# **Instructor Manual**

# Chapter 2: How Do We Find Out? The Logic, Art, and Ethics of Scientific Discovery

## **Chapter Summary**

Chapter 2 focused on the logic, art, and ethics of discovery. We began by focusing on the logic of discovery. We noted that the purpose of science is to uncover fundamental laws of human behavior. Skeptics may believe that psychology cannot uncover laws because it is usually impossible to predict how any given person will act at any given time. We argued, however, that laws of human behavior do exist, even if we lack the ability to identify all of the causal factors that are influencing a specific person in a specific situation. Nevertheless, psychologists typically are focused less on uncovering universal laws than on developing theories. Theories are general statements about how the world works, but they only make predictions under well-specified conditions. Good theories can be used to help generate a wide range of testable hypotheses that apply across a wide range of different situations. Hypotheses are specific predictions that are derived from theories. Hume's problem of induction and Popper's response tell us that more can be gained when researchers try to generate hypotheses that will falsify theories, instead of hypotheses that might validate theories. An alternative to both falsifying and validating is to seek theoretical qualifications. This approach to hypothesis testing can help identify the conditions under which a theory makes accurate predictions and the conditions under which it does not. After reviewing the logic of discovery, we turned our attention to the art of discovery. We discussed a series of inductive and deductive techniques that can help researchers generate interesting and testable ideas that might change the way we think. We concluded the chapter by focusing on the ethics of discovery. Although no book can address all of the ethical issues a researcher will face in pursuing knowledge, we briefly reviewed the history of ethics in psychology and explained how internal review boards apply a cost-benefits analysis to ensure that research studies meet both professional and community ethical standards.

# Sample Answers for the Study Questions from the Textbook

1. The goal of science is to uncover laws (universal statements of the nature of things). In contrast, psychology is a specific field of study that seeks to understand and predict how people think, feel, and behave. Unfortunately, it is difficult, if not impossible, to uncover laws that dictate how any one specific person will think, feel, or behave within any given situation. Given this fact, how can psychology be considered a scientific discipline?

Although it is nearly impossible to uncover laws that will predict a person's exact behavior in a situation, psychology can still be considered a scientific discipline. Psychologists can't make exact predictions of what a person's behavior can be, but they can predict what his or her behavior is likely to be given the situation. The research conducted by psychologists has uncovered general rules of human behavior. As with any science, the goal of psychology is to uncover laws. The fact that laws regarding human behavior have not yet been uncovered does not indicate that it will never happen.

SAGE Publishing, 2019

2. What is the method of induction? What limitation to this method did David Hume identify? How was this limitation addressed by Karl Popper's analysis of the method of deduction?

The method of induction involves making many observations and arriving at a general statement about the state of things. Basically, it is reasoning from specific instances to general principles. David Hume identified a limitation of induction, known as the problem of induction. According to Hume, one can never make enough observations to come to a conclusion. It is always possible that the next observation will prove your statement wrong, no matter how many observations you have already made. Karl Popper's analysis of the method of deduction addresses the problem of induction by stating that empirical tests can never prove that a theory is correct. Deduction is reasoning from the general to the specific, and in the process, theories can be proven to be false, but can never be proven to be completely true.

3. In what way is validation (and related processes such as the positive test bias) a threat to science? How is this threat addressed when researchers seek either to falsify or qualify their own scientific theories?

Validation is a threat to science because scientists sometimes conduct experiments that will likely support their hypotheses. This bias may be conscious or unconscious, but it still exists in most cases. When researchers attempt to falsify or qualify their own psychological theories, they attempt to mitigate this bias in several ways. First, psychologists must carefully detail the methods that they use in experimentation. This allows other psychologists to repeat the experiment, leaving it open to falsification. With qualification, researchers attempt to identify the boundary conditions under which a theory is and is not true. This means that two seemingly opposite theories can explain the same thing because neither is true all of the time.

4. The ethics of a study are evaluated, in part, by applying a risk—benefit analysis. At colleges and universities in the United States, who determines what risks and what benefits apply for any given study? What formal procedures are added to most psychology studies to minimize the risks and maximize the benefits?

Internal review boards (IRBs) are responsible for doing a risk—benefit analysis for studies conducted at colleges and universities in the United States. IRBs are made up of a combination of university instructors/researchers, one or more staff members with expertise in the area of research, and one or more laypeople from the local community. Most psychology studies employ formal procedures to minimize risks and maximize benefits. The first procedure is informed consent. The researcher must inform the participants of any potential risks there may be in participating so that they can make an informed decision on whether or not to continue. The second procedure is freedom from coercion. Participants cannot be under any pressure to participate in a study. The third procedure is confidentiality. This requires the experimenter to identify all participants by number only and not by name. The final method discussed is debriefing. Debriefing consists of the experimenter sharing information about the research study with the participant as soon as possible. If there was any deception involved, the researcher must inform the participant of this.

5. Turn back to question 4 at the end of Chapter 1. Answer this question again, but this time consider the role of deductive theory testing and how it helps researchers make more definitive tests of theories.

