the production of both goods.
resources are scarce.
economic growth leads to inefficiency.
resources are inefficiently used.

Question 44 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

The opportunity cost of production:
Answer is the price of a good.
$\checkmark$ is what you give up to produce the good.
decreases as production increases.
is what you gain by producing the good.

Question 45 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

If Poland decides to increase the production of steel—and decrease the production of vodka-the bowed-out production possibility frontier would suggest that there will be
$\qquad$ opportunity cost of producing more steel.


## Question

The effect of an increase in productive inputs such as labor and capital can be shown by:
Answer $\quad$ a point inside of the production possibility frontier.
$\checkmark$ an outward shift of the production possibility frontier.
a movement from one point to another along the production possibility
frontier.
an inward shift of the production possibility frontier.
Add Question Here

## Question 51 Multiple Choice

0 points

Remove

## Question

The effect of a tremendous natural disaster can be shown by:
Answer
a point inside of the production possibility frontier.
an outward shift of the production possibility frontier.
a movement from one point to another along the production possibility frontier.
$\checkmark$ an inward shift of the production possibility frontier.
Add Question Here
Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

An inward shift in the U.S. economy's production possibility frontier could represent which of the following?
Answer $\checkmark$ U.S. workers moving to Canada
U.S. workers moving from New Jersey to Massachusetts
U.S. economic growth
U.S. economic growth as workers move to different states

## Question

If the production possibility frontier is a straight line, which of the following is true?
Answer $\checkmark$ Opportunity costs are constant.
The firm faces increasing costs.
The firm faces decreasing costs.
There is no trade-off between the two goods represented.

## Question

Figure: Tom's Production Possibilities


Reference: Ref 2-7
(Figure: Tom's Production Possibilities) Look at the figure Tom's Production Possibilities. In the figure, which point or points represent an efficient combination of coconuts and fish that Tom could choose to produce?

Answer |  | $\boldsymbol{A}$ only |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | $\checkmark \boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ |
|  | $B$ and $\boldsymbol{C}$ |
|  | $\boldsymbol{D}$ only |

## Question

Figure: Tom's Production Possibilities


Reference: Ref 2-7
(Figure: Tom's Production Possibilities) Look at the figure Tom's Production Possibilities. In the figure, which point or points would represent an inefficient combination of coconuts and fish for Tom to produce?

## Answer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Aonly } \\
& \boldsymbol{A} \text { and } \boldsymbol{B} \\
& \text { Conly } \\
& \boldsymbol{B} \text { and } \boldsymbol{D}
\end{aligned}
$$

Question
Figure: Tom's Production Possibilities


Reference: Ref 2-7
(Figure: Tom's Production Possibilities) Look at the figure Tom's Production Possibilities. In the figure, which point or points represent a combination of coconuts and fish not feasible for Tom to produce at this time?

Answer |  | $\boldsymbol{A}$ only |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$ |
|  | $B$ and $C$ |
|  | $\checkmark \boldsymbol{D}$ only |

## 0 points

Question
Figure: Tom's Production Possibilities


Reference: Ref 2-7
(Figure: Tom's Production Possibilities) Look at the figure Tom's Production Possibilities. In the figure, which of the point or points represents the combination of coconuts and fish feasible for Tom to produce at this time?

## Answer

Aonly
$\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\boldsymbol{B}$
$\checkmark A, B$, and $C$
Donly

## Question 58 <br> Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question <br> Figure: Tom's Production Possibilities



Reference: Ref 2-7
(Figure: Tom's Production Possibilities) Look at the figure Tom's Production Possibilities. In the figure, the opportunity cost for Tom to move from point $\boldsymbol{A}$ on the curve to point $\boldsymbol{B}$ is:

## Answer

10 coconuts.
10 fish.
$\checkmark 5$ coconuts.
5 fish.
Add Question Here

Question
Figure: Tom's Production Possibilities


Reference: Ref 2-7
(Figure: Tom's Production Possibilities) Look at the figure Tom's Production Possibilities. In the figure, the opportunity cost for Tom to move from point $\boldsymbol{B}$ on the curve to point $\boldsymbol{A}$ is:
Answer
10 coconuts.
$\checkmark 10$ fish.
5 coconuts.

## Question 60

Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Figure: Tom's Production Possibilities


Reference: Ref 2-7
(Figure: Tom's Production Possibilities) Look at the figure Tom's Production Possibilities. In the figure, the opportunity cost for Tom to move from point $\boldsymbol{C}$ on the curve to point $\boldsymbol{A}$ is:

## Answer 10 coconuts.

30 fish.
5 coconuts.
$\checkmark$ There is no opportunity cost in terms of fish.

## Question

The $\qquad$ illustrates the trade-offs facing an economy that produces only two goods.

## Answer

> production possibility frontier circular-flow diagram all else equal assumption income distribution

Question

| Table: Trade-off of Study Time and Leisure Time |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Quantity of <br> Hours of Study Time | Quantity of <br> Hours of Leisure Time |
| 16 | 0 |
| 12 | 4 |
| 8 | 8 |
| 4 | 12 |
| 0 | 16 |

(Table: Trade-off of Study Time and Leisure Time) Look at the table Trade-off of Study Time and Leisure Time. A student sleeps 8 hours per day and divides the remaining time between study time and leisure time. The table shows the combinations of study and leisure time that can be produced in the 16 waking hours of each day. If a student decides to consume one additional hour of leisure time, how many hours of study time must be given up?


## Question 63 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

Question
Table: Trade-off of Study Time and Leisure Time

| Quantity of <br> Hours of Study Time | Quantity of <br> Hours of Leisure Time |
| :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 0 |
| 12 | 4 |
| 8 | 8 |
| 4 | 12 |
| 0 | 16 |

Reference: Ref 2-8
(Table: Trade-off of Study Time and Leisure Time) Look at the table Trade-off of Study Time and Leisure Time. A student sleeps 8 hours per day and divides the remaining time between study and leisure time. Suppose this student is studying 4 hours and spending 10 hours doing leisure activities. What is true about this allocation of his scarce resources?
Answer This point is outside the production possibility frontier.
$\checkmark$ This point is inside the production possibility frontier. This point is on the production possibility frontier. This point is both efficient and feasible.

## Question

Table: Trade-off of Study Time and Leisure Time

| Quantity of <br> Hours of Study Time | Quantity of <br> Hours of Leisure Time |
| :---: | :---: |
| 16 | 0 |
| 12 | 4 |
| 8 | 8 |
| 4 | 12 |
| 0 | 16 |

(Table: Trade-off of Study Time and Leisure Time) Look at the table Trade-off of Study Time and Leisure Time. A student sleeps 8 hours per day and divides the remaining time between study time and leisure time. The table shows the combinations of study and leisure time that can be produced in the 16 waking hours of each day. Suppose the student completes a speed-reading course that allows him to do the same amount of studying in half as many hours. Which of the following is now true of his opportunity costs?
Answer The opportunity cost of leisure has increased.
The opportunity cost of studying has increased.
$\checkmark$ The opportunity cost of leisure has decreased.
There is no change in the opportunity costs.

## Question 65 Multiple Choice

0 points
Add Question Here

## Question

If a production possibility frontier is a straight line, it tells us that the opportunity cost of producing one more unit of good X :

| Answer | is an increasing amount of good Y. |
| :--- | :--- |
| is a decreasing amount of good Y. |  |
| is equal to the inverse of the amount of good Y. |  |
| $\boldsymbol{J}$ is a constant amount of good Y. |  |

Add Question Here
Question 66 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Suppose Indiana produces only steel and corn, with fixed amounts of land, labor, and capital resources. Which of the following best creates potential economic growth?
Answer The unemployment rate in Indiana rises from 5\% to 6\%.
The Midwestern United States has a devastating drought.
$\checkmark$ The percentage of Indiana residents with a college degree rises from $25 \%$ to 30\%.
The United States imports more and more low-cost steel from Asian countries.

## 0 points



Remove

## Question

The production possibility frontier illustrates:
Answer $\checkmark$ the maximum quantity of one good that can be produced given the quantity of the other good produced.
that when markets don't achieve efficiency, government intervention can improve society's welfare.
the inverse relation between price and quantity of a particular good.
that people usually exploit opportunities to make themselves better off.
Add Question Here

Remove

## Question

Figure: Wine and Wheat

Figure: Wine and Wheat


Reference: Ref 2-9
(Figure: Wine and Wheat) Look at the figure Wine and Wheat. If this economy is producing 12 tons of wheat and 9,000 bottles of wine, we know the economy:
Answer $\quad \checkmark$ is using its resources efficiently.
is using its resources inefficiently.
is producing at an unattainable point.
has unemployment.

Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Figure: Wine and Wheat
Figure: Wine and Wheat


Reference: Ref 2-9
(Figure: Wine and Wheat) Look at the figure Wine and Wheat. If this economy is producing at point $\boldsymbol{A}$, we know the economy is:
Answer
using its resources efficiently.
$\checkmark$ using its resources inefficiently.
producing at an unattainable point.
trading with another country.

## Question

## Figure: Wine and Wheat

Figure: Wine and Wheat


## Reference: Ref 2-9

(Figure: Wine and Wheat) Look at the figure Wine and Wheat. If this economy is producing at point $\boldsymbol{A}$ and it wants to produce at point $\boldsymbol{B}$, it needs to:
Answer
trade with another country.
increase its resources.
decrease production.
$\checkmark$ use its existing resources efficiently.

Add Question Here

## Question

Figure: Wine and Wheat

Figure: Wine and Wheat


Reference: Ref 2-9
(Figure: Wine and Wheat) Look at the figure Wine and Wheat. What is the opportunity cost of moving from onlyproducing wheat to onlyproducing wine?

Answer | 3 tons of wheat |
| :---: |
| 6 tons of wheat |
| 9 tons of wheat |
| 15 tons of wheat |

Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

Figure: Wine and Wheat
Figure: Wine and Wheat


Reference: Ref 2-9
(Figure: Wine and Wheat) Look at the figure Wine and Wheat. What is the opportunity cost of moving from onlyproducing wheat to producing at point $\boldsymbol{D}$ ?
Answer
$\checkmark 3$ tons of wheat
6 tons of wheat

## Question

Figure: Wine and Wheat
Figure: Wine and Wheat


Reference: Ref 2-9
(Figure: Wine and Wheat) Look at the figure Wine and Wheat. If this economy is producing on the production possibility frontier, what would allow it to produce at point $\boldsymbol{C}$ ?
Answer
$\checkmark$ an improvement in technology
a decrease in resources
a decrease in production
the elimination of unemployment

Add Question Here

## Question 74 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

The U.S. production possibility frontier would $\qquad$ if all computers using Microsoft operating systems contracted a virus that deleted all information on those computers.
Answer $\quad \checkmark$ shift in
shift out
not change
cannot be determined from the information provided
Add Question Here

## Question

The U.S. production possibility frontier will $\qquad$ if there is a large influx of immigrants.
Answer

## shift in

$\checkmark$ shift out

## not change

cannot be determined from the information provided
Add Question Here

## Question

In Kessy's old kitchen, he could bake 10 cookies or mix 15 glasses of lemonade in one day. Now Kessy has a larger oven and refrigerator. How does this affect his production possibility frontier?
Answer $\quad \checkmark$ It shifts out his production possibility frontier.
It shifts in his production possibility frontier.
He will not be efficient.
He will not be able to produce as much as before.
Add Question Here

## Question 77 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

Table: Production Possibilities Schedule I

| Alternatives | $\boldsymbol{A}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}$ | $\boldsymbol{D}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}$ | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Consumer goods per period | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Capital goods per period | 30 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 10 | 0 |

Reference: Ref 2-10
(Table: Production Possibilities Schedule I) Look at the table Production Possibilities Schedule I. If the economy produces 4 units of consumer goods per period, it also can produce at most $\qquad$ units of capital goods per period.

## Answer

30
28
$\checkmark 10$
18

## Question

Table: Production Possibilities Schedule I

| Alternatives | $\boldsymbol{A}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}$ | $\boldsymbol{D}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}$ | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Consumer goods per period | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Capital goods per period | 30 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 10 | 0 |

Reference: Ref 2-10
(Table: Production Possibilities Schedule I) Look at the table Production Possibilities Schedule I. If the economy produces 24 units of capital goods per period, it also can produce at most $\qquad$ units of consumer goods per period.
Answer

## Question

Table: Production Possibilities Schedule I

| Alternatives | $\boldsymbol{A}$ | $\boldsymbol{B}$ | $\boldsymbol{C}$ | $\boldsymbol{D}$ | $\boldsymbol{E}$ | $\boldsymbol{F}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Consumer goods per period | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Capital goods per period | 30 | 28 | 24 | 18 | 10 | 0 |

Reference: Ref 2-10
(Table: Production Possibilities Schedule I) Look at the table Production Possibilities Schedule I. The opportunity cost of producing the third unit of consumer goods is
$\qquad$ units of capital goods.
Answer
2
4
$\checkmark 6$
8

Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

Figure: Production Possibility Frontier


Reference: Ref 2-11
(Figure: Production Possibility Frontier) Look at the figure Production Possibilities Frontier. Points $\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}, \boldsymbol{E}$, and $\boldsymbol{F}$.

Answer $\checkmark$ indicate combinations of cars and computers that society can produce using all of its resources efficiently.
show that the opportunity cost of more cars increases, but that of more computers decreases.
indicate that society wants computers more than cars.
indicate constant costs for cars and increasing costs for computers.
Add Question Here

## Question

Figure: Production Possibility Frontier


Reference: Ref 2-11
(Figure: Production Possibility Frontier) Look at the figure Production Possibilities Frontier. This production possibility frontier is:
Answer $\checkmark$ bowed out from the origin because of increasing opportunity costs. bowed in toward the origin because of increasing opportunity costs. bowed in toward the origin because of constant cost of cars and computers.
linear because of constant costs.

## 0 points

## Question

Figure: Production Possibility Frontier


Reference: Ref 2-11
(Figure: Production Possibility Frontier) Look at the figure Production Possibilities Frontier. If the economy is operating at point $\boldsymbol{B}$, producing 16 cars and 12 computers per period, a decision to move to point $\boldsymbol{E}$ and produce 18 computers:

Answer
indicates you can have more computers and cars simultaneously.
makes it clear that this economy has decreasing opportunity costs.

## Question

## Figure: Production Possibility Frontier



Reference: Ref 2-11
(Figure: Production Possibility Frontier) Look at the figure Production Possibilities Frontier. The combination of cars and computers at point $H$.
Answer can be attained but would cost too much.
$\checkmark$ cannot be attained given the level of technology and the resources available.
has no meaning, since it is not what consumers want.
is attainable but would increase unemployment.

## Question

Figure: Production Possibility Frontier


Reference: Ref 2-11
(Figure: Production Possibility Frontier) Look at the figure Production Possibilities

Frontier. If the economy is producing 8 cars and 12 computers per period:
Answer $\checkmark$ this is an attainable choice but involves unemployment or inefficiency.
the notion of increasing opportunity cost is invalidated.
the economy is still efficient but has made a decision not to buy as much as it could.
something must be done to reduce the amount of employment.
Add Question Here

## Question 85 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

Figure: Production Possibility Frontier


Reference: Ref 2-11
(Figure: Production Possibility Frontier) Look at the figure Production Possibilities Frontier. A movement from producing 12 cars and 16 computers per period to point $\boldsymbol{B}$ means:

Answer $\quad \checkmark$ a loss of 4 computers and a gain of 4 cars per period. a gain of 2 cars and a loss of 4 computers per period. a gain of 4 cars and a loss of 6 computers per period. a loss of 2 cars and a gain of 4 computers per period.

## Question

Figure: Production Possibility Frontier

(Figure: Production Possibility Frontier) Look at the figure Production Possibilities Frontier. Which of the following is notthe maximum amounts of cars and computers this economy can produce?

Answer | 18 cars and 0 computers per period |
| :---: |
| 0 cars and 20 computers per period |
| 16 cars and 12 computers per period |
|  |
|  |

## Question 87 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

If Farmer Sam MacDonald can produce 200 pounds of cabbages and no potatoes or no cabbages and 100 pounds of potatoes and if he faces a linear production possibility frontier for his farm, the opportunity cost of producing an additional pound of potatoes is
$\qquad$ pound(s) of cabbage.

## Answer

1/2
$\checkmark 2$
100
200
Add Question Here
Question 88 Multiple Choice

## Question

If Farmer Sam MacDonald can produce 200 pounds of cabbages and no potatoes or no cabbages and 100 pounds of potatoes and if he faces a linear production possibility frontier for his farm, the opportunity cost of producing an additional pound of cabbage is
$\qquad$ pound(s) of potatoes.
Answer
$\checkmark 1 / 2$
2
100
200
Add Question Here
Question 89 Multiple Choice
Question
The slope of a typical production possibility frontier is:
Answer
0.
vertical.
positive.
$\checkmark$ negative.

## Question 90 Multiple Choice

## Question

Table: Production Possibilities Schedule II

| Production alternatives | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $\boldsymbol{W}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capital goods per period | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Consumer goods per period | 20 | 18 | 14 | 8 | 0 |

Reference: Ref 2-12
(Table: Production Possibilities Schedule II) Look at the table Production Possibilities Schedule II. If the economy is producing at alternative $\boldsymbol{V}$, the opportunity cost to it of producing at $Z$ is $\qquad$ units of consumer goods per period.

## Answer

$$
\begin{array}{r}
1 \\
6 \\
8
\end{array}
$$

14

## Question 91 Multiple Choice

0 points
Add Question Here

## Question

Table: Production Possibilities Schedule II

| Production alternatives | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $\boldsymbol{W}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capital goods per period | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Consumer goods per period | 20 | 18 | 14 | 8 | 0 |

Reference: Ref 2-12
(Table: Production Possibilities Schedule II) Look at the table Production Possibilities Schedule II. If an economy is producing at alternative $\boldsymbol{X}$, the opportunity cost to it of producing at $\gamma$ is $\qquad$ units of consumer goods per period.

## Answer

Add Question Here
Question 92 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Table: Production Possibilities Schedule II

| Production alternatives | $\boldsymbol{V}$ | $\boldsymbol{W}$ | $\boldsymbol{X}$ | $\boldsymbol{Y}$ | $\boldsymbol{Z}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capital goods per period | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| Consumer goods per period | 20 | 18 | 14 | 8 | 0 |

Reference: Ref 2-12
(Table: Production Possibilities Schedule II) Look at the table Production Possibilities Schedule II. The production of 8 units of consumer goods and 2 units of capital goods per period would:

Answer
result in full employment.
result in no unused resources.
$\checkmark$ result in some unused or inefficiently used resources.
increase economic growth.
Add Question Here

## Question 93 Multiple Choice

0 points
Question
Figure: Bicycles and Radishes I


Reference: Ref 2-13
(Figure: Bicycles and Radishes I) Look at the figure Bicycles and Radishes I. It shows the production possibility frontiers for two countries that produce only radishes and bicycles. The axes of both graphs are measured in equivalent units. Country $A$ is now operating at point $M$, and country $B$ is now operating at point $\boldsymbol{N}$. The opportunity cost of producing an additional ton of radishes would be greater in:

## Answer

country A.
$\checkmark$ country B.
neither; the opportunity cost would be the same in both countries.
There is not enough information given to answer the question.
Add Question Here

## Question 94 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

Figure: Bicycles and Radishes I

(Figure: Bicycles and Radishes I) Look at the figure Bicycles and Radishes I. It shows production possibility frontiers for two countries that produce only radishes and bicycles. The axes of both graphs are measured in equivalent units. Country A is now operating at point $\boldsymbol{M}$, and country B is now operating at point $\boldsymbol{N}$. Suppose country A discovered a new technology that greatly increased its ability to produce bicycles. This would:

> Answer lower the opportunity cost of producing radishes in country A. $\checkmark$ increase the opportunity cost of producing radishes in country A. have no effect on the opportunity cost of producing radishes in country A. increase the opportunity cost of producing radishes in country B.

Add Question Here

## Question 95

Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

Figure: Bicycles and Radishes II


Reference: Ref 2-14
(Figure: Bicycles and Radishes II) Look at the figure Bicycles and Radishes II. The country depicted in this figure is operating at point $M$. It could achieve production at point /only if it:
Answer used its resources more efficiently.
devoted more resources to radish production.
devoted more resources to bicycle production.
$\checkmark$ increased the quantities of capital, natural resources, or labor available or improved its technology.

## Question

Figure: Sugar and Freight Trains


Reference: Ref 2-15
(Figure: Sugar and Freight Trains) Look at the figure Sugar and Freight Trains. Suppose the economy is operating at point $\boldsymbol{B}$. The opportunity cost of producing the third freight train would be:

Answer | 6 tons of sugar. |
| :--- |
| 19 tons of sugar. |
| $\checkmark 45$ tons of sugar. |
|  |
| 80 tons of sugar. |

## Question

(Figure: Sugar and Freight Trains) Look at the figure Sugar and Freight Trains. Suppose the economy is operating at point $\boldsymbol{C}$. The opportunity cost of producing the fourth freight train would be:

Answer |  | 19 tons of sugar. |
| ---: | ---: |
|  | 45 tons of sugar. |
| $\checkmark 80$ tons of sugar. |  |
|  | 3 freight trains. |

Add Question Here

## Question

Figure: Strawberries and Submarines II


Reference: Ref 2-16
(Figure: Strawberries and Submarines II) Look at the figure Strawberries and Submarines II. Point $F$.

Answer $\checkmark$ is unattainable, all other things unchanged.
is attainable if the quantity and/or quality of factors decreases.
is attainable if the economy is able to reach full employment.
is feasible but not efficient.
Add Question Here

## 0 points

## Question

Figure: Strawberries and Submarines II


Reference: Ref 2-16
(Figure: Strawberries and Submarines II) Look at the figure Strawberries and Submarines II. Suppose the economy is now operating at point $\boldsymbol{A}$. The first submarine, which is achieved at point $\boldsymbol{B}$, would have an opportunity cost of $\qquad$ million tons of strawberries.
Answer
$\checkmark 50$

## Question

Figure: Strawberries and Submarines II


Reference: Ref 2-16
(Figure: Strawberries and Submarines II) Look at the figure Strawberries and Submarines II. Assume that the economy is now operating at point $\boldsymbol{A}$. The opportunity cost of moving to point $\boldsymbol{C}$ is equal to $\qquad$ million tons of strawberries:

## Answer

800
$\checkmark 200$
2
50

## Question

Figure: Strawberries and Submarines II


Reference: Ref 2-16
(Figure: Strawberries and Submarines II) Look at the figure Strawberries and Submarines II. The downward slope of the production possibility frontier implies that resources:
must be used efficiently.
$\checkmark$ are scarce.
should not be wasted.
should be allocated so that approximately equal amounts of both goods are produced.