Although psychologists study many unobservable phenomena, psychology is a science. The method of deduction in particular helps researchers make more definitive tests of theories. One deductive method, falsification, attempts to disprove theories so that only ones that survive careful scrutiny survive. Psychologists must allow others to attempt to disprove their theories, and science only becomes stronger when inadequate theories are discarded. The method of qualification also helps to

SAGE Publishing, 2019

test theories. Since more than one psychological theory can exist under different circumstances, psychologists do not have to develop theories that explain everything regarding a certain behavior. Theories are only made stronger when they survive attempts at falsification or when a different theory is discovered that complements another already existing theory. Psychologists take advantage of deductive techniques in many instances, and this strengthens psychology as a science in general.

#### **Multiple Choice Questions Test Bank**

Student self-test questions appear first, followed by questions available only to instructors. To minimize any confusion, **student** self-test questions have an "s" appended to the question number. **Instructor** questions have an "i" appended to the question number.

### Student Self-testing Questions (questions available to students online.)

A) theory B) law C) hypothesis D) proof	is a universal statement that allows reliable predictions of future events.
Ans: B	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
<ul><li>A) boundary co</li><li>B) poor testabi</li><li>C) parsimony</li><li>D) logical positi</li></ul>	lity
3s. The notion A) a weak theo B) parsimony C) induction D) equifinality	that there are many different causes for aggression is an example of ry
Ans: D	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
4s are A) Hypotheses; B) Proofs; hypo C) Hypotheses; D) Theories; hy Ans: A	thesis proofs potheses
5s usu	ally have more empirical support than, which usually have more empirical support than
A) Laws, theori	es. hypotheses
B) Theories, lav	
C) Hynotheses	

		SAGE Labiliting, 2013
D) Laws, hypothe Ans: A	ses, theories REF: The Logic of Scientific Discover	_
A) generate pred B) emphasize the C) provide comp	es to serve as good tests of specific t ictions that disagree with common s causal relations between two or mo rehensive statements about reality ar and logical fashion from the theor REF: The Logic of Scientific Discover	heories, they must ense ore variables ies in question
7.113. 2	The Edgic of Scientific Discover	,
	ded that exposure to air pollution do	esting that cigarette smoking causes lung cancer. He esting the cause lung cancer. Felix has failed to appreciate
A) spurious varia	bles	
B) equifinality		
C) induction D) covariation		
Ans: B	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discover	у
are dropped, the reasoning is referance.  A) reasoning		ney have repeatedly observed that when specific objects always fall to the earth. According to the text, this form of
B) deduction C) induction		
D) validation		
Ans: C	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discover	у
		nental attribution error was a basic feature of human in India do not make this error. This is an example of
A) deduction		
B) equifinality		
C) a canon of scie	ence	
D) the problem of		
Ans: D	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discover	У
10s. Wason (197 A) behavioral cor B) the positive te C) the perseveral D) logical positivi	st bias nce effect	nstrate
Ans: B	REF: The Logic of Scientific	Discovery
behaves aggressi and it occasional A) behavioral cor	vely toward redheads. Not surprisingly leads to aggressive confrontations of the street of the stree	and aggressive. Because of this belief, Curtis frequently gly, Curtis's behavior often makes redheads angry at him, . Curtis appears to be engaging in
B) behavioral val		
<ul><li>C) behavioral ver</li><li>D) behavioral cor</li></ul>		
Ans: A	REF: The Logic of Scientific	Discovery

representative sa	that a well-studied drug used to reduce blood pressure in men had this effect in a ample of menbut had no such effect in a representative sample of women. Karl's research is of the approach to hypothesis testing known as
Ans: B	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
13s. The concept	of boundary conditions is a very close cousin of the approach to hypothesis testing known as
A) validation B) qualification C) falsification D) demonstration Ans: B	n REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
•	nental paradigm is grounded on the assumption that the best way to understand the true or is to engage in  on  REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
A) from the gene B) from the speci C) by means of a	fers to reasoning  Frail to the specific  Frail to the general  Frail to the specific to the second of the
A) inductive and B) productive and C) traditional and	ules for generating research hypotheses can be divided into  deductive techniques d counterproductive techniques d progressive techniques heoretical techniques REF: The Art of Scientific Discovery
	incident
A) capitalizing on B) applying a fun	e following is NOT a deductive technique for generating research hypotheses? I serendipity Ctional or adaptive analysis Unt for conflicting results

SAGE Publishing, 2019

	57 (02 1 05 15 1111 15) 20 25
D) trying to accou Ans: A	Int for exceptions to well-established principles REF: The Art of Scientific Discovery
taking advantage A) a paradoxical i B) serendipity C) reasoning by a	ncident
to make some rat movie clips was t certain to	conducted a lab experiment in which he told participants that one of their primary jobs was tings of scenes from popular movies. However, his actual reason for showing participants the o manipulate the participants' mood. Once this experiment is done, Dr. Swinkels should make ason(s) for the deception in the study
	he expected to find in her experiment
	s who saw sadness-inducing move clips back into a good mood
, ,	e should do all three things)
Ans: D	REF: The Ethics of Scientific Discovery
Questions Rese	rved for Instructors (This question set is NOT available to students online.)
1i. A general state A) hypothesis B) law C) theory	ement about the relation between two or more variables is called a
D) construct	
Ans: C	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
2i. Which of the f A) a law B) a theory C) a hypothesis	following generally has the least amount of empirical support?
	y without reading the literature on a specific topic
Ans: C	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
3i. One way to dis A) adherents B) boundary cond C) simplifying ass D) derivations	
Ans: B	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
4i. Which of the f A) a canon B) a theory C) a hypothesis D) a law	following things is LEAST precise and coherent?
Ans: C	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
	ypically used to generate specific research