## Question 102 Multiple Choice

0 points
Modify
Remove

## Question

Figure: Strawberries and Submarines II


Reference: Ref 2-16
(Figure: Strawberries and Submarines II) Look at the figure Strawberries and Submarines II. Suppose the economy is now operating at point $\boldsymbol{B}$. Achieving production at point $\boldsymbol{F}$ would require that the economy:
Answer
achieve full employment and an efficient allocation of resources.
reduce its production of strawberries.
reduce its production of submarines.
$\checkmark$ improve its technology or increase the amount of resources it has.
Add Question Here

## Question 103 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

Efficient production exists when the economy is:
Answer operating underneath its production possibility frontier.
$\checkmark$ operating on its production possibility frontier.
operating outside its production possibility frontier.
moving beyond its production possibility frontier.
Add Question Here

## Question

Assume an economy is operating on its production possibility frontier, which shows the production of military and civilian goods. If the output of military goods is increased, the output of civilian goods:

Answer
will increase, too.
will not change.
$\checkmark$ must decrease.
may increase or decrease.
Add Question Here

## Question 105 Multiple Choice

0 points

Question
The process observed when an economy's production possibility frontier is shifted outward is:
Answer
comparative advantage.
$\checkmark$ economic growth.
full employment.
specialization.

## Question

Increases in resources or improvements in technology will tend to cause a society's production possibility frontier to:

## Answer

shift inward to the left.
$\checkmark$ shift outward to the right.
remain unchanged.
become vertical.

## Question 107 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

Technological improvements will:
Answer leave the production possibility frontier unchanged.
shift the production possibility frontier inward.
$\checkmark$ shift the production possibility frontier outward.
necessarily lead to increased unemployment.
Add Question Here
Question 108 Multiple Choice
0 points
Modify

Question
Figure: Consumer and Capital Goods


Reference: Ref 2-17
(Figure: Consumer and Capital Goods) Look at the figure Consumer and Capital Goods. If the economy is operating at point $\boldsymbol{Y}$ and its relevant production possibility frontier is curve 1 , this means that:
Answer $\quad \checkmark$ the economy is at full employment and is efficient.
the economy is less than fully employed.
the economy is not efficient.
economic growth is not possible in the future.
Add Question Here

## Question

Figure: Consumer and Capital Goods


Reference: Ref 2-17
(Figure: Consumer and Capital Goods) Look at the figure Consumer and Capital Goods. The movement from curve 1 to curve 2 indicates:
Answer
$\checkmark$ a growing ability of the economy to produce capital and consumer goods.
going from unemployment to full employment.
a decrease in the factors of production.
a shift of the production possibility frontier toward producing fewer goods.

## Question 110 Multiple Choice

0 points
Question
Figure: Consumer and Capital Goods


Reference: Ref 2-17
(Figure: Consumer and Capital Goods) Look at the figure Consumer and Capital Goods. Technological improvements will likely:
Answer shift the production possibility frontier inward to curve 1.
$\checkmark$ shift the production possibility frontier outward to curve 2 .
lead to increased unemployment.
leave the production possibility frontier unchanged.

## Question 111 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

Abe starts exercising regularly, and after a few months he can do twice as much of everything-in a single day Abe can now make 10 hamburgers or 8 milkshakes rather than the 5 hamburgers and 4 milkshakes he made in the past. We now know that Abe's production possibility frontier:
Answer
$\checkmark$ has shifted right, but his opportunity costs of making milkshakes are unchanged.
has shifted right, but his opportunity costs of making milkshakes have decreased.
has not changed, but his opportunity costs of making milkshakes have increased.
has not changed, but his opportunity costs of making milkshakes have decreased.

Add Question Here
Question 112 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

When a nation experiences economic growth:
Answer $\checkmark$ its production possibility frontier shifts outward.
its production possibility frontier shifts inward.
it has been able to reach full employment.
it has moved to a more consumer-oriented position on its production possibility frontier.

Add Question Here

## Question 113 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

As long as people have different $\qquad$ , everyone has a comparative advantage in something.
Answer
direct costs
benefits
utility
$\checkmark$ opportunity costs

Add Question Here

## Question 114 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

Because of trade, a country may:
Answer $\checkmark$ consume outside its production possibility frontier. consume inside its production possibility frontier. find that its production possibility frontier will shift outward. avoid opportunity costs.

## Question 115 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

An economy is said to have a comparative advantage if it:
Answer can produce more of all goods than another economy. can produce less of all goods than another economy. has the highest cost for producing a particular good.
$\checkmark$ has the lowest cost for producing a particular good.

## Question 116 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

The economy that has the lowest cost for producing a particular good is said to have:

## Answer

a technological advantage.
$\checkmark$ a comparative advantage.
a production possibility frontier.
an increasing opportunity cost.
Add Question Here
Question 117 Multiple Choice
0 points

Remove

## Question

An economy is said to have a comparative advantage in the production of a good if it can produce that good:

Answer
with more resources than another economy. with a higher opportunity cost than another economy. outside its production possibilities curve.
$\checkmark$ at a lower opportunity cost than another economy.

## Question 118 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

Question
Table: Fish and Coconut
Production Possibilities

|  | Fish | Coconuts |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tom | 12 | 8 |
| Hank | 5 | 5 |

Reference: Ref 2-18
(Table: Fish and Coconut Production Possibilities) Look at the table Fish and Coconut Production Possibilities. The table shows the maximum amount of fish and coconuts that Tom and Hank can produce if they produce only one good. Tom produces and consumes 9 fish and 2 coconuts, and Hank produces and consumes 3 fish and 2 coconuts. Now they decide to engage in trade. Which of the following statements is incorrect?
Answer $\checkmark$ For both to become better off, each should specialize in the production of some good. However, since Hank is equally productive in both goods, it doesn't matter which good each specializes in.
For both to become better off, each should specialize completely in the production of the good in which he has a comparative advantage.
After trade it is possible for Tom to consume 9 fish and 2.5 coconuts and for Hank to consume 3 fish and 2.5 coconuts.
For each individual, the consumption point after trade will lie outside that individual's production possibility frontier.

## Question

In one hour, the United States can produce 25 tons of steel or 250 automobiles. In one hour, Japan can produce 30 tons of steel or 275 automobiles. This information implies that:

| Answer | Japan has a comparative advantage in the production of automobiles. the United States has an absolute advantage in the production of steel. Japan has a comparative advantage in the production of both goods. the United States has a comparative advantage in the production of automobiles. |
| :---: | :---: |

## Question

Table: Coffee and Salmon
Production Possibilities

|  | Coffee | Salmon |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Brazil | 40 | 20 |
| Alaska | 10 | 10 |

Reference: Ref 2-19
(Table: Coffee and Salmon Production Possibilities) Look at the table Coffee and Salmon Production Possibilities. The table shows the maximum amounts of coffee and salmon that Brazil and Alaska can produce if they just produce one good. The opportunity cost of producing 1 unit of coffee for Brazil is:

## Answer

> 2 salmon.
> $1 / 4$ salmon.
> 1 salmon.
> $\boldsymbol{\perp} / 2$ salmon.

| Question 121 | Multiple C <br> Question <br> Table: <br> Product | hoice <br> offee an ion Poss | Salmon ilities |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Coffee | Salmon |
|  | Brazil | 40 | 20 |
|  | Alaska | 10 | 10 |

## 0 points

Reference: Ref 2-19
(Table: Coffee and Salmon Production Possibilities) Look at the table Coffee and Salmon Production Possibilities. The table shows the maximum amounts of coffee and salmon that Brazil and Alaska can produce if they just produce one good. The opportunity cost of producing 1 unit of salmon for Alaska is:
Answer
2 coffees.
1/4 coffee.
$\checkmark 1$ coffee.
1/2 coffee.

## 0 points

Add Question Here

## Question

Free trade between countries:
Answer should be based on absolute advantage.
will allow wealthy countries to exploit less developed nations.
will shift the domestic production possibility frontier to the right.
$\checkmark$ will allow for greater levels of consumption than without trade.
Add Question Here

## Question

If they spend all night writing computer programs, Laurence can write 10 programs while Carrie Anne can write 5 . If they spend all night making sunglasses, Laurence can make 6 while Carrie Anne can make 4. Given this information and supposing Laurence and Carrie Anne have constant opportunity costs, we know that:
Answer
Laurence has an absolute advantage in programs but not in sunglasses.
$\checkmark$ Laurence has an absolute advantage in both programs and sunglasses.
Carrie Anne has an absolute advantage in programs but not in sunglasses.
Carrie Anne has an absolute advantage in both programs and sunglasses.

Add Question Here

## Question 124 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

If they spend all night writing computer programs, Laurence can write 10 programs while Carrie Anne can write 5 . If they spend all night making sunglasses, Laurence can make 6 while Carrie Anne can make 4. We know that:
Answer $\checkmark$ Laurence's opportunity cost of writing programs is less than that of Carrie Anne.
Laurence's opportunity cost of writing programs and of making sunglasses is less than that of Carrie Anne.
Carrie Anne's opportunity cost of writing programs and of making sunglasses is less than that of Laurence.
Carrie Anne's opportunity cost of writing programs is less than that of Laurence.

## Question

If they spend all night writing computer programs, Laurence can write 10 programs while Carrie Anne can write 5 . If they spend all night making sunglasses, Laurence can make 6 while Carrie Anne can make 4. We know that:
Answer $\quad \checkmark$ Laurence has a comparative advantage in programs.
Laurence has a comparative advantage in both programs and sunglasses.
Carrie Anne has a comparative advantage in programs.
Carrie Anne has a comparative advantage in both programs and sunglasses.

Add Question Here

## 0 points

Remove

## Question

Which of the following statements is true?
Answer Some very talented people have a comparative advantage in everything they do.
Some very untalented people have a comparative advantage in nothing they do.
Some very talented people have a very low opportunity cost in everything they do.
$\checkmark$ It is possible to have an absolute disadvantage in doing something but still have a comparative advantage in the same thing.

## 0 points

## Question

In a single day, Sarah can produce 10 hamburgers while Abe can produce 5 hamburgers. We then know that:
Answer Sarah has a comparative advantage in making hamburgers.
$\checkmark$ Sarah has an absolute advantage in making hamburgers.
Abe has a comparative advantage in making hamburgers.
Abe has an absolute advantage in making hamburgers.

## Question

If they produce only hamburgers, in a single day Sarah can produce 10 hamburgers while Abe can produce 5 hamburgers. If they make milkshakes only, in a single day Sarah can produce 10 milkshakes while Abe can produce 4 milkshakes. We know that:
Answer
Sarah has an absolute advantage and a comparative advantage in making hamburgers.
$\checkmark$ Sarah has an absolute advantage and a comparative advantage in making milkshakes.
Abe has an absolute advantage and a comparative advantage in making hamburgers.
Abe has an absolute advantage and a comparative advantage in making milkshakes.

## Question

Roommates Sarah and Zoe are hosting a Halloween party and have to make food for their guests and costumes for themselves. To finish both tasks as quickly as possible, Sarah and Zoe know that each of them should focus on just one task, but they don't know who should do what. To decide which roommate should do the cooking, Sarah and Zoe should determine which roommate:
Answer
has the absolute advantage in cooking.
$\checkmark$ has the comparative advantage in cooking.
has the largest production possibility frontier in cooking.
can complete the cooking in the least amount of time.
Add Question Here

## Question 130 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

Economists generally believe that a country should specialize in the production of a good or service if:
Answer the production possibility frontier is larger than that of any other country. the production possibility frontier is smaller than that of any other country. the country can produce the product using more resources than any other country.
$\checkmark$ the country can produce the product while forgoing fewer alternative products than any other country.

## Question 131 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

Question
Table: Coffee and Salmon
Production Possibilities II

|  | Coffee | Salmon |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Brazil | 40 | 20 |
| Alaska | 20 | 20 |

Reference: Ref 2-20
(Table: Coffee and Salmon Production Possibilities II) Look at the table Coffee and Salmon Production Possibilities II. This table shows the maximum amounts of coffee and salmon that Brazil and Alaska can produce if they just produce one good. Brazil has an absolute advantage in producing:
Answer

```
\checkmark ~ c o f f e e ~ o n l y .
    salmon only.
    both coffee and salmon.
    neither coffee nor salmon.
```


## Question

Table: Coffee and Salmon
Production Possibilities II

|  | Coffee | Salmon |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Brazil | 40 | 20 |
| Alaska | 20 | 20 |

Reference: Ref 2-20
(Table: Coffee and Salmon Production Possibilities II) Look at the table Coffee and Salmon Production Possibilities II. This table shows the maximum amounts of coffee and salmon that Brazil and Alaska can produce if they just produce one good. Alaska has an absolute advantage in producing:
Answer
coffee only.
salmon only.
both coffee and salmon.
neither coffee nor salmon.

Add Question Here
Question 133 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Table: Coffee and Salmon
Production Possibilities II

|  | Coffee | Salmon |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Brazil | 40 | 20 |
| Alaska | 20 | 20 |

Reference: Ref 2-20
(Table: Coffee and Salmon Production Possibilities II) Look at the table Coffee and Salmon Production Possibilities II. This table shows the maximum amounts of coffee and salmon that Brazil and Alaska can produce if they just produce one good. Brazil has a comparative advantage in producing:
Answer $\quad \checkmark$ coffee only.
salmon only.
both coffee and salmon.
neither coffee nor salmon
Add Question Here

## Question 134 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

Question
An economy is said to have a comparative advantage in the production of one good if it:
Answer can produce more of all goods than another economy.
can produce less of all goods than another economy.
has the highest opportunity cost for producing a particular good.
$\checkmark$ has the lowest opportunity cost for producing a particular good.
Add Question Here
Question 135 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

An economy that has the lowest opportunity cost for producing a particular good is said to have:
Answer
an absolute advantage.
$\checkmark$ a comparative advantage.
a production possibility frontier.
an increasing opportunity cost.

## Question

The concept of comparative advantage is based upon:
Answer absolute labor productivity.
relative labor costs.
dollar prices of labor.
$\checkmark$ relative opportunity costs.

## Question

An economy is said to have a comparative advantage in the production of a good if it can produce that good:
Answer with more resources than another economy. with a higher opportunity cost than another economy. outside its production possibility frontier.
$\checkmark$ at a lower opportunity cost than another economy.
Add Question Here

## Question 138 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

If the opportunity cost of manufacturing machinery is lower in the United States than in Britain and the opportunity cost of manufacturing sweaters is higher in the United States than in Britain, then the United States will:

Answer
export both sweaters and machinery to Britain.
import both sweaters and machinery from Britain.
export sweaters to Britain and import machinery from Britain.
$\checkmark$ import sweaters from Britain and export machinery to Britain.
Add Question Here
Question 139 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

Remove

## Question

If the opportunity cost of manufacturing machinery is higher in the United States than in Britain and the opportunity cost of manufacturing sweaters is lower in the United States than in Britain, then the United States will:
Answer export both sweaters and machinery to Britain. import both sweaters and machinery from Britain.
$\checkmark$ export sweaters to Britain and import machinery from Britain. import sweaters from Britain and export machinery to Britain.

Add Question Here
Question 140 Multiple Choice
0 points

Remove

## Question

Trade can be beneficial to an economy because:
Answer it results in a more efficient use of the combined resources of some of the trading countries, even though it reduces efficiency in others.
$\checkmark$ more goods and services can be obtained at lower opportunity cost.
it prevents specialization in those activities in which countries have a comparative advantage.
it prevents unemployment.
Add Question Here
Question 141 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

If Brazil gives up 3 automobiles for each ton of coffee it produces, while Peru gives up 7 automobiles for each ton of coffee it produces, then:
Answer Brazil has a comparative advantage in automobile production and should specialize in coffee.
Brazil has a comparative advantage in coffee production and should specialize in the production of automobiles.
$\checkmark$ Brazil has a comparative advantage in coffee production and should specialize in coffee production.
Brazil has a comparative advantage in automobile production and should specialize in automobile production.

Add Question Here

## Question 142 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

If countries engage in international trade:
Answer they give up the ability to specialize in production.
worldwide levels of production are lower.
they will be consuming inside their production possibility frontiers.
$\checkmark$ they will be consuming outside their production possibility frontiers.
Add Question Here
Question 143 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Table: Comparative Advantage I
Sweden and Finland produce only two goods, herring and cell phones, and this table shows the maximum amount that each nation can produce of the two goods.

|  | Sweden | Finland |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Herring | 100,000 | 50,000 |
| Cell phones | 10,000 | 10,000 |

Reference: Ref 2-21
(Table: Comparative Advantage I) Look at the table Comparative Advantage I. Sweden has an absolute advantage in producing:
Answer
cell phones only.
herring only.
both cell phones and herring.
neither cell phones nor herring.

Add Question Here
Question 144 Multiple Choice
0 points
Question
Table: Comparative Advantage I
Sweden and Finland produce only two goods, herring and cell phones, and this table shows the maximum amount that each nation can produce of the two goods.

|  | Sweden | Finland |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Herring | 100,000 | 50,000 |
| Cell phones | 10,000 | 10,000 |

has an absolute advantage in producing:

## Answer

cell phones only.
herring only.
both cell phones and herring.
$\checkmark$ neither cell phones nor herring.
Add Question Here

## Question 145 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

Table: Comparative Advantage I
Sweden and Finland produce only two goods, herring and cell phones, and this table shows the maximum amount that each nation can produce of the two goods.

|  | Sweden | Finland |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Herring | 100,000 | 50,000 |
| Cell phones | 10,000 | 10,000 |

Reference: Ref 2-21
(Table: Comparative Advantage I) Look at the table Comparative Advantage I. Sweden has a comparative advantage in producing:
Answer
cell phones only.
$\checkmark$ herring only.
both cell phones and herring.
neither cell phones nor herring.

Add Question Here
Question 146 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

## Table: Comparative Advantage I

Sweden and Finland produce only two goods, herring and cell phones, and this table shows the maximum amount that each nation can produce of the two goods.

|  | Sweden | Finland |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Herring | 100,000 | 50,000 |
| Cell phones | 10,000 | 10,000 |

Reference: Ref 2-21
(Table: Comparative Advantage I) Look at the table Comparative Advantage I. Finland has a comparative advantage in producing:

Answer $\quad \checkmark$ cell phones only. | herring only. |
| :--- |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| both cell phones and herring. |

Add Question Here

## Question

## Table: Comparative Advantage I

Sweden and Finland produce only two goods, herring and cell phones, and this table shows the maximum amount that each nation can produce of the two goods.

|  | Sweden | Finland |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Herring | 100,000 | 50,000 |
| Cell phones | 10,000 | 10,000 |

Reference: Ref 2-21
(Table: Comparative Advantage I) Look at the table Comparative Advantage I. The opportunity cost of producing 1 box of cell phones for Sweden is:
Answer $\quad \checkmark 10$ boxes of herring.

Question 148 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

Table: Comparative Advantage I
Sweden and Finland produce only two goods, herring and cell phones, and this table shows the maximum amount that each nation can produce of the two goods.

|  | Sweden | Finland |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Herring | 100,000 | 50,000 |
| Cell phones | 10,000 | 10,000 |

Reference: Ref 2-21
(Table: Comparative Advantage I) Look at the table Comparative Advantage I. The opportunity cost of producing 1 box of cell phones for Finland is:
Answer
10 boxes of herring.
0.5 boxes of herring.
$\checkmark 5$ boxes of herring.
0.1 boxes of herring.

## Question

Table: Comparative Advantage I
Sweden and Finland produce only two goods, herring and cell phones, and this table shows the maximum amount that each nation can produce of the two goods.

|  | Sweden | Finland |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Herring | 100,000 | 50,000 |
| Cell phones | 10,000 | 10,000 |

(Table: Comparative Advantage I) Look at the table Comparative Advantage I. The opportunity cost of producing 1 box of herring for Sweden is:
Answer
10 boxes of cell phones.
0.5 box of cell phones.
5 boxes of cell phones.
$\checkmark 0.1$ box of cell phones.

Add Question Here

## Question 150

Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

## Table: Comparative Advantage I

Sweden and Finland produce only two goods, herring and cell phones, and this table shows the maximum amount that each nation can produce of the two goods.

|  | Sweden | Finland |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Herring | 100,000 | 50,000 |
| Cell phones | 10,000 | 10,000 |

Reference: Ref 2-21
(Table: Comparative Advantage I) Look at the table Comparative Advantage I. The opportunity cost of producing 1 box of herring for Finland is:
Answer
10 boxes of cell phones.
$\checkmark 0.5$ boxes of cell phones.
5 boxes of cell phones.
0.1 cell phone.

Add Question Here

## Question

## Figure: Comparative Advantage

Eastland and Westland produce only two goods, boxes of peaches and boxes of oranges, and this figure shows each nation's production possibility frontier for the two goods.
Oranges Eastland
(Figure: Comparative Advantage) Look at the figure Comparative Advantage. Eastland has an absolute advantage in producing:
Answer

$$
\checkmark \text { oranges only. }
$$

peaches only.
both oranges and peaches.
neither oranges nor peaches.
Add Question Here

## 0 points

## Question

## Figure: Comparative Advantage

Eastland and Westland produce only two goods, boxes of peaches and boxes of oranges, and this figure shows each nation's production possibility frontier for the two goods.


Reference: Ref 2-22
(Figure: Comparative Advantage) Look at the figure Comparative Advantage. Westland has an absolute advantage in producing:

## Answer

> oranges only.
$\checkmark$ peaches only.
both oranges and peaches.
neither oranges or peaches.
Add Question Here
Question 153
Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

## Figure: Comparative Advantage

Eastland and Westland produce only two goods, boxes of peaches and boxes of oranges, and this figure shows each nation's production possibility frontier for the two goods.


Reference: Ref 2-22
(Figure: Comparative Advantage) Look at the figure Comparative Advantage. The opportunity cost of producing 1 box of oranges for Eastland is:

$$
\text { Answer } \quad \begin{aligned}
& \checkmark 1 \text { box of peaches. } \\
& 1 / 4 \text { box of peaches. } \\
& 4 \text { boxes of peaches. } \\
& \\
& \\
& \\
&
\end{aligned}
$$

## Question

## Figure: Comparative Advantage

Eastland and Westland produce only two goods, boxes of peaches and boxes of oranges, and this figure shows each nation's production possibility frontier for the two goods.


Reference: Ref 2-22
(Figure: Comparative Advantage) Look at the figure Comparative Advantage. The opportunity cost of producing 1 box of oranges for Westland is:
Answer
1 box of peaches.
1/4 box of peaches.
$\checkmark 4$ boxes of peaches.
10 boxes of peaches.

## Question

## Figure: Comparative Advantage

Eastland and Westland produce only two goods, boxes of peaches and boxes of oranges, and this figure shows each nation's production possibility frontier for the two goods.


Reference: Ref 2-22
(Figure: Comparative Advantage) Look at the figure Comparative Advantage. The opportunity cost of producing 1 box of peaches for Eastland is:

## Answer

$\checkmark 1$ box of oranges.
1/4 box of oranges.
4 boxes of oranges.
10 boxes of oranges.

## Question

Figure: Comparative Advantage
Eastland and Westland produce only two goods, boxes of peaches and boxes of oranges, and this figure shows each nation's production possibility frontier for the two goods.