	SAGE Publishing, 201
A) designs	
B) laws	
C) hypotheses	
D) conclusions	
Ans: C	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
by many differen A) determinism B) equifinality	he text, the principle of refers to the idea that the same behavior is often produced t causes.
C) pluralism	
D) philosophical of Ans: B	convergence REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
7i Dr Rose show	ed that aggression is predicted well by genetic factors. In contrast, Dr. Jones showed that
	dicted well by environmental factors. Which principle suggests that Dr. Rose and Dr. Jones
A) naive realism	
B) logical positivis	sm
C) equifinality	
D) parsimony	
Ans: C	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
always possible t wrong. This is kno	David Hume, even if all the observations scientists make are consistent with a conclusion, it is hat they will eventually make a new observation that violates this conclusion and proves it own as the problem of
A) deduction	
B) induction	
C) reasoning D) observational	scone
Ans: B	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
7113. 2	The Logic of Scientific Discovery
	f deduction can be described as
	n the general to the specific
· -	n the specific to the general
	n the practical to the symbolic
,	n the symbolic to the practical
Ans: A	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
K, 4, 7" experime A) validation B) qualification C) falsification D) demonstration	1
Ans: A	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
11i. Which appro likely to support? A) validation B) qualification C) falsification	ach to scientific hypothesis testing would a logical positivist such as Karl Popper be <b>most</b>

D) domonstration	5,
D) demonstration Ans: C	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
7.11.5. C	The Edgic of Scientific Discovery
	opular theory who believes that the theory is seriously flawed would probably test the co engage in what proponents of the theory consider  The REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
	has argued that almost every theory in psychology is correct under some conditions and others. In light of this fact, which approach to hypothesis testing would McGuire be most likely
Ans: B	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
	ach to hypothesis testing can resolve the conflict between two opposing theories by nditions under which each of the theories is correct?
Ans: A	REF: The Logic of Scientific Discovery
	nessages generally have the most impact shortly after they are delivered, but in some cases, is stronger over a period of time. Which deductive strategy for hypothesis generation does this
B) hypothetico-de C) falsification	eductive method
D) accounting for	exceptions
Ans: D	REF: The Art of Scientific Discovery
A) using case stud B) trying to accou	unt for paradoxical incidents oractitioner's rule of thumb
17i. Paying carefu	al attention to what highly skilled experts do as a way of generating a new research
hypothesis is who	
	ctional or adaptive analysis
	Int for conflicting results
C) the expertise h	neuristic practitioner's rules of thumb
Ans: D	REF: The Art of Scientific Discovery

	SAGE Publishing, 2019
conditioning expo otherwise would	appears to have discovered the partial reinforcement effect when he was running a simple eriment, ran low on food pellets, and began reinforcing only a percentage of responses he have reinforced 100% of the time. This is an example of
	a paradoxical incident
B) serendipity	analom.
C) reasoning by a	rnalogy co-deductive method
Ans: B	REF: The Art of Scientific Discovery
7113. 5	The fire of Scientific Biscovery
research site	
	sensually agreed upon community standards of ethical behavior lly rigorous and cost-effective
C) directly benefi	it the people who serve as research participants
	nents of, or cures for, major mental health problems
Ans: A REF: The	Ethics of Scientific Discovery
	nether a specific research proposal is ethical, internal review boards (IRBs) always consider
	"benefits." What general rule regarding risks and benefits do IRBs apply?
	nefit ratio in research must be at least 1:2, meaning that the benefits must be at least twice as
great as the risks	enefits likely to arise as a result of participation in a study must be fully explained to
	r to their participation.
	hat are likely to arise as a result of participation in a study must outweigh all of the risks.
	f education in an experiment must outweigh any risks of coercion.
Ans: C	REF: The Ethics of Scientific Discovery
21: Dorok's prop	acced receased study involves him angaging in secual convergations with his electrosters
regarding their a	losed research study involves him engaging in casual conversations with his classmates lcohol use and then writing down their responses after he has returned home from class. His is likely to reject his proposal on the grounds that it violates which ethical principle?
A) freedom from	
B) informed cons	ent
	m physical and psychological harm
D) qualification	
Ans: B	REF: The Ethics of Scientific Discovery
	list of names that can be linked to participant identification numbers is an example of
upholding	=
A) informed cons	
B) confidentiality C) inter-rater reli	
D) deception	ability
Ans: B	REF: The Ethics of Scientific Discovery
	,