Reference: Ref 2-22
(Figure: Comparative Advantage) Look at the figure Comparative Advantage. The opportunity cost of producing 1 box of peaches for Westland is:

Answer
1 box of oranges.
$\checkmark 1 / 4$ box of oranges.
4 boxes of oranges.
10 boxes of oranges.
Add Question Here

## Question 157 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

## Figure: Comparative Advantage

Eastland and Westland produce only two goods, boxes of peaches and boxes of oranges, and this figure shows each nation's production possibility frontier for the two goods.
Oranges Eastland

Reference: Ref 2-22
(Figure: Comparative Advantage) Look at the figure Comparative Advantage. Eastland has a comparative advantage in producing:
Answer
$\checkmark$ oranges only.
peaches only.
both oranges and peaches.
neither oranges nor peaches.

## 0 points

## Question

## Figure: Comparative Advantage

Eastland and Westland produce only two goods, boxes of peaches and boxes of oranges, and this figure shows each nation's production possibility frontier for the two goods.


Reference: Ref 2-22
(Figure: Comparative Advantage) Look at the figure Comparative Advantage. Westland has a comparative advantage in producing:

```
Answer
    oranges only.
    \checkmark ~ p e a c h e s ~ o n l y .
    both oranges and peaches.
    neither oranges nor peaches.
```


## Question

Which of the following statements is true?
Answer Very talented people may have a comparative advantage in everything they do.
$\checkmark$ Very untalented people have a comparative advantage in something they do. Very talented people may have a very low opportunity cost in most things they do.
Very untalented people may have a very high opportunity cost in most things they do.

Add Question Here

Question 160 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

In a single day, George can bake 10 cakes and Greta can bake 5 cakes. We know that:
Answer
George has a comparative advantage in baking cakes.
$\checkmark$ George has an absolute advantage in baking cakes.
Greta has a comparative advantage in baking cakes.
Greta has an absolute advantage in baking cakes.
Add Question Here

## Question

If they bake only cakes, in a single day George can bake 10 cakes and Greta can bake 5 cakes. If they make only pies, in a single day George can bake 10 pies while Greta can bake 4 pies. We know that:

Answer George has an absolute advantage and a comparative advantage in making cakes.
$\checkmark$ George has an absolute advantage and a comparative advantage in making pies.
Greta has an absolute advantage and a comparative advantage in making cakes.
Greta has an absolute advantage and a comparative advantage in making pies.

Add Question Here

## Question 162 Multiple Choice

0 points

Remove

## Question

Greta starts using a new baking technique and she can now do twice as much of everything-in a single day Greta can now make 10 cakes or 8 pies, rather than the 5 cakes and 4 pies she could previously bake. We now know that Greta's production possibility frontier:
Answer $\checkmark$ has shifted right, but her opportunity costs of making pies are unchanged.
has shifted right, but her opportunity costs of making pies have decreased.
has not changed, but her opportunity costs of making pies have increased.
has not changed, but her opportunity costs of making pies have decreased.

Add Question Here

## Question 163 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

Coworkers Yvonne and Rodney are trying to finish cleaning up the store by washing dishes and sweeping the floors. To finish both tasks as quickly as possible, they know that each of them should focus on just one task, but they don't know who should do what. To decide which coworker should wash dishes, Yvonne and Rodney should determine which one:

Answer
has the absolute advantage in dishwashing.
$\checkmark$ has the comparative advantage in dishwashing.
has the largest production possibility frontier in dishwashing.
can complete the dishwashing in the least amount of time.
Add Question Here

## Question

To achieve the gains from trade, each nation should specialize in the production of a good or service if:
Answer its production possibility frontier is larger than that of any other country. its production possibility frontier is smaller than that of any other country. the country can make the product using fewer resources than any other country.
$\checkmark$ the country can make the product while forgoing fewer alternative products than any other country.

## Question

Dr. Colgate is a dentist who employs an assistant, Ms. Crest. If Dr. Colgate worked all day at the front desk, she could answer 40 phone calls. If she worked all day with patients, she could clean the teeth of 40 patients. If Ms. Crest worked all day at the front desk, she could answer 60 phone calls. If she worked all day with patients, she could clean the teeth of 20 patients. Which of the following is true?
Answer
Dr. Colgate has an absolute advantage in answering phones.
$\checkmark$ Ms. Crest has a comparative advantage in answering phones.
Ms. Crest has an absolute advantage in cleaning patients' teeth.
Dr. Colgate has a comparative advantage in answering phones.

Question
Table: Wheat and Aluminum

|  | Wheat <br> Production | Aluminum <br> Production |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. | 100 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 100 |
|  | Wheat | Aluminum |
|  | Production | Production |
| Germany | 50 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 100 |

(Table: Wheat and Aluminum) Look at the table Wheat and Aluminum. The United States and Germany can produce both wheat and aluminum. The table shows, in tonnage, the maximum annual output combinations of wheat and aluminum that can be produced. Which of the following choices would represent a possible trade based upon specialization and comparative advantage?
Answer Germany would trade 2 tons of wheat to the United States for 1 ton of aluminum.
Germany would trade 2 tons of aluminum to the United States for .5 tons of wheat.
The United States would trade 1 ton of wheat to Germany for 1 ton of aluminum.
$\checkmark$ The United States would trade 1 ton of wheat to Germany for 1.5 tons of aluminum.

## Question

## Table: Wheat and Aluminum

|  | Wheat <br> Production | Aluminum <br> Production |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. | 100 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 100 |
|  | Wheat | Aluminum |
|  | Production | Production |
| Germany | 50 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 100 |

Reference: Ref 2-23
(Table: Wheat and Aluminum) Look at the table Wheat and Aluminum. The United States and Germany can produce both wheat and aluminum. The table shows the maximum annual output combinations of wheat and aluminum that can be produced. Based on the table:
Answer $\checkmark$ the United States has a comparative advantage in wheat and an absolute advantage in wheat.
Germany has an absolute advantage in aluminum and a comparative advantage in wheat.
the United States has a comparative advantage in both aluminum and wheat.
Germany has a comparative advantage in aluminum and an absolute advantage in aluminum.

Add Question Here

## Question 168 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

In one day, Kessy can bake 10 cookies or mix 15 glasses of lemonade. His friend, Ava, can make 10 cookies or 10 glasses of lemonade. His other friend, lan, can make 10 cookies or 20 glasses of lemonade. Who has the lowest opportunity cost in cookie production?
Answer $\begin{array}{ll} & \text { Kessy } \\ & \text { Ava } \\ & \text { lan } \\ & \text { Kessy and Ava have the same opportunity cost in cookie production. }\end{array}$
Add Question Here
Question 169 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

Because Casey can type reports faster and more accurately than Ahmet, Casey has
$\qquad$ in typing reports.
Answer
a comparative advantage
$\checkmark$ an absolute advantage
an opportunity cost
a specialization

## Question

Mark and Julie are going to sell brownies and cookies for their third annual fundraiser bake sale. In one day, Mark can make 40 brownies or 20 cookies, and Julie can make 15 brownies or 15 cookies. Based on this information, $\qquad$ has the comparative advantage in making brownies and $\qquad$ has the comparative advantage in making cookies.

## Answer

$\checkmark$ Mark; Julie<br>Mark; Mark<br>Julie; Mark<br>Julie; Julie

Add Question Here
Question 171 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Mark and Julie are going to sell brownies and cookies for their third annual fundraiser bake sale. In one day, Mark can make 40 brownies or 20 cookies, and Julie can make 15 brownies or 15 cookies. What is Mark's opportunity cost to produce one brownie?
Answer
1 cookie
1 brownie
$\checkmark 1 / 2$ cookie
1/2 brownie
Add Question Here
Question 172 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Mark and Julie are going to sell brownies and cookies for their third annual fundraiser bake sale. In one day, Mark can make 40 brownies or 20 cookies, and Julie can make 15 brownies or 15 cookies. With specialization, how many brownies and cookies will be made in one day for the bake sale?

## Answer

> 15 brownies and 20 cookies
> 40 brownies and 20 cookies
> 40 brownies and 15 cookies
> 55 brownies and 35 cookies

Add Question Here
Question 173 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Mark and Julie are going to sell brownies and cookies for their third annual fundraiser bake sale. In one day, Mark can make 40 brownies or 20 cookies, and Julie can make 15 brownies or 15 cookies. Based on this information, $\qquad$ has the absolute advantage in making brownies and $\qquad$ has the absolute advantage in making cookies.

[^0]
## Question

Table: Bongos and Frisbees

| Bill |  |  | Mickey |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bongos | Frisbees |  | Bongos | Frisbees |
| 1 | 10 |  | 4 | 14 |
| 2 | 9 |  | 5 | 12 |
| 3 | 8 |  | 6 | 10 |

Reference: Ref 2-24
(Table: Bongos and Frisbees) Look at the table Bongos and Frisbees. Bill and Mickey make bongos and Frisbees. Who has the comparative advantage in producing Frisbees?
Answer

Question 175 Multiple Choice

## Question

Table: Bongos and Frisbees

| Bill |  |  | Mickey |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bongos | Frisbees |  | Bongos | Frisbees |
| 1 | 10 |  | 4 | 14 |
| 2 | 9 |  | 5 | 12 |
| 3 | 8 |  | 6 | 10 |

Reference: Ref 2-24
(Table: Bongos and Frisbees) Look at the table Bongos and Frisbees. Bill and Mickey make bongos and Frisbees. Who should specialize in the production of bongos?

## Answer

$\checkmark$ Bill
Mickey
both
neither

Add Question Here
Question 176 Multiple Choice
0 points


## Question

If the opportunity cost of manufacturing automobiles is lower in the United States than in Britain and the opportunity cost of manufacturing airplanes is higher in the United States than in Britain, then the United States will:
Answer export both airplanes and automobiles to Britain.
import both airplanes and automobiles from Britain.
export airplanes to Britain and import automobiles from Britain.
$\checkmark$ import airplanes from Britain and export automobiles to Britain.

## Question

If the opportunity cost of manufacturing automobiles is higher in the United States than in Britain and the opportunity cost of manufacturing airplanes is lower in the United States than in Britain, then the United States will:
Answer export both airplanes and automobiles to Britain. import both airplanes and automobiles from Britain.
$\checkmark$ export airplanes to Britain and import automobiles from Britain. import airplanes from Britain and export automobiles to Britain.

Add Question Here

## Question 178 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

Assume that Colombia gives up three motorcycles for each ton of coffee it produces, while Bolivia gives up seven motorcycles for each ton of coffee it produces.
Answer Colombia has a comparative advantage in motorcycle production and should specialize in coffee.
Colombia has a comparative advantage in coffee production and should specialize in the production of motorcycles.
$\checkmark$ Colombia has a comparative advantage in coffee production and should specialize in coffee production.
Colombia has a comparative advantage in motorcycle production and should specialize in motorcycle production.

Add Question Here

## Question 179 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

Economists are generally in support of:
Answer government restrictions on trade.
$\checkmark$ free international trade.
tariffs to restrict trade.
subsidizing exports.
Add Question Here
Question 180 Multiple Choice
0 points


## Question

Trade takes the form of $\qquad$ when people directly exchange goods that they have for goods they want.

## Answer

exploitation
benevolence
$\checkmark$ barter
the zero-sum game
Add Question Here
Question 181 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

The simplest circular-flow model shows the interaction between households and firms. In this model:

Answer
only barter transactions take place.
households and firms interact in the market for goods and services, but firms are the only participants in the factor markets.
$\checkmark$ firms supply goods and services to households, which in turn supply factors of production to firms.
attention is focused on "real" flows of goods, services, and factors of production, but money flows between households and firms are ignored for simplicity.

Add Question Here

## Question 182 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

A high-school graduate who gets a college degree is adding to the economy's stock of:

## Answer

labor.
capital.
$\checkmark$ human capital.
financial capital.

## Question 183 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

Figure: Production Possibilities and Circular-Flow Diagram


Reference: Ref 2-25
(Figure: Production Possibilities and Circular-Flow Diagram) Look at the figure Production Possibilities and Circular-Flow Diagram. Assume the two figures represent the same economy. Suppose that in the circular-flow diagram there is a significant
decrease in the amount of labor flowing to the firms that produce coconuts. If all other variables remain unchanged, this adjustment in the economy would be best represented in the production possibilities figure by a move from point $\boldsymbol{A}$ toward:

Answer
point $\boldsymbol{A}$ (no movement would occur).
point $\boldsymbol{B}$ (an increase in fish production).
$\checkmark$ point $\boldsymbol{C}$ (a decrease in coconut production).
point $\boldsymbol{D}$ (an outward shift of the entire curve).

## Question

Figure: Production Possibilities and Circular-Flow Diagram


Reference: Ref 2-25
(Figure: Production Possibilities and Circular-Flow Diagram) Look at the figure Production Possibilities and Circular-Flow Diagram. Assume the two figures represent the same economy. Suppose that in the circular-flow diagram there is a significant increase in the amount of capital that flows to the firms that produce fish. Assume that there is a corresponding decrease in the amount of capital that flows to the firms that produce coconuts. This adjustment in the economy would be best represented in the production possibilities figure by a move from point $\boldsymbol{A}$ toward:
Answer point $\boldsymbol{A}$ (no movement would occur).
$\checkmark$ point $\boldsymbol{B}$ (an increase in fish production). point $\boldsymbol{\mathcal { C }}$ (a decrease in coconut production).
point $\boldsymbol{D}$ (an outward shift of the entire curve).

## Question

Figure: Production Possibilities and Circular-Flow Diagram


Reference: Ref 2-25
(Figure: Production Possibilities and Circular-Flow Diagram) Look at the figure Production Possibilities and Circular-Flow Diagram. Assume the two figures represent the same economy. Suppose that in the circular-flow diagram there is a significant increase in the amount of human capital that flows to both types of firms (coconut producers and fish producers). If all other variables remain unchanged, then the adjustment in this economy would be best represented in the production possibilities figure by a movement from point $\boldsymbol{A}$ toward:

| Answer | point $\boldsymbol{A}$ (no movement would occur). |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | point $\boldsymbol{B}$ (an increase in fish production). |
|  | point $\boldsymbol{C}$ (a decrease in coconut production). |
|  | point $\boldsymbol{D}$ (an outward shift of the entire curve). |

## Question

Figure: Production Possibilities and Circular-Flow Diagram


Reference: Ref 2-25
(Figure: Production Possibilities and Circular-Flow Diagram) Look at the figure Production Possibilities and Circular-Flow Diagram. Assume the two figures represent the same economy. Suppose that in the circular-flow diagram a significant increase in productivity occurs inside most of the firms. This results in a significant increase in the output of both coconuts and fish. If all other variables remain unchanged, then the adjustment in this economy would be best represented in the production possibilities figure by a movement from point $\boldsymbol{A}$ toward:

Answer
point $\boldsymbol{A}$ (no movement would occur).
point $\boldsymbol{B}$ (an increase in fish production).
point $\boldsymbol{C}$ (a decrease in coconut production).
$\checkmark$ point $\boldsymbol{D}$ (an outward shift of the entire curve).

Question 187 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

If LeRoyce trades two cookies for one of Amir's brownies, we say that they are engaging in:
Answer
exploitation.
benevolence.
$\checkmark$ barter.
a zero-sum game.

Add Question Here

## Question

Which of the following is false about the circular-flow diagram?
Answer Households are the primary demanders of goods and services. Firms are the primary suppliers of goods and services.
$\checkmark$ Money flows from households to firms as households offer factors of production for sale.
Money flows in the direction opposite to goods and services and factors of production.

Add Question Here

## Question 189 Multiple Choice

0 points
Modify
Remove

## Question

Which of the following is nota factor of production?

| Answer | labor |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | machines and buildings |
|  | land |
|  | money |

## Question 190 <br> Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

The circular-flow diagram illustrates how households $\qquad$ goods and services and
$\qquad$ factors of production.
Answer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { buy; sell } \\
& \text { buy; buy } \\
& \text { own; buy } \\
& \text { own; sell }
\end{aligned}
$$

## Question 191 Multiple Choice

## Question

The circular-flow diagram illustrates how firms $\qquad$ goods and services and
$\qquad$ factors of production.

## Answer

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\text { buy; sell } \\
\text { buy; buy } \\
\text { sell; buy } \\
\text { sell; sell }
\end{array}
$$

## Question

In the simplest circular-flow model, households supply $\qquad$ and demand $\qquad$ .
Answer capital; barter wages and income; capital markets
$\checkmark$ factors of production; goods and services
firms; markets

## Question

Which are the two markets represented in the circular-flow diagram?
Answer $\checkmark$ The market for goods and services and the market for factors. The markets for households and firms.
The market for money and the market for goods and services.
The market for factors and the market for money.
Add Question Here
Question 194 Multiple Choice
0 points


Remove

## Question

The two flows represented in the circular-flow diagram are:
Answer the flow of goods and services and the flow of factors. the flow of households and firms.
$\checkmark$ the flow of money and the flow of goods and services. the flow of factors and the flow of money.

Add Question Here
Question 195 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Which of the following two statements is a positive statement? Which is a normative statement?
X. The federal minimum wage is increasing to $\$ 7.50$ an hour.
Y. The minimum wage should be high enough that families will not live in poverty.

Answer
$\checkmark \mathrm{X}$ is positive; Y is normative.
$X$ is positive; $Y$ is positive.
$X$ is normative; $Y$ is positive.
$X$ is normative; $Y$ is normative

## Question

Which of the following is a normative statement?
Answer Women's labor force participation rate has increased during the past 100 years.
The federal minimum wage is higher today than it was in 1990.
Children in the United States are required to go to school until they reach a certain age.
$\checkmark$ The best way to encourage growth in the economy is through government spending.

## 0 points

## Question

Which of the following is an example of normative economics?
Answer The minimum wage has not kept pace with inflation.
$\checkmark$ The minimum wage is an important tool in fighting poverty and should be increased.

The minimum wage can create higher unemployment for teens and unskilled workers.
A higher minimum wage is expected to increase the price of a fast-food cheeseburger.

Add Question Here
Question 198 Multiple Choice 0 points

\[\)|  Question  |  |
| :--- | :--- |
|  Which of the following is a normative statement?  |  |
|  Answer  |  International trade leads to expanded consumption opportunities.  |
|  |  Higher expenditures on health care will reduce infant mortality rates.  |
| $\checkmark \text { We would all be better off if we could reduce our dependence on oil imports. }$ |  |
|  |  Increased defense spending will lead to higher budget deficits.  |

\]

Add Question Here
Question 199 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Which of the following is a positive economic statement?
Answer Government has grown too large and should be reduced. $\checkmark$ There has been an increase in the rate of inflation.

Government should be subject to the same rules as all other institutions.
Women should be paid as much as men for the same work.
Add Question Here
Question 200 Multiple Choice
0 points


## Question

An example of a positive statement is:
Answer $\checkmark$ the rate of unemployment is $4 \%$.
a high rate of economic growth is good for the country.
everyone in the country should be covered by national health insurance. baseball players should not be paid higher salaries than the president of the United States.

Add Question Here
Question 201 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

An example of a positive statement is:
Answer the rate of unemployment should be 4\%. a high rate of economic growth is good for the country.
$\checkmark$ the federal government spends half of its budget on national defense. everyone in the country should be covered by national health insurance.

Add Question Here

## Question

Unemployment of $5 \%$ is too high. This is an example of:

Answer
$\checkmark$ a normative statement.
a positive statement. the circular-flow model. comparative advantage.

Add Question Here

## Question

Which of the following is a normative economic statement?
Answer $\checkmark$ Government has grown too large and should be reduced.
There has been an increase in the rate of inflation.
Government is subject to the same rules as all other institutions.
The money supply grew by $3 \%$ last year.
Add Question Here
Question 204 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Which of the following is an example of a positive statement?
Answer $\checkmark$ The poverty rate is $14 \%$.
A high rate of economic growth is good for the country.
Everyone in the country needs to save money for retirement.
Basketball players should not be paid higher salaries than teachers.
Add Question Here

Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Which of the following is an example of a positive statement?
Answer The poverty rate should be $4 \%$.
A high rate of economic growth is good for the country.
$\checkmark$ The federal government pays for $46 \%$ of U.S. health care costs.
Everyone in the country should be covered by national health insurance.
Add Question Here

## Question 206

## Question

Statements that make value judgments are:

Answer $\quad$|  | pecuniary. |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | positive. |
|  | nominal. |
|  | $\checkmark$ normative. |

Add Question Here

## Question

Which of the following is an example of a normative statement?

Answer The rate of unemployment is 9\%. $\checkmark$ A high rate of economic growth is good for the country.

The federal government spends half of its budget on national defense.
Millions of Americans lack health insurance.

## Question

Which of the following is an example of a normative statement?
Answer The rate of unemployment is 9\%.
A high rate of economic growth creates more jobs for the country.
The federal government spends half of its budget on national defense.
$\checkmark$ Everyone in America deserves to be covered by national health insurance.
Add Question Here
Question 209 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

The current rate of unemployment of $9 \%$ is too high. This is a $\qquad$ statement.

## Answer

$\checkmark$ normative
ceteris paribus
positive
marginal

## Question 210 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

The current rate of unemployment is $9 \%$. This statement:
Answer $\checkmark$ is positive. is normative.
involves a value judgment.
is a personal reflection and has no value in economics.

## Question 211 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

Unemployment decreased to its lowest level in 10 years last month. This statement is an example of:
Answer
an absolute (dis)advantage.
$\checkmark$ a positive economic statement.
a normative economic statement.
a value judgment.
Add Question Here
Question 212 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

A statement that says that the minimum wage should be increased is a:

Answer
positive statement.
$\checkmark$ normative statement.
"other things equal" assumption.
scientific conclusion based on marginal analysis.
Add Question Here

## Question 213 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

A normative statement deals with:
Answer the facts.
what was, is, or will be.
$\checkmark$ what ought to be.
the scientific method.
Add Question Here

## Question 214 Multiple Choice

0 points

Question
Which of the following offices of the U.S. government is a major employer of economists?
Answer
International Monetary Fund
United Nations
World Bank

Bureau of Labor Statistics
Add Question Here
Question 215 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Economists who are asked to choose between two different government policies may disagree because:
Answer they make the same value judgments about the desirability of the policies. $\checkmark$ they base their conclusions on models that make different assumptions. as a matter of course, economists often take opposing points of view so that all sides of a question may be discussed. economists are trained ignore facts and focus on theory.

## Question 216 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

Add Question Here

## Question

Economists may disagree about policies because:
Answer they may approach the issue using the same sets of values.
$\checkmark$ they may use different economic models.
they enjoy disagreeing with each other.
they only consider issues in positive economics.
Add Question Here

## Question

Economic models that make unrealistic assumptions may be useful in analyzing some economic problems.
$\checkmark$ True
False
Add Question Here
Question 218 True/False

## 0 points

## Question

On any given production possibility frontier, we see the minimum quantity of one good that can be produced for any given production of the other.

## Answer



## Question

Suppose residents of Montana operate on their production possibility frontier and want to see increased wheat production as well as an increase in the production of fly-fishing rods. According to the production possibility frontier, this cannot happen without new resources or technological improvement.
Answer
$\checkmark$ True

False
Add Question Here
Question 219 True/False
0 points
Modify
Remove

```
                                    True
                                    \checkmark ~ F a l s e
```


## 0 points

## Question

A typical, bowed-out production possibility frontier between two goods-guns and butter -shows that the opportunity cost of butter in terms of guns increases as more butter is produced. This implies that the opportunity cost of guns in terms of butter decreases as more guns are produced.
Answer
True
$\checkmark$ False

Add Question Here
Question 221 True/False

## 0 points

## Question

If the United States is more productive than Mexico in all lines of production, then the United States cannot benefit from trade with Mexico.

## Answer

True
$\checkmark$ False
Add Question Here
Question 222 True/False
0 points

## Question

Bangladesh produces much of the clothing we wear because it is more productive in producing clothes than we are in the United States.
Answer
True
$\checkmark$ False
Add Question Here

## Question

Nations can gain from trade with other nations even if they are less productive in all industries than the nations they trade with.
Answer
$\checkmark$ True
False

## 0 points

## Question

Table: Fish and Coconut
Production Possibilities

|  | Fish | Coconuts |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tom | 12 | 8 |
| Hank | 5 | 5 |

Reference: Ref 2-26
(Table: Fish and Coconut Production Possibilities) Look at the table Fish and Coconut Production Possibilities. The table shows the maximum amount of fish or coconuts that Tom and Hank can produce when each produces only one of the goods. The table implies that Hank has an absolute advantage in the production of both goods.

## Answer

True
$\checkmark$ False
Add Question Here
Question 225 True/False
0 points

## Question

Table: Fish and Coconut
Production Possibilities

|  | Fish | Coconuts |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Tom | 12 | 8 |
| Hank | 5 | 5 |

Reference: Ref 2-26
(Table: Fish and Coconut Production Possibilities) Look at the table Fish and Coconut Production Possibilities. The table shows the maximum amount of fish or coconuts that Tom and Hank can produce when each produces only one of the goods. The table implies that Tom has a comparative advantage in the production of both goods.
Answer

> True
> $\nearrow$ False

Add Question Here
Question 226 True/False
0 points

Remove

## Question

Absolute advantage is the basis for gains from trade.

| Answer | True |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | False |

Add Question Here
Question 227 True/False
0 points

## Question

The principle of comparative advantage suggests that if New York and Florida exchange taxi parts for oranges, each state will be made worse off.
Answer
True
$\checkmark$ False

|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Question 228 | True/False |  |
|  | Question |  |
|  | A firm is an organization that produces goods. |  |
|  | Answer | $\checkmark$ True |
|  | False | 0 points |

Question 229 True/False $\quad \mathbf{0}$ points Add Question Here

## Question

Fertilizer, used to grow pumpkins, is an example of a factor of production.
Answer
True
$\checkmark$ False

Question 230 True/False
0 points
Add Question Here

Question
Labor and capital are the only two factors of production.
Answer

## True

$\checkmark$ False

Question 231 True/False
0 points
Add Question Here

## Question

"Teachers in northern New Hampshire should earn more money" is a normative statement.

## Answer <br> $\checkmark$ True

False

## Question

Positive economics is the branch of economics that makes prescriptions about the way the economy should work.
Answer
True
$\checkmark$ False

## Question 233 True/False

0 points

## Question

"Steel tariffs will prevent job losses in the steel industry" is a positive statement.

## Answer

$\checkmark$ True
False

| Question 234 | True/False |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Question <br>  <br>  <br>  <br>  <br> "The unemployment rate should be higher" <br> $\quad \checkmark$ True |
|  | False |

## Question

The unemployment rate should be higher" is a normative statement.

## Answer

## 0 points

False
Add Question Here

## Question 235 True/False

0 points

## Question

"Many economists agree that income taxes should be increased for rich people" is a positive statement.
Answer
True
$\checkmark$ False

Add Question Here
Question 236 True/False
0 points

## Question

Economists disagree more over normative economics than positive economics.
Answer

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \checkmark \text { True } \\
& \text { False }
\end{aligned}
$$

Add Question Here
Question 237 Essay
0 points

## Question

Consider a point within a production possibility frontier for a simple economy that produces only two goods, X and Y . Why is this point described as feasible but not efficient?
Answer Any point that lies within the frontier is feasible. This simply means that the economy has the resources and technology to produce this combination of goods. However, it is not efficient because more of one good could be produced without sacrificing any of the other good. In fact, more of both goods could be produced by moving to a point on the frontier.

Add Question Here

## 0 points

## Question

Explain why economists believe that in reality production possibility frontiers have a bowed-out curvature rather than a straight line.

Answer As an economy produces more and more of one good, the opportunity cost begins to rise. One reason for this principle is that resources (land, labor, capital) are not perfectly substitutable for producing all goods. Because some resources are better suited to producing good $X$ (and ill-suited to producing good Y ), they will be the first employed in the production of the first unit of good $X$. This creates a large increase in production of good $X$ at a cost of very little lost production of good Y . However, as the production of good X increases, it is necessary to use resources that were very well-suited to producing good $Y$ and not very productive in producing good $X$. This creates a very small increase in production of good X but at a very large cost in the loss of production of good Y .

Add Question Here
Question 239 Essay
0 points

## Question

Leaders of a small town are tired of looking at a vacant and dilapidated warehouse that sits on a prime piece of real estate. The town finds an investor who purchases the warehouse and promises to renovate the old building and build condominiums. Is this economic growth?
Answer A politician would probably tell you that it is economic growth, but an economist might disagree. The land and building are currently unproductive. You might imagine that this indicates the town is operating inside the production possibility frontier. When the land is purchased and made productive again, the town moves out toward the frontier, but the frontier itself does not move outward. Simply put, this is not economic growth, but it is a more efficient use of idle resources.

Add Question Here
Question 240 Essay
0 points
Remove

## Question

Explain how technological progress is a source for economic growth.
Answer Suppose a nation's factors of production (land, labor, capital, and human capital) are fixed, but our collective technology improves. This means that we can produce more goods and services with a fixed quantity of our economic resources. If we can produce more with the same amount of resources, our production possibility frontier must increase, or shift outward.

Add Question Here

Question
Table: Crab and Cake Production in Chesapeake

| Crab Production | Cake Production |
| :---: | :---: |
| 500 | 0 |
| 400 | 250 |
| 300 | 450 |
| 200 | 600 |
| 100 | 700 |
| 0 | 750 |

Reference: Ref 2-27
(Table: Crab and Cake Production in Chesapeake) Look at the table Crab and Cake Production in Chesapeake. What is the opportunity cost of increasing the production of crabs from zero to 100 ? What is the opportunity cost of increasing the production of crabs from 400 to 500 ? Explain the difference in your answers.

Answer When the nation increased production from zero to 100 crabs, the cost was only 50 cakes. But when Chesapeake increased crab production from 400 to 500, the cost was a much larger 250 cakes. In other words, the opportunity cost of crab production rose as more crabs were produced. The reason is that resources (labor, land, capital, and human capital) are not perfectly substituted between crab production and cake production. A unit of capital like a boat is very good at producing crabs but terrible at producing cakes. A square mile of ocean is very good at producing crabs but useless at producing cakes. Because resources can't easily be switched between productive uses, opportunity cost rises.

## Question

Table: Crab and Cake Production in Chesapeake

| Crab Production | Cake Production |
| :---: | :---: |
| 500 | 0 |
| 400 | 250 |
| 300 | 450 |
| 200 | 600 |
| 100 | 700 |
| 0 | 750 |

Reference: Ref 2-27
(Table: Crab and Cake Production in Chesapeake) Look at the table Crab and Cake Production in Chesapeake. The table shows the maximum annual output combinations of crabs and cakes. Given the scarce resources and limited technology, as Chesapeake uses more resources to the production of cakes, fewer resources are available to produce crabs. Can this nation produce 200 crabs and 500 cakes? Is this efficient? Explain.
Answer Yes, Chesapeake can produce 200 crabs and 500 cakes. We know this because it can produce 200 crabs and 600 cakes. This is not efficient. If it produces only 500 cakes, there must be idle resources in the economy, and the nation is operating inside the production possibility frontier. Without losing any crab production, the nation could produce 100 more cakes and move out to the production possibility frontier.

## Question

Table: Wheat and Aluminum

|  | Wheat <br> Production | Aluminum <br> Production |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| U.S. | 100 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 100 |
|  | Wheat | Aluminum |
|  | Production | Production |
| Germany | 50 | 0 |
|  | 0 | 100 |

(Table: Wheat and Aluminum) Look at the table Wheat and Aluminum. that shows the maximum possible production (in tons) of wheat and aluminum for both the United States and Germany. Are gains from trade possible between these nations? Explain.
Answer Yes. The United States has a comparative advantage in the production of wheat because the opportunity cost of producing wheat is only 1 ton of aluminum, but in Germany the opportunity cost of 1 ton of wheat is 2 tons of aluminum. The United States should specialize in wheat production. Germany has a comparative advantage in the production of aluminum because the opportunity cost of producing 1 ton of aluminum is only $1 / 2$ ton of wheat, while in the United States the opportunity cost of 1 ton of aluminum is 1 ton of wheat. Germany should therefore specialize in aluminum production. The United States would trade wheat to Germany in exchange for aluminum.

## Question

Consider a nation with a large economy like the United States and a nation with a small economy like the Dominican Republic. How can the United States, with absolute advantage in production of almost all goods, benefit from trade with the Dominican Republic?
Answer The answer lies not in absolute advantage but in comparative advantage. Any time two nations have different opportunity costs, one nation can produce a good more cheaply than the other. Each nation has a comparative advantage in something and a comparative disadvantage in something.

## 0 points

## Question

You are reading an editorial in your local newspaper. The editorial says: "The United States had a trade deficit of $\$ 18.4$ billion in February 2008. This is a clear indication to our leaders that we must renegotiate our trade agreements with China to make them fairer for the American worker." What parts of this editorial are positive and what parts are normative economics?
Answer The statement of historical fact "trade deficit of $\$ 18.4$ billion" is positive economics. It does not imply any value judgment. The second statement, "our leaders must renegotiate . . ." is normative economics. The editorial board is prescribing the way the economy, in this case trade with China, should work. There is a very clear value judgment that the trade deficit is unfair to American workers and we should therefore work to remedy the deficit.

## Question 246 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

Economists use models to explain real-life situations because:
Answer such models tend to be exactly what is occurring in each situation.
assumptions found in such models tend to make the problem more difficult.
$\checkmark$ simplifications and assumptions often yield answers that can help to explain the more difficult real-life situations.
real-life situations are not relevant to the building of models.
Add Question Here
Question 247 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Economic models often:
Answer $\checkmark$ vary greatly in assumptions and simplifications. are correct. provide similar answers. fail to explain any of the real-life scenarios they are supposed to help solve.

Add Question Here

## Question 248 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

## Question

"All other relevant factors remain unchanged" is another way of saying:
Answer $\checkmark$ all other things equal.
allow several variables to change in order to understand how those variables affect one variable held constant.
allow all variables to change and attempt to understand how the variables interact with each other.
no variables change.
Add Question Here

## Question 249 Multiple Choice

0 points
Modify
Remove

## Question

Alexander has a straight-line, or linear, production possibility frontier when he produces soybeans and corn. If he uses all of his resources to grow soybeans, he can produce 200 bushels of soybeans; if he uses all of his resources for corn production, he can produce 400 bushels of corn. Which of the following combinations of corn and soybeans are not possible for him to produce?
Answer 200 bushels of soybeans and zero bushels of corn
$\checkmark 600$ bushels of corn and 200 bushels of soybeans
400 bushels of corn and zero bushels of soybeans
100 bushels of soybeans and 200 bushels of corn
Add Question Here
Question 250 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

Frances has a linear production possibility frontier when she produces tomatoes and green beans. If she uses all of her resources, she can produce 400 bushels of tomatoes or 800 bushels of green beans. Which of the following combinations is notefficient for Frances?

Answer
400 bushels of tomatoes and zero bushels of green beans
200 bushels of tomatoes and 400 bushels of green beans
$\checkmark 200$ bushels of tomatoes and 200 bushels of green beans 800 bushels of green beans and zero bushels of tomatoes

## 0 points

## Question

Alison has a linear production possibility frontier in the production of bracelets and necklaces. In one hour, she can produce 5 bracelets or 10 necklaces. What is the opportunity cost for her to make one necklace?

## Answer

> 5 bracelets
> 10 necklaces
> $1 / 2$ a bracelet
> 2 necklaces

Question 252 Multiple Choice
0 points

## Question

## Scenario: Linear Production Possibility Frontier

Largetown has a linear production possibility frontier, and it produces socks and shirts with 80 hours of labor. The table shows the number of hours of labor necessary to produce one sock or one shirt.

| Number of hours <br> of labor to produce <br> one shirt | Number of hours <br> of labor to produce <br> one sock |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 2 |

Reference: Ref 2-29
(Scenario: Linear Production Possibility Frontier) Look at the scenario Linear Production Possibility Frontier. What is the maximum number of socks Largetown can produce?

## Answer

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sqrt{40} \text { socks } \\
20 \text { socks } \\
2 \text { socks } \\
4 \text { socks }
\end{gathered}
$$

## Question

## Scenario: Linear Production Possibility Frontier

Largetown has a linear production possibility frontier, and it produces socks and shirts with 80 hours of labor. The table shows the number of hours of labor necessary to produce one sock or one shirt.

| Number of hours <br> of labor to produce <br> one shirt | Number of hours <br> of labor to produce <br> one sock |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 2 |

Reference: Ref 2-29
(Scenario: Linear Production Possibility Frontier) Look at the scenario Linear Production Possibility Frontier. If Largetown decides to devote half of its labor time to the production of socks and half of the time to the production of shirts, what is the maximum number of socks and shirts it can produce?
Answer
$\checkmark 10$ shirts and 20 socks 20 shirts and 10 socks 30 socks and 30 shirts 30 socks and zero shirts

## Question 254 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

## Scenario: Linear Production Possibility Frontier

Largetown has a linear production possibility frontier, and it produces socks and shirts with 80 hours of labor. The table shows the number of hours of labor necessary to produce one sock or one shirt.

| Number of hours <br> of labor to produce <br> one shirt | Number of hours <br> of labor to produce <br> one sock |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 2 |

Reference: Ref 2-29
(Scenario: Linear Production Possibility Frontier) Look at the scenario Linear Production Possibility Frontier. What happens to the opportunity cost of producing shirts in Largetown if its labor resource decreases by 40 hours?
Answer The opportunity cost of producing shirts increases.
The opportunity cost of producing shirts decreases.
$\checkmark$ The opportunity cost of producing shirts does not change.
The opportunity cost may or may not change depending upon the number of units of socks it wishes to produce.

Add Question Here
Question 255 Multiple Choice

## 0 points

Remove

## Question

## Scenario: Linear Production Possibility Frontier

Largetown has a linear production possibility frontier, and it produces socks and shirts with 80 hours of labor. The table shows the number of hours of labor necessary to produce one sock or one shirt.

| Number of hours <br> of labor to produce <br> one shirt | Number of hours <br> of labor to produce <br> one sock |
| :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 2 |

Reference: Ref 2-29
(Scenario: Linear Production Possibility Frontier) Look at the scenario Linear Production Possibility Frontier. Which of the following combinations of shirts and socks is nota feasible option for Largetown to produce?
Answer
20 shirts and zero socks
$\checkmark 40$ shirts and 40 socks
40 socks and zero shirts
10 shirts and 20 socks

## Question

Smallville has a linear production possibility frontier in the production of good $X$ and good Y. It can produce 6 of $X$ per hour or 8 of $Y$ per hour. Suppose it has 240 hours of labor and divides labor hours equally between good $X$ production and good $Y$ production. What is the maximum amount of good $Y$ it can produce?

## Answer

$\checkmark 960$ Y
30 of $Y$
14 of $Y$
6 of $Y$

Question
Table: Production of Good $Z$ and
Good X in Urbanville

| Combination | Good Z | Good X |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0 | 75 |
| B | 5 | 70 |
| C | 10 | 60 |
| D | 15 | 45 |
| E | 20 | 25 |
| F | 25 | 0 |

(Table: Production of Good $Z$ and Good X in Urbanville) Look at the table Production of Good $Z$ and Good $X$ in Urbanville. If this represents the production possibility frontier and Urbanville is producing 5 of $Z$ and 50 of $X$, then this combination is:
Answer
$\checkmark$ feasible but inefficient.
feasible and efficient.
not feasible but efficient.
neither feasible nor efficient.

Question
Table: Production of Good Z and
Good X in Urbanville

| Combination | Good Z | Good X |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0 | 75 |
| B | 5 | 70 |
| C | 10 | 60 |
| D | 15 | 45 |
| E | 20 | 25 |
| F | 25 | 0 |

frontier and Urbanville is currently producing 15 of $Z$ and 45 of $X$. This combination is:
Answer both allocatively and productively efficient.
$\checkmark$ productively efficient.
allocatively efficient.
neither productively nor allocatively efficient.
Add Question Here

## Question 259 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

Table: Production of Good Z and
Good X in Urbanville

| Combination | Good Z | Good X |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0 | 75 |
| B | 5 | 70 |
| C | 10 | 60 |
| D | 15 | 45 |
| E | 20 | 25 |
| F | 25 | 0 |

Reference: Ref 2-30
(Table: Production of Good $Z$ and Good $X$ in Urbanville) Look at the table Production of Good $Z$ and Good $X$ in Urbanville. If this represents the production possibility frontier and Urbanville is producing at combination C and moves to combination D , what is its opportunity cost of this move?
Answer $\quad \checkmark 15$ of $X$

## 0 points

## Question

Table: Production of Good Z and
Good X in Urbanville

| Combination | Good Z | Good X |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| A | 0 | 75 |
| B | 5 | 70 |
| C | 10 | 60 |
| D | 15 | 45 |
| E | 20 | 25 |
| F | 25 | 0 |

Reference: Ref 2-30
(Table: Production of Good $Z$ and Good $X$ in Urbanville) Look at the table Production of Good $Z$ and Good $X$ in Urbanville. If this represents the production possibility frontier and Urbanville is currently producing at combination $F$, what is the opportunity cost of a move to combination $E$ ?

Answer $\quad$|  |
| :---: |
|  |
|  |
|  |
|  |
| 20 of $Z$ |
|  |
| 25 of $X$ |
|  |
|  |
| 0 of $X$ |

Add Question Here

## Question

If an economy produces the desired mix of goods from its available resources, then this mix of goods is:

## Answer

$\checkmark$ allocatively efficient.
both productively and allocatively efficient. productively efficient.
neither productively nor allocatively efficient.

## Question

## Scenario: Countries A and B

Two countries, $A$ and $B$, produce two goods, wheat (W) and steel (S). Each has a linear production possibility frontier in both goods. If country A spends all of its available resources to produce wheat, it can produce 500 tons of wheat and zero tons of steel. If it uses all of its resources to produce steel, it can produce 250 tons of steel and zero tons of wheat. If country B spends all of its available resources producing wheat, it can produce 400 tons of wheat, and if it spends all of its resources on the production of steel, it can produce 400 tons of steel.

Reference: Ref 2-31
(Scenario: Countries $A$ and $B$ ) Look at the scenario Countries $A$ and B. Given this information, country $\qquad$ has a comparative advantage in the production of wheat and country $\qquad$ has a comparative advantage in the production of steel.

## Answer

$A ; A$
$A ; B$
$B ; B$
$B ; A$

Add Question Here

## Question 263 Multiple Choice

0 points

## Question

## Scenario: Countries A and B

Two countries, $A$ and $B$, produce two goods, wheat (W) and steel (S). Each has a linear production possibility frontier in both goods. If country A spends all of its available resources to produce wheat, it can produce 500 tons of wheat and zero tons of steel. If it uses all of its resources to produce steel, it can produce 250 tons of steel and zero tons of wheat. If country $B$ spends all of its available resources producing wheat, it can produce 400 tons of wheat, and if it spends all of its resources on the production of steel, it can produce 400 tons of steel.
devotes half of its resources to the production of wheat and half to the production of steel, then their total production of wheat would be $\qquad$ and their total production of steel would be $\qquad$ .

Answer $\quad \checkmark 450 ; 325$
900; 650
500; 250
400; 400
Add Question Here
Question 264 Multiple Choice
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## Question

## Scenario: Countries A and B

Two countries, A and B, produce two goods, wheat (W) and steel (S). Each has a linear production possibility frontier in both goods. If country A spends all of its available resources to produce wheat, it can produce 500 tons of wheat and zero tons of steel. If it uses all of its resources to produce steel, it can produce 250 tons of steel and zero tons of wheat. If country B spends all of its available resources producing wheat, it can produce 400 tons of wheat, and if it spends all of its resources on the production of steel, it can produce 400 tons of steel.

Reference: Ref 2-31
(Scenario: Countries $A$ and $B$ ) Look at the scenario Countries $A$ and $B$. If country $B$ produces 300 tons of steel, how many tons of wheat can it produce?
Answer ..... $\checkmark 100$200300

## Question

## Scenario: Countries A and B

Two countries, $A$ and $B$, produce two goods, wheat (W) and steel (S). Each has a linear production possibility frontier in both goods. If country A spends all of its available resources to produce wheat, it can produce 500 tons of wheat and zero tons of steel. If it uses all of its resources to produce steel, it can produce 250 tons of steel and zero tons of wheat. If country B spends all of its available resources producing wheat, it can produce 400 tons of wheat, and if it spends all of its resources on the production of steel, it can produce 400 tons of steel.

Reference: Ref 2-31
(Scenario: Countries A and B) Look at the scenario Countries A and B. If countries $A$ and $B$ both specialize and trade:
Answer
only country A will gain.
only country $B$ will gain.
$\checkmark$ country A and country B will gain if they specialize in their comparatively advantaged good.
neither country will gain.

## Question

## Scenario: Countries A and B

Two countries, $A$ and $B$, produce two goods, wheat (W) and steel (S). Each has a linear production possibility frontier in both goods. If country A spends all of its available resources to produce wheat, it can produce 500 tons of wheat and zero tons of steel. If it uses all of its resources to produce steel, it can produce 250 tons of steel and zero tons of wheat. If country B spends all of its available resources producing wheat, it can produce 400 tons of wheat, and if it spends all of its resources on the production of steel, it can produce 400 tons of steel.

Reference: Ref 2-31
(Scenario: Countries $A$ and $B$ ) Look at the scenario Countries $A$ and $B$. Given this information, the country that has the absolute advantage in wheat is $\qquad$ and the country that has the absolute advantage in steel is $\qquad$ .

## Answer

$$
\begin{array}{r}
A ; A \\
A ; B \\
B ; B \\
B ; A
\end{array}
$$

## Question

Positive economics:
Answer describes opinions and perspectives on how the world should work. is based on opinion polls.
$\checkmark$ describes how the world does work. is the same as normative economics.

## Question

Of the following statements, which reflect(s) a normative view?
I. The United States should increase the minimum wage to $\$ 10$ per hour.
II. There is a federal minimum wage in the United States.
III. The federal minimum wage in the United States is less than $\$ 10$ per hour.

Answer
All are normative.
None are normative
Statements I and II reflect a normative view.
$\checkmark$ Statement I reflects a normative view.

Add Question Here
OK


[^0]:    Answer

    > Mark; Julie
    > Mark; Mark
    > Julie; Mark
    > Mark; neither Mark nor Julie